

United States Department of Agriculture



In cooperation with Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station; Tennessee Department of Agriculture; United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; and Sevier County Board of Commissioners

# Soil Survey of Sevier County Area, Tennessee



# **How To Use This Soil Survey**

# **General Soil Map**

The general soil map, which is a color map, shows the survey area divided into groups of associated soils called general soil map units. This map is useful in planning the use and management of large areas.

To find information about your area of interest, locate that area on the map, identify the name of the map unit in the area on the color-coded map legend, then refer to the section **General Soil Map Units** for a general description of the soils in your area.

MAP SHEET


# **Detailed Soil Maps**

The detailed soil maps can be useful in planning the use and management of small areas.

To find information about your area of interest, locate that area on the **Index to Map Sheets**. Note the number of the map sheet and turn to that sheet.

Locate your area of interest on the map sheet. Note the map unit symbols that are in that area. Turn to the **Contents**, which lists the map units by symbol and name and shows the page where each map unit is described.

The **Contents** shows which table has data on a specific land use for each detailed soil map unit. Also see the **Contents** for sections of this publication that may address your specific needs.



of numbers and letters.

This soil survey is a publication of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly the Soil Conservation Service) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Major fieldwork for this soil survey was completed in 1993. Soil names and descriptions were approved in 2001. Unless otherwise indicated, statements in this publication refer to conditions in the survey area in 1993. This soil survey was made cooperatively by the Natural Resources Conservation Service; the Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station; the Tennessee Department of Agriculture; the United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; and the Sevier County Board of Commissioners. The survey is part of the technical assistance furnished to the Sevier County Soil Conservation District.

Soil maps in this survey may be copied without permission. Enlargement of these maps, however, could cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping. If enlarged, maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a larger scale.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, and marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at 202-720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 14th and Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call 202-720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Cover: Farming operations are still common in the mountain valleys of Sevier County. Rosman soils on the nearly level bottom in the foreground and Braddock soils on the footslopes beyond the buildings are used for hayland and pasture. The soils on the mountains in the background are in the Cataska-Junaluska-Sylco general soil map unit.

Additional information about the Nation's natural resources is available on the Natural Resources Conservation Service home page on the World Wide Web. The address is http://www.nrcs.usda.gov.

# **Contents**

Cover	1	DhD—Ditney sandy loam, 12 to 25 percent	
How To Use This Soil Survey	3	slopes	38
Contents		Du—Dunning silty clay loam, occasionally	
Foreword	9	flooded	39
General Nature of the Survey Area	11	Dv—Dunning silt loam, overwash, occasionally	/
How This Survey Was Made		flooded	
General Soil Map Units		EtB—Etowah loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	
Soil Descriptions		EtC—Etowah loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	
Decatur-Dewey-Fullerton		FuC2—Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 5 to 12	
2. Nonaburg-Steadman-Whitesburg		percent slopes, eroded	43
3. Townley-Talbott-Muskingum		FuD2—Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 12 to 25	
4. Sequatchie-Staser-Combs		percent slopes, eroded	44
5. Braddock-Lostcove-Lonon		FuE2—Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 25 to 60	
6. Cataska-Unicoi-Ditney		percent slopes, eroded	45
7. Unicoi-Northcove-Ditney		HnB—Holston loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	46
8. Cataska-Junaluska-Sylco		HnC—Holston loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	47
9. Waynesboro-Holston-Dunning		JcD—Junaluska-Cataska complex, 12 to 25	
Detailed Soil Map Units		percent slopes	48
Soil Descriptions		LeB—Leadvale silt loam, 2 to 5 percent	
BrB2—Braddock loam, 2 to 5 percent s		slopes	49
eroded		LnB—Lonon gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent	
BrC2—Braddock loam, 5 to 12 percent		slopes	50
eroded		LnC—Lonon gravelly loam, 5 to 12 percent	
BrD2—Braddock loam, 12 to 25 percen		slopes	51
eroded	•	LnD—Lonon gravelly loam, 12 to 25 percent	
CaD—Cataska channery silt loam, 12 to	o 25	slopes	52
percent slopes		LsC—Lostcove very cobbly loam, 5 to 12	
CaE—Cataska channery silt loam, 25 to		percent slopes, extremely bouldery	53
percent slopes		LsD—Lostcove very cobbly loam, 12 to 25	
CcE—Cataska-Sylco complex, 25 to 80		percent slopes, extremely bouldery	54
percent slopes		MtC2—Montevallo channery silt loam, 5 to 12	
Co—Combs loam, rarely flooded	32	percent slopes, eroded	54
DcB2—Decatur silt loam, 2 to 5 percen	t	MtD2—Montevallo channery silt loam, 12 to 25	;
slopes, eroded	33	percent slopes, eroded	55
DcC2—Decatur silt loam, 5 to 12 perce	nt	MuC2—Muse silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	,
slopes, eroded	33	eroded	56
DcD2—Decatur silt loam, 12 to 25 perc	ent	MwE—Muskingum-Chiswell complex, 25 to 60	
slopes, eroded	34	percent slopes	57
DeB2—Dewey silt loam, 2 to 5 percent		NnC3—Nonaburg channery silt loam, 5 to 12	
eroded	35	percent slopes, severely eroded, rocky	59
DeC2—Dewey silt loam, 5 to 12 percer	nt	NnD3—Nonaburg channery silt loam, 12 to 25	
slopes, eroded	36	percent slopes, severely eroded, rocky	60
DeD2—Dewey silt loam, 12 to 25 perce	ent	NnE3—Nonaburg channery silt loam, 25 to 60	
slopes, eroded	37	percent slopes, severely eroded, rocky	61

NoF—Northcove stony sandy loam, 35 to 50	Recreation	91
percent slopes, bouldery62	Wildlife Habitat	
Po—Pope sandy loam, occasionally flooded 63	Engineering	93
Ro—Rosman sandy loam, occasionally	Soil Properties	
flooded 64	Engineering Index Properties	
Sb—Sequatchie loam, rarely flooded64	Physical and Chemical Properties	100
ShB—Shelocta silt loam, 2 to 5 percent	Water Features	101
slopes 65	Soil Features	102
ShC—Shelocta silt loam, 5 to 15 percent	Classification of the Soils	103
slopes 66	Soil Series and Their Morphology	103
SoD—Soco loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes 67	Braddock Series	103
SoE—Soco-Cataska complex, 25 to 80	Cataska Series	104
percent slopes, eroded68	Chiswell Series	105
St—Staser loam, occasionally flooded69	Combs Series	106
Su—Steadman silt loam, occasionally	Decatur Series	106
flooded70	Dewey Series	107
TaD2—Talbott-Rock outcrop complex, 10 to	Ditney Series	108
25 percent slopes, eroded71	Dunning Series	108
TaE2—Talbott-Rock outcrop complex, 25 to	Etowah Series	109
60 percent slopes, eroded73	Fullerton Series	110
ToB2—Townley silt loam, 2 to 5 percent	Holston Series	111
slopes, eroded74	Junaluska Series	112
ToC2—Townley silt loam, 5 to 12 percent	Leadvale Series	113
slopes, eroded75	Lonon Series	114
ToD2—Townley silt loam, 12 to 25 percent	Lostcove Series	115
slopes, eroded76	Montevallo Series	116
ToE2—Townley silt loam, 25 to 60 percent	Muse Series	116
slopes, eroded77	Muskingum Series	121
UdE—Unicoi-Ditney-Rock outcrop complex,	Nonaburg Series	122
30 to 80 percent slopes 78	Northcove Series	123
UnE—Unicoi-Rock outcrop complex, 30 to 80	Pope Series	123
percent slopes79	Rosman Series	124
Ur—Urban land 80	Sequatchie Series	125
W-Water81	Shelocta Series	126
WaB2—Waynesboro loam, 2 to 5 percent	Soco Series	127
slopes, eroded81	Staser Series	128
WaC2—Waynesboro loam, 5 to 12 percent	Steadman Series	128
slopes, eroded82	Sylco Series	129
WaD2—Waynesboro loam, 12 to 25 percent	Talbott Series	130
slopes, eroded83	Townley Series	131
Wf—Whitesburg silt loam, occasionally	Unicoi Series	
flooded 84	Waynesboro Series	
Use and Management of the Soils 87	Whitesburg Series	
Crops and Pasture87	References	
Woodland Management and Productivity 90	Glossary	137
•	<del>-</del>	

Tables 147	Table 9.—Wildlife Habitat	171
Table 1.—Temperature and Precipitation 148	Table 10.—Building Site Development	175
Table 2.—Freeze Dates in Spring and Fall 149	Table 11.—Sanitary Facilities	182
Table 3.—Growing Season149	Table 12.—Construction Materials	188
Table 4.—Acreage and Proportionate Extent	Table 13.—Water Management	193
of the Soils150	Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties	200
Table 5.—Land Capability and Yields per Acre	Table 15.—Selected Physical and Chemical	
of Crops and Pasture152	Properties of the Soils	210
Table 6.—Prime Farmland156	Table 16.—Water Features	214
Table 7.—Woodland Management and	Table 17.—Soil Features	218
Productivity157	Table 18.—Classification of the Soils	221
Table 8.—Recreational Development166		

Issued 2004

# **Foreword**

This soil survey contains information that affects land use planning in this survey area. It contains predictions of soil behavior for selected land uses. The survey also highlights soil limitations, improvements needed to overcome the limitations, and the impact of selected land uses on the environment.

This soil survey is designed for many different users. Farmers, foresters, and agronomists can use it to evaluate the potential of the soil and the management needed for maximum food and fiber production. Planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers can use the survey to plan land use, select sites for construction, and identify special practices needed to ensure proper performance. Conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, wildlife management, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the survey to help them understand, protect, and enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. The information in this report is intended to identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. Statements made in this report are intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations that affect various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are shallow to bedrock. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

These and many other soil properties that affect land use are described in this soil survey. Broad areas of soils are shown on the general soil map. The location of each soil is shown on the detailed soil maps. Each soil in the survey area is described. Information on specific uses is given for each soil. Help in using this publication and additional information are available at the local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service or the Cooperative Extension Service.

James E. Ford State Conservationist Natural Resources Conservation Service

# Soil Survey of Sevier County Area, Tennessee

By Clarence T. Conner, Natural Resources Conservation Service

Fieldwork by Clarence T. Conner and Richard L. Livingston, Natural Resources Conservation Service, and Arthur G. Dunn, Sevier County Soil Scientist

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, in cooperation with

Tennessee Agricultural Experiment Station; Tennessee Department of Agriculture; United States Department of Agriculture, Forest Service; and Sevier County Board of Commissioners

SEVIER COUNTY is located in eastern Tennessee (fig. 1). The physiography of the survey area is highly variable because it lies within both the Southern Appalachian Ridges and Valleys and the Blue Ridge Major Land Resource Areas. The survey area covers 255,100 acres, or about 399 square miles. It is bounded on the east by Cocke County, on the north by Jefferson County, on the west by Blount and Knox Counties, and on the south by the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. About 127,500 acres of Sevier County lies within the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. This acreage is not included in the soil survey. It is included as part of the soil survey area of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park.

In 1990, Sevierville, the county seat, had a population of about 7,200. It is the largest town in the county. Gatlinburg and Pigeon Forge, the next largest towns, have populations of 3,500 and 3,000, respectively. The population of Sevier County, according to the 1990 U.S. Census, is about 51,000.

This soil survey updates the survey of the private lands of Sevier County published in 1956 (3). It provides additional information and has larger maps, which show the soils in greater detail.

# **General Nature of the Survey Area**

This section gives general information about the survey area. It describes the history and economic development; physiography, geology, and drainage; and climate.



Figure 1.—Location of Sevier County in Tennessee.

## **History and Economic Development**

This section was prepared by Beulah D. Lynn, Sevier County Historian.

The section of Sevier County lying north of the French Broad River was perhaps the site of the first settlement in 1783. The early settlers, mostly from Virginia and North Carolina, were of English, Scotch-Irish, and German descent. These settlers arrived before the land was ceded by the Cherokee Indians. Because Indian towns and villages were near the settlers, friction between the two groups was inevitable. General John Sevier inflicted a severe defeat on the Cherokee Indians at Body's Creek on December 16, 1780, shortly after the Battle of King's Mountain. The Indian lands were partially ceded by the Treaty of Dumplin Creek in 1785. After this treaty, settlement into the area south of the French Broad River spread rapidly.

Sevier County has the distinction of having three birthdays, one in 1785 under the State of Franklin, one in 1794 under the Territory South of the River Ohio, and one in 1796 under the State of Tennessee. Sevierville, which is the county seat of Sevier County and almost as old as the county itself, was established in 1795. Both the county and the town were named for General John Sevier.

Topography played a major role in the settlement of the county. The northern one-third of the county is an area of fertile river bottom land and gentle rolling ridges. In this area, the soil is productive, rainfall is abundant, temperatures are mild, and several creeks and two rivers traverse the land. For these reasons, the northern part of the county was considered excellent farmland and was naturally settled first. Beyond the rich river bottoms is the hilly middle section of the county. This area is drained by the West Fork, the main East Fork (also known as the Middle Prong), and the Little East Fork of the Little Pigeon River. Although this area has some good farmland, it was considered less suitable for farming. After the land in the middle hills was claimed, settlers were forced deeper into the Great Smoky Mountains. They first made homes along the creek bottoms and in the coves and then on the sides of the great mountains. Some of the mountain peaks are more than 6,000 feet in height. The mountains are wrapped in a deep, mysterious, blue-gray haze. The Cherokee Indians called this area the "land of the smoke." In this area, the soil is rich along the creek beds and in the coves where there is much flat land. Temperatures generally are cooler, and rainfall is almost universally heavier.

The most important industry in the 19th century was gristmills, several of which flourished in the county. An iron works was built by Isaac Love in 1817 and was in operation until the Civil War. During the Civil War, both Union and Confederate troops occupied the county at different times. Economic disruption and provisioning of the armies brought on extreme privation. In postwar years, the county returned to a mainly agricultural economy.

At the turn of the 20th century, more than half of Sevier County was forested. Large oaks, hemlocks, chestnuts, maples, birches, white pine, ashes, poplars, and cherries were abundant. Soon after 1900, large northern-based commercial lumber companies began buying large quantities of land and carrying on massive timber-cutting operations until the establishment of the National Park in 1934.

Growth of industry and commerce was hampered by a lack of good roads and railroads, even into the 20th century. The Knoxville, Sevierville, and Eastern Railroad (KS&E) came to Sevierville in 1909 and extended to McCookville in 1920. Undergoing several changes of ownership, the railroad discontinued service in the 1950's. The county did not acquire significant industry in the 20th century until Cherokee Textiles Mills moved to Sevierville in 1953. In recent years industry has been promoted by the building of modern industrial parks in the Sevierville area.

Tourism has developed into a major industry with the establishment of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Chapman Highway, which links the park to Knoxville, was completed in the 1950's. Gatlinburg and Pigeon Forge have flourished to such an extent that they have become important tourist attractions in themselves and important employers in the county.

# Physiography, Geology, and Drainage

This section was prepared by Arthur Grant Dunn, Sevier County Soil Scientist.

Sevier County lies within two Major Land Resource Areas (MLRAs). The southern two-thirds of the survey area is within the Blue Ridge MLRA, and the northern third is within the Southern Appalachian Ridges and Valleys MLRA. These two MLRAs differ greatly in their physiographic features and geological composition.

The Blue Ridge is comprised of mountains and foothills and has higher elevations. It is characterized by high relief due to past geologic uplifting, the dissection of streams, and mass wasting on the steep slopes. Within the survey area, elevations vary from 4,077 feet on Cove Mountain to approximately 1,000 feet at the lower stream levels. The higher elevations are along the main part of the Great Smoky Mountains range within the park. This area has several peaks exceeding 6,000 feet in elevation. Included among these peaks is Clingman's Dome, the highest point in Tennessee, at an elevation of 6,642 feet.

Coves are a unique physiographic feature of the Blue Ridge. They are gently sloping to steep, concave areas that are surrounded by mountains. Colluvium from upland sources and alluvium from streams cover the narrower coves. Wears Valley is a prominent broad cove in the survey area. The valley's bottom is composed of limestone and calcareous shale, which are normally found within the Ridges and Valleys MLRA. The surrounding mountains are rock types associated with the Blue Ridge.

The underlying geology of the Blue Ridge in the survey area consists mainly of rocks that have been highly folded and faulted and have undergone various degrees of metamorphism. These rocks are mainly Precambrian in age. Although a number of geologic

formations have been identified through investigations and mapping, they are commonly interbedded with other rock types. The major rock types include fine- to coarse-grained metasandstone, quartzite, metasiltstone, phyllite, slate, and metagraywacke. In the lower foothills along the northern edge of the Blue Ridge, the degree of metamorphism is less noticeable. The rock types in this area are similar to those in the Ridges and Valleys MLRA. They include conglomerate, sandstone, shale, dolomite, and limestone. They are mainly Cambrian in age.

The mountainous and hilly terrain of the Blue Ridge has been highly dissected by perennial and intermittent streams. The dominant drainage pattern in the Blue Ridge is dendritic. Dendritic drainage has a tree-like pattern that forms as tributaries branch and branch again upstream from a main stream. This pattern develops on landscapes composed of relatively uniform rock material. The larger streams have a meandering pattern that is controlled in part by variations in the bedrock geology. In the Blue Ridge, these streams include the Little Pigeon River and the West Prong of the Little Pigeon River, along with the major creeks of this province. Some of the creeks in this province are Bird, Dudley, Dunn, Webb, Walden, and Cove Creeks. The creeks drain into the Pigeon Rivers, which drain into the adjacent Ridges and Valleys MLRA.

The Southern Ridges and Valleys is an area of alternating parallel ridges and valleys in the northwestern part of Sevier County. The alternating ridges and valleys parallel the strikes of the underlying folded and faulted bedrock. This area extends in a northeast-southwest direction that is parallel and adjacent to the Blue Ridge. The elevation of the ridges is generally lower and more uniform in the Ridges and Valleys than in the Blue Ridge. Within the Ridges and Valleys, there are three distinct major physiographic subdivisions—the limestone valley area, the limestone and shale ridge and valley area, and the shale hill area. The limestone valley area consists of low-lying ridges and valleys underlain by dolomitic limestone of the Knox Group. The relief is generally rolling to hilly. This area is characterized by karst topography hummocky landscapes with sinkholes. This topography develops in limestone regions that have a humid climate. The limestone and shale ridge and valley area consists of a series of parallel low ridges and broad valleys. It is underlain by folded, interbedded shale, sandstone, and limestone of the Conasauga Group and the Rome Formation. The different rocks outcrop in layers trending in a northeast-southwest direction. The ridges, major streams, and many of the roads follow the same

direction as the rock outcrops. The Tellico and the Ottosee Shale Formations underlie the shale hill area. This area has been highly dissected by streams that have cut deep, narrow V-shaped valleys and by places where the uplands have eroded into low rounded knobs.

The geologic characteristics of the Ridges and Valleys have a major influence on drainage patterns. In the limestone valley area, the karst topography produces a deranged drainage pattern, where streams enter sinkholes and flow through subterranean caverns. In the limestone and shale ridge and valley area, the variation in geologic resistance forms a trellis drainage pattern, where the main streams occupy the valleys and the minor tributaries are forced into right angles with the main stream channels. The shale hill area has a dendritic drainage pattern since the geology is relatively uniform.

Three major rivers drain the Ridges and Valleys—the West Fork of the Little Pigeon River, the Little Pigeon River, and the French Broad River. The two Pigeon Rivers enter the Ridges and Valleys from the Blue Ridge. The rivers run northwest and converge at Sevierville, then drain into the French Broad River. The French Broad River enters the county in the northeastern part at the Jefferson County line.

## Climate

Table 1 gives data on temperature and precipitation for the survey area as recorded at Gatlinburg, Tennessee, during the period of 1971 to 2000. Table 2 shows probable dates of the first freeze in fall and the last freeze in spring. Table 3 provides data on the length of the growing season.

In winter, the average temperature is 38.3 degrees F. The average daily minimum temperature is 26.4 degrees. The lowest temperature on record is -18, recorded on January 21, 1985. In summer, the average temperature is 71.6 degrees and the average daily maximum temperature is 83.5 degrees. The highest temperature ever recorded is 106, which occurred on June 30, 1936.

Growing degree days are shown in table 1. They are equivalent to "heat units." During the month, growing degree days accumulate by the amount that the average temperature each day exceeds a base temperature (40 degrees F). The normal monthly accumulation is used to schedule single or successive plantings of a crop between the last freeze in spring and the first freeze in fall.

The average annual total precipitation is somewhat variable across the county. At Gatlinburg, about 57.15 inches falls in a typical year. In general, precipitation is

lowest over the northernmost parts of the county, where between 40 and 45 inches of precipitation falls.

To the south precipitation increases, and the foothills of the Great Smokies receive between 55 and 65 inches of precipitation annually. Of these amounts, around 50 percent usually falls in May through October, which is the growing season for most crops in most of the county. The heaviest 1-day amount of precipitation at Gatlinburg during the period of record was 5.34 inches, recorded on July 26, 1943. Thunderstorms occur on about 47 days each year, and most occur between May and August.

The average seasonal snowfall also is quite variable across the county and is dependent on elevation. The mean annual snowfall is 9.3 inches at Gatlinburg. The foothills of the Smokies receive between 10 and 20 inches annually. At Gatlinburg, the greatest snow depth at any one time during the period of record was 16 inches, recorded on March 3, 1942. On average, at Gatlinburg about 4 days per year have at least 1 inch of snow on the ground. In the foothills, 5 to 20 days per year is normal. The heaviest 1-day snowfall on record was 16.0 inches, recorded on March 13, 1993.

The average relative humidity in midafternoon is about 59 percent. Humidity is higher at night, and the average at dawn is about 86 percent. The sun shines 64 percent of the time possible in summer and 42 percent in winter. The prevailing wind is from the northeast. Average windspeed is highest, between 8 and 9 miles per hour, from January to April.

# **How This Survey Was Made**

This survey was made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The information includes a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location and a discussion of their suitability, limitations, and management for specified uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They dug many holes to study the soil profile, which is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area are in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and

miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept or model of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information,

production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

The descriptions, names, and delineations of the soils in this survey area do not fully agree with those of the soils in adjacent survey areas. Differences are the result of a better knowledge of soils, modifications in series concepts, or variations in the intensity of mapping or in the extent of the soils in the survey areas.

# **General Soil Map Units**

The general soil map shows broad areas that have a distinctive pattern of soils, relief, and drainage. Each map unit on the general soil map is a unique natural landscape. Typically, it consists of one or more major soils or miscellaneous areas and some minor soils or miscellaneous areas. It is named for the major soils or miscellaneous areas. The components of one map unit can occur in another but in a different pattern.

The general soil map can be used to compare the suitability of large areas for general land uses. Areas of suitable soils can be identified on the map. Likewise, areas where the soils are not suitable can be identified.

Because of its small scale, the map is not suitable for planning the management of a farm or field or for selecting a site for a road or building or other structure. The soils in any one map unit differ from place to place in slope, depth, drainage, and other characteristics that affect management.

# Soil Descriptions

# 1. Decatur-Dewey-Fullerton

Gently sloping to steep, well drained, very deep soils that have clayey subsoils; formed in residuum from limestone, in old alluvium overlying residuum from limestone, and in residuum weathered from cherty dolomite

### Setting

Location in the survey area: Mostly in the northwestern part; north of Boyds Creek and the French Broad River and in a broad valley north of Shields and English Mountains

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: High stream terraces and upland ridges

Slope range: 2 to 60 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 6 percent

# Composition

Decatur soils: 50 to 55 percent Dewey soils: 20 to 25 percent Fullerton soils: 10 to 15 percent Minor soils (including Etowah, Waynesboro, Talbott, and Steadman): 5 to 15 percent

#### Soil Characteristics

#### **Decatur**

Surface layer: Dark reddish brown silt loam

Subsoil: Dark reddish brown clay Slope range: 2 to 25 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches Landform position: Summits and side slopes

### Dewey

Surface layer: Dark reddish brown silt loam
Subsurface layer: Reddish brown clay loam
Subsoil: Upper part—red clay; lower part—red and

dark red clay

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches Landform position: Summits and side slopes

#### **Fullerton**

Surface layer: Brown gravelly silt loam

Subsurface layer: Yellowish brown gravelly silt loam Subsoil: Upper part—yellowish red gravelly clay; lower

part—red gravelly clay Slope range: 5 to 60 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches Landform position: Summits and side slopes

### Use and Management

Major Uses: Pasture, hayland, and cultivated crops

# Cropland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, slope, and

soil fertility

# Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Slope and soil fertility

# Woodland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, equipment

use, and plant competition

# **Urban development**

Management concerns: Slope, restricted permeability in the subsoil, shrink-swell potential, and low strength

# 2. Nonaburg-Steadman-Whitesburg

Nearly level to steep, well drained and moderately well drained, shallow to very deep soils that have clayey or loamy subsoils; formed in residuum weathered from calcareous shale and in alluvium washed from materials weathered from mixed sedimentary and metamorphic rocks and calcareous shale

# Setting

Location in the survey area: Mostly in the north-central part; south of Boyds Creek and the French Broad River; dissecting the survey area in a southwest-northeast direction

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Upland ridges and adjacent flood plains and

drainageways

Slope range: 0 to 60 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 30

percent

# **Composition**

Nonaburg soils: 50 to 55 percent Steadman soils: 20 to 25 percent Whitesburg soils: 15 to 20 percent

Minor soils (including Townley, Leadvale, Muse, and

Dunning): 5 to 15 percent

### Soil Characteristics

## Nonaburg

Surface layer: Brown channery silt loam

Subsurface layer: Strong brown channery silt loam

Subsoil: Strong brown channery silty clay

Bedrock: Upper part—soft fractured calcareous shale;

lower part—hard calcareous shale

Slope range: 5 to 60 percent Drainage class: Well drained Depth to bedrock: 8 to 20 inches

Landform position: Ridge summits and side slopes

#### Steadman

Surface layer: Dark yellowish brown silt loam
Subsoil: Upper part—yellowish brown silt loam; lower
part—yellowish brown silt loam that has brownish
gray masses of iron depletion and yellowish brown
masses of iron concentration

Underlying material: Yellowish brown silt loam that has light brownish gray masses of iron depletion and yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Seasonal high water table: At a depth of 24 to 36

inches

Flooding: Occasional

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Linear or slightly concave slopes

## Whitesburg

Surface layer: Brown silt loam

Subsoil: Upper part—yellowish brown silt loam; lower part—yellowish brown silty clay loam that has pale brown and strong brown masses of iron concentration

Underlying material: Yellowish brown silty clay loam that has light brownish gray masses of iron depletion and yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

Bedrock: Soft calcareous shale Slope range: 1 to 5 percent

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Seasonal high water table: At a depth of 24 to 48

inches

Flooding: Occasional

Depth to bedrock: 40 to 60 inches

Landform position: Linear slopes of drainageways

#### Use and Management

Major Uses: Pasture, hayland, and woodland

## Cropland

Management concerns: Nonaburg—hazard of erosion, shallow root zone, depth to bedrock, low available water capacity, and soil fertility; Steadman and Whitesburg—flooding and wetness

#### Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Nonaburg—slope, equipment use, and soil fertility; Steadman and Whitesburg—flooding and equipment use

#### Woodland

Management concerns: Nonaburg—equipment use, seedling mortality, and windthrow hazard; Steadman and Whitesburg—plant competition

#### **Urban development**

Management concerns: Nonaburg—slope, depth to bedrock, and shrink-swell potential; Steadman and Whitesburg—flooding and wetness

# 3. Townley-Talbott-Muskingum

Gently sloping to steep, well drained, moderately deep soils that have loamy or clayey subsoils; formed in residuum weathered from shale, siltstone, limestone, or sandstone

## Setting

Location in the survey area: Mostly in the northwestern part, including Whittle Mountain and Bays Mountain along the Knox County line, in the Kodak area, and northwest of Poor Valley

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Slope range: 2 to 60 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 5 percent

# **Composition**

Townley soils: 50 to 55 percent Talbott soils: 15 to 20 percent Muskingum soils: 10 to 15 percent

Minor inclusions (including Chiswell, Dewey, and Steadman soils and Rock outcrop): 10 to 20

percent

# Soil Characteristics

## **Townley**

Surface layer: Brown silt loam

Subsoil: Upper part—strong brown and yellowish red

clay

Bedrock: Soft, fractured shale Slope range: 2 to 60 percent Drainage class: Well drained Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

#### **Talbott**

Surface layer: Brown silty clay loam

Subsoil: Yellowish red clay
Bedrock: Hard limestone
Slope range: 10 to 60 percent
Drainage class: Well drained
Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

# Muskingum

Surface layer: Brown channery loam

Subsoil: Upper part—brown channery loam; lower

part-brown loam

*Underlying material:* Brown channery loam *Bedrock:* Soft, fractured fine-grained sandstone

Slope range: 25 to 60 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

## Use and Management

Major Uses: Pasture, hayland, and woodland

# Cropland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, slope, and soil fertility

## Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Slope, equipment use, low available water capacity, and soil fertility

#### Woodland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, equipment use, seedling mortality, and windthrow hazard

# **Urban development**

Management concerns: Townley—slope, depth to bedrock, restricted permeability, and shrink-swell potential; Talbott and Muskingum—slope and depth to bedrock

# 4. Sequatchie-Staser-Combs

Nearly level, well drained, very deep soils that have loamy subsoils; formed in alluvium from materials weathered from mixed sedimentary and metamorphic rocks; along low stream terraces and flood plains of major streams

#### Setting

Location in the survey area: Along the West and Middle Forks of the Little Pigeon River and along the Little Pigeon, Pigeon, and French Broad Rivers

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys (fig. 2)

Landform: Low stream terraces and flood plains of

major streams

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 3 percent

## Composition

Sequatchie soils: 50 to 55 percent Staser soils: 15 to 20 percent Combs soils: 10 to 15 percent

Minor soils (including Dunning, Steadman, Holston, Leadvale, and Waynesboro): 5 to 15 percent

## Soil Characteristics

# Sequatchie

Surface layer: Dark brown loam



Figure 2.—Typical landscape of the Sequatchie-Staser-Combs general soil map unit. Nonaburg soils are in the background.

Subsurface layer: Brown loam

Subsoil: Upper part—strong brown clay loam; lower

part—strong brown loam

Underlying material: Strong brown sandy loam

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Seasonal high water table: At a depth of more than 72

inches

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Linear slopes of low stream

terraces

#### Staser

Surface layer: Dark brown loam

Subsurface layer: Very dark grayish brown and dark

brown loam

Subsoil: Upper part—brown loam; lower part—dark

yellowish brown fine sandy loam

Underlying material: Dark yellowish brown fine sandy

loam

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Seasonal high water table: At a depth of 36 to 48 inches

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Linear slopes of broad flood plains

#### Combs

Surface layer: Dark brown loam

Subsurface layer: Very dark grayish brown sandy

loam

Subsoil: Dark yellowish brown sandy loam

Underlying material: Dark yellowish brown sandy loam

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Seasonal high water table: At a depth of more than 60

inches

Depth to bedrock: More than 72 inches

Landform position: Linear to slightly convex slopes of

broad flood plains

# Use and Management

Major Uses: Pasture, hayland, and row crops

# Cropland

Management concerns: Flooding and soil fertility

Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Flooding and soil fertility

Woodland

Management concerns: Plant competition

**Urban development** 

Management concerns: Flooding

#### 5. Braddock-Lostcove-Lonon

Gently sloping to moderately steep, well drained, very deep soils that have clayey or loamy subsoils; formed in colluvium and alluvium from materials weathered from metasedimentary rocks

## Setting

Location in the survey area: Mostly in the southern half; south and east of Webb Mountain in the Rocky Flats area, south of Bluff Mountain, north of English Mountain, and in the Wear Cove area

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: High stream terraces and colluvial or alluvial fans at the base of mountains and in coves

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 5 percent

# Composition

Braddock soils: 50 to 55 percent Lostcove soils: 20 to 25 percent Lonon soils: 10 to 15 percent

Minor soils (including Northcove, Ditney, Junaluska, Soco, Cataska, Unicoi, Pope, and Steadman): 5 to

20 percent

### Soil Characteristics

#### **Braddock**

Surface layer: Dark yellowish brown loam

Subsurface layer: Strong brown gravelly clay loam Subsoil: Upper part—red gravelly clay; lower part—

red clay

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches Landform position: Summits, side slopes, and footslopes of stream terraces and colluvial fans

#### Lostcove

Surface layer: Brown very cobbly loam

Subsoil: Upper part—brown and strong brown very cobbly clay loam; lower part—strong brown and

yellowish brown very cobbly loam

Slope range: 5 to 25 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes of

colluvial fans

#### Lonon

Surface layer: Brown gravelly loam

Subsurface layer: Yellowish brown gravelly loam Subsoil: Upper part—yellowish red clay loam; middle part—yellowish red gravelly clay loam; lower

part—yellowish red gravelly loam

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes of

colluvial or alluvial fans

## Use and Management

**Major Uses:** Pasture, hayland, woodland, and some cultivated crops

## Cropland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, slope, and

soil fertility

# Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Slope, equipment use, and soil fertility

Woodland

# Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, equipment

use, seedling mortality, and plant competition

# **Urban development**

Management concerns: Braddock and Lonon—slope and restricted permeability; Lostcove—slope, restricted permeability, and boulders and stones on the surface

# 6. Cataska-Unicoi-Ditney

Moderately steep to very steep, well drained and excessively drained, shallow and moderately deep soils that have loamy subsoils; formed in residuum weathered from metasedimentary rocks

## Setting

Location in the survey area: Mostly in the southern

half; aligned in an area along Hatcher, Pine,

Shields, and Dixon Mountains

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Slope range: 12 to 80 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 12

percent

# **Composition**

Cataska soils: 50 to 55 percent Unicoi soils: 20 to 25 percent Ditney soils: 10 to 15 percent

Minor inclusions (including Junaluska, Soco, Braddock, Lonon, Northcove, Pope, and Steadman soils and Rock outcrop): 5 to 20

percent

#### Soil Characteristics

#### Cataska

Surface layer: Dark yellowish brown channery silt loam

Subsoil: Upper part—yellowish brown very channery silt loam; lower part—dark yellowish brown

extremely channery silt loam Bedrock: Soft, fractured metasiltstone Slope range: 12 to 80 percent

Drainage class: Excessively drained Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

#### Unicoi

Surface layer: Very dark grayish brown gravelly sandy

Subsoil: Yellowish brown very gravelly sandy loam

Bedrock: Hard, fractured metasandstone

Slope range: 30 to 80 percent Drainage class: Excessively drained Depth to bedrock: 7 to 20 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

#### Ditney

Surface layer: Brown sandy loam

Subsurface layer: Yellowish brown sandy loam Subsoil: Yellowish brown and strong brown sandy

loam

Underlying material: Strong brown sandy loam

Bedrock: Hard metasandstone Slope range: 12 to 80 percent Drainage class: Well drained Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

# Use and Management

Major Uses: Woodland

## Cropland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, slope, and depth to bedrock

## Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Slope and equipment use

#### Woodland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, equipment use, seedling mortality, and windthrow hazard

#### **Urban development**

Management concerns: Slope and depth to bedrock

# 7. Unicoi-Northcove-Ditney

Moderately steep to very steep, well drained and excessively drained, shallow to very deep soils that have loamy subsoils; formed in residuum and colluvium from metasedimentary rocks

# Setting

Location in the survey area: In the southern half; on Chilhowee, Webb, Cove, and English Mountains

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: Upland ridges and colluvial fans and coves

Slope range: 12 to 80 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 8 percent

## **Composition**

Unicoi soils: 50 to 55 percent Northcove soils: 20 to 25 percent Ditney soils: 10 to 15 percent

Minor inclusions (including Soco, Cataska, Junaluska, and Lostcove soils and Rock outcrop): 5 to 20

percent

#### Soil Characteristics

#### Unicoi

Surface layer: Very dark grayish brown gravelly sandy

ioam

Subsoil: Yellowish brown very gravelly sandy loam

Bedrock: Hard, fractured metasandstone

Slope range: 30 to 80 percent Drainage class: Excessively drained Depth to bedrock: 7 to 20 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes of upland

ridges

# Northcove

Surface layer: Dark brown stony sandy loam Subsoil: Upper part—dark yellowish brown very

cobbly sandy loam; middle part—yellowish brown very cobbly loam; lower part—yellowish brown

extremely cobbly loam Slope range: 35 to 50 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes of

colluvial fans and coves

## **Ditney**

Surface layer: Brown sandy loam

Subsurface layer: Yellowish brown sandy loam Subsoil: Yellowish brown and strong brown sandy

loam

Underlying material: Strong brown sandy loam

Bedrock: Hard metasandstone Slope range: 12 to 80 percent Drainage class: Well drained Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes of upland

ridges

# Use and Management

Major Uses: Woodland

# Cropland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, slope, and depth to bedrock

# Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Slope and equipment use

#### Woodland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, equipment use, seedling mortality, and windthrow hazard

# **Urban development**

Management concerns: Unicoi and Ditney—slope and depth to bedrock; Northcove—slope, depth to bedrock, and boulders and stones on the surface

# 8. Cataska-Junaluska-Sylco

Moderately steep to very steep, well drained and excessively drained, shallow and moderately deep soils that have loamy subsoils; formed in residuum from metasedimentary rocks

## Setting

Location in the survey area: On most of the mountains

in the southern half Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges Slope range: 12 to 80 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 26

percent

# Composition

Cataska soils: 50 to 55 percent Junaluska soils: 20 to 25 percent Sylco soils: 10 to 15 percent

Minor soils (including Ditney, Soco, Unicoi, and

Steadman): 5 to 20 percent

#### Soil Characteristics

#### Cataska

Surface layer: Dark yellowish brown channery silt

loam

Subsoil: Upper part—yellowish brown very channery

silt loam; lower part—dark yellowish brown

extremely channery silt loam Bedrock: Soft, fractured metasiltstone Slope range: 12 to 80 percent

Drainage class: Excessively drained Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

#### Junaluska

Surface layer: Yellowish brown silt loam

Subsoil: Upper part—strong brown silty clay loam; lower part—strong brown and yellowish red

channery silty clay loam

Bedrock: Soft, fractured metasiltstone

Slope range: 12 to 25 percent
Drainage class: Well drained
Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

# **Sylco**

Surface layer: Yellowish brown channery silt loam Subsoil: Strong brown very channery silt loam Soft bedrock: Soft, fractured metasiltstone

Hard bedrock: Hard metasiltstone Slope range: 25 to 80 percent

Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained

Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

# Use and Management

Major Uses: Woodland

#### Cropland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, slope, and

depth to bedrock

# Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Slope and equipment use

#### Woodland

Management concerns: Hazard of erosion, equipment use, seedling mortality, and windthrow hazard

# **Urban development**

Management concerns: Slope and depth to bedrock

# 9. Waynesboro-Holston-Dunning

Nearly level to moderately steep, well drained and poorly drained, very deep soils that have loamy or clayey subsoils; formed in old alluvium on stream terraces and in recent alluvium on flood plains

# Setting

Location in the survey area: In major river bends on the south side of the French Broad River and along the Little Pigeon River and its tributaries

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: High stream terraces and narrow

drainageways

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent

Extent of map unit in the survey area: About 5 percent

# **Composition**

Waynesboro soils: 50 to 55 percent Holston soils: 20 to 25 percent Dunning soils: 10 to 15 percent

Minor soils (including Dewey, Fullerton, Etowah, Leadvale, Combs, Sequatchie, and Staser): 5 to

20 percent

## Soil Characteristics

# Waynesboro

Surface layer: Brown loam

Subsoil: Upper part—red clay; middle part—dark red

clay; lower part—dark red gravelly clay

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes of high

stream terraces

#### Holston

Surface layer: Brown loam

Subsurface layer: Yellowish brown loam

Subsoil: Upper part—yellowish brown and strong brown clay loam; lower part—strong brown and

yellowish red clay Slope range: 2 to 12 percent Drainage class: Well drained

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Summits and side slopes of high

stream terraces

## **Dunning**

Surface layer: Very dark gray silty clay loam
Subsoil: Dark gray clay that has strong brown and
yellowish brown masses of iron concentration
Underlying material: Dark gray gravelly clay that has
yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent Drainage class: Poorly drained

Seasonal high water table: From the surface to a

depth of 6 inches

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Landform position: Linear slopes and depressions of

flood plains

## Use and Management

Major Uses: Hayland, pasture, and row crops

# Cropland

Management concerns: Waynesboro and Holston hazard of erosion and slope; Dunning—flooding and wetness

#### Pasture and hayland

Management concerns: Waynesboro and Holston slope and equipment use; Dunning—flooding and wetness

#### Woodland

Management concerns: Waynesboro and Holston hazard of erosion and equipment use; Dunning equipment use, seedling mortality, windthrow hazard, and plant competition

## **Urban development**

Management concerns: Waynesboro and Holston slope and restricted permeability; Dunning flooding and wetness

# **Detailed Soil Map Units**

The map units delineated on the detailed maps represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions in this section, along with the maps, can be used to determine the suitability and potential of a unit for specific uses. They also can be used to plan the management needed for those uses. More information about each map unit is given under the heading "Use and Management of the Soils."

A map unit delineation on a map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils or miscellaneous areas. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils and miscellaneous areas are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some "included" areas that belong to other taxonomic

Most included soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, inclusions. They may or may not be mentioned in the map unit description. Other included soils and miscellaneous areas. however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, inclusions. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. The included areas of contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are mentioned in the map unit descriptions. A few included areas may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of included areas in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans, but if intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives the principal hazards and limitations to be considered in planning for specific uses.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Etowah loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, is a phase of the Etowah series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes. A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Junaluska-Cataska complex, 12 to 25 percent slopes, is an example.

This survey includes miscellaneous areas. Such

areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Urban land is an example.

Table 4 gives the acreage and proportionate extent of each map unit. Other tables give properties of the soils and the limitations, capabilities, and potentials for many uses. The Glossary defines many of the terms used in describing the soils or miscellaneous areas.

# **Soil Descriptions**

# BrB2—Braddock loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: High stream terraces

Landform position: Summits and footslopes Shape of areas: Irregular or elongated

Size of areas: 5 to 80 acres

# Composition

Braddock soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—dark yellowish brown loam

Subsurface layer:

5 to 11 inches—strong brown gravelly clay loam

Subsoil:

11 to 34 inches—red gravelly clay 34 to 60 inches—red clay

## **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Lonon soils
- Intermingled areas of Braddock soils that have widely scattered stones on the surface

# Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Lostcove soils

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

## Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# BrC2—Braddock loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: High stream terraces and colluvial fans

Landform position: Summits and side slopes Shape of areas: Irregular or elongated

Size of areas: 5 to 80 acres

# Composition

Braddock soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—dark yellowish brown loam

Subsurface layer:

5 to 11 inches—strong brown gravelly clay loam

Subsoil:

11 to 34 inches—red gravelly clay 34 to 60 inches—red clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Lonon soils
- Intermingled areas of Braddock soils that have widely scattered stones on the surface

Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Lostcove soils

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

## **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

## Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3e

# BrD2—Braddock loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded

## Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: High stream terraces and colluvial fans Landform position: Side slopes and footslopes

Shape of areas: Irregular or elongated

Size of areas: 5 to 80 acres

#### Composition

Braddock soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—dark yellowish brown loam

Subsurface layer:

5 to 11 inches—strong brown gravelly clay loam

Subsoil:

11 to 34 inches—red gravelly clay 34 to 60 inches—red clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Lonon soils
- Intermingled areas of Braddock soils that have widely scattered stones on the surface

Dissimilar soils:

· Intermingled areas of Lostcove soils

# **Use and Management**

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test

recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff and a lower moisture supply. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 4e

# CaD—Cataska channery silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 150 acres

# Composition

Cataska soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Excessively drained Permeability: Moderately rapid or rapid Available water capacity: Very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—dark yellowish brown channery silt loam

Subsoil:

2 to 8 inches—yellowish brown very channery silt loam

8 to 12 inches—dark yellowish brown extremely channery silt loam

Bedrock:

12 to 40 inches—soft, fractured slate

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Cataska soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Junaluska and Sylco soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have soft bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches

#### Use and Management

## Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• The main limitations affecting cultivated crops are the hazard of erosion, the slope, the shallow rooting zone, the depth to bedrock, and the very low available water capacity.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil is difficult to manage for pasture and hayland due to the shallow rooting depth and very low available water capacity.
- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test

recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting septic tank absorption fields. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 7s

# CaE—Cataska channery silt loam, 25 to 80 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Side slopes Shape of areas: Irregular

Size of areas: 10 to several hundred acres

## **Composition**

Cataska soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Excessively drained Permeability: Moderately rapid or rapid Available water capacity: Very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—dark yellowish brown channery silt loam

Subsoil:

2 to 8 inches—yellowish brown very channery silt

8 to 12 inches—dark yellowish brown extremely channery silt loam

Bedrock:

12 to 40 inches—soft, fractured slate

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Cataska soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Junaluska and Sylco soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have soft bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• The main limitations affecting cultivated crops are the hazard of erosion, the slope, the shallow rooting zone, the depth to bedrock, and the very low available water capacity.

## Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil is difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope, shallow rooting depth, and very low available water capacity.
- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7s

# CcE—Cataska-Sylco complex, 25 to 80 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular

Size of areas: 10 to several hundred acres

# Composition

Cataska soil and similar soils: 40 to 50 percent Sylco soil and similar soils: 35 to 45 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Cataska—excessively drained; Sylco—somewhat excessively drained

Permeability: Cataska—moderately rapid or rapid;

Sylco—moderately rapid

Available water capacity: Cataska—very low; Sylco—

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72 inches

Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: Cataska—10 to 20 inches; Sylco— 20 to 40 inches

# Typical Profile

#### Cataska

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—dark yellowish brown channery silt

Subsoil:

2 to 8 inches—yellowish brown very channery silt

8 to 12 inches—dark yellowish brown extremely channery silt loam

Bedrock:

12 to 40 inches—soft, fractured slate

# **Sylco**

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—yellowish brown channery silt loam

2 to 25 inches—strong brown very channery silt loam

Bedrock:

25 to 33 inches—soft, fractured metasiltstone 33 inches—hard metasiltstone

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

 Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Cataska and Sylco soils

Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Junaluska soils

 Intermingled areas of soils that have soft bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches

# Use and Management

## Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

 The main limitations affecting cultivated crops are the hazard of erosion, the slope, the shallow rooting zone, the depth to bedrock, and the very low or low available water capacity.

## Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- These soils are difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope, shallow rooting depth, and very low or low available water capacity.
- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.

- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low or low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

## **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

## Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7s

# Co—Combs loam, rarely flooded

## Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Broad flood plains

Landform position: Linear to slightly convex slopes

Shape of areas: Elongated Size of areas: 5 to 100 acres Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

## **Composition**

Combs soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

#### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: Rare

Reaction: Moderately acid to neutral Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

## Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 11 inches—dark brown loam

Subsurface layer:

11 to 23 inches—very dark grayish brown sandy loam

Subsoil:

23 to 48 inches—dark yellowish brown sandy loam

Underlying material:

48 to 62 inches—dark yellowish brown sandy loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Sequatchie soils on adjacent stream terraces
- Scattered areas of Etowah and Holston soils on adjacent high terraces

Dissimilar soils:

 Moderately well drained and poorly drained soils in the slightly concave landform positions

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- There is a potential for hay crop damage from flooding.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

## Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

## **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Flooding is a severe limitation affecting urban uses. This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding should be selected.

## Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2w

# DcB2—Decatur silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: High stream terraces and upland ridges

Landform position: Summits Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 50 acres

## Composition

Decatur soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid to moderately acid

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—dark reddish brown silt loam

Subsoil:

8 to 60 inches—dark reddish brown clay

#### Minor Soils

Similar soils:

Intermingled areas of Dewey, Etowah, and Fullerton soils

Dissimilar soils:

• Narrow areas of Steadman and Sequatchie soils along drainageways and in depressions

## Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

#### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# DcC2—Decatur silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: High stream terraces and upland ridges Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 50 acres

#### **Composition**

Decatur soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72 inches

Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid to moderately acid

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—dark reddish brown silt loam

Subsoil:

8 to 60 inches—dark reddish brown clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

Intermingled areas of Dewey, Etowah, and Fullerton soils

Dissimilar soils:

 Narrow areas of Steadman and Sequatchie soils along drainageways and in depressions

# Use and Management

## Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

• This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.

- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

## **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3e

# DcD2—Decatur silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded

## Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: High stream terraces and upland ridges

Landform position: Side slopes Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 50 acres

#### **Composition**

Decatur soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

## Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72 inches

Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid to moderately acid

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

## Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—dark reddish brown silt loam

Subsoil:

8 to 60 inches—dark reddish brown clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

Intermingled areas of Dewey, Etowah, and Fullerton soils

Dissimilar soils:

- Narrow areas of Steadman and Sequatchie soils along drainageways and in depressions
- Isolated areas of Talbott soils and rock outcrop at the base of slopes

## Use and Management

## Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

# Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short

enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.

- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff and a lower moisture supply. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

## **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 4e

# DeB2—Dewey silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits

Shape of areas: Elongated or irregular

Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres

#### Composition

Dewey soil and similar soils: 90 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—dark reddish brown silt loam

Subsurface layer:

8 to 20 inches—reddish brown clay loam

Subsoil:

20 to 31 inches—red clay

31 to 60 inches—red and dark red clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

 Intermingled areas of Decatur, Etowah, and Fullerton soils

Dissimilar soils:

 Narrow areas of Steadman and Sequatchie soils along drainageways and in depressions

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

• Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.

- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 2e

# DeC2—Dewey silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres

## **Composition**

Dewey soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—dark reddish brown silt loam

Subsurface layer:

8 to 20 inches—reddish brown clay loam

Subsoil:

20 to 31 inches—red clay

31 to 60 inches—red and dark red clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

Intermingled areas of Decatur, Etowah, and Fullerton soils

Dissimilar soils:

 Narrow areas of Steadman and Sequatchie soils along drainageways and in depressions

# **Use and Management**

# Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

## **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 3e

# DeD2—Dewey silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Side slopes Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres

#### **Composition**

Dewey soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# **Typical Profile**

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—dark reddish brown silt loam

Subsurface layer:

8 to 20 inches—reddish brown clay loam

Subsoil:

20 to 31 inches—red clay

31 to 60 inches—red and dark red clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

Intermingled areas of Decatur, Etowah, and Fullerton soils

Dissimilar soils:

- Narrow areas of Steadman and Sequatchie soils along drainageways and in depressions
- Isolated areas of Talbott soils and rock outcrop at the base of slopes

#### Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff and a lower moisture supply. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or

building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.

• Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 4e

# DhD—Ditney sandy loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes

## Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 10 to 90 acres

# **Composition**

Ditney soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Available water capacity: Low or moderate
Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 4 inches—brown sandy loam

Subsurface layer:

4 to 9 inches—yellowish brown sandy loam

Subsoil.

9 to 29 inches—yellowish brown and strong brown sandy loam

Underlying material:

29 to 36 inches—strong brown sandy loam

Bedrock:

36 inches—hard metasandstone

# **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Soco soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Ditney soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Unicoi soils
- Widely scattered areas of rock outcrop

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff and a lower moisture supply. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.

- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 6e

# Du—Dunning silty clay loam, occasionally flooded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Flood plains

Landform position: Linear slopes and depressions

Shape of areas: Roughly oval or irregular

Size of areas: 5 to 70 acres Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

#### **Composition**

Dunning soil and similar soils: 90 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

#### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Poorly drained

Permeability: Slow

Available water capacity: High

Depth to seasonal high water table: 0 to 6 inches from

December to May Flooding: Occasional

Reaction: Moderately acid to slightly alkaline Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

#### Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 12 inches—very dark gray silty clay loam

Subsoil:

12 to 34 inches—dark gray clay that has strong brown and yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

Underlying material:

34 to 60 inches—dark gray gravelly clay that has yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have a lighter colored surface layer than the Dunning soil
- Intermingled areas of Dunning soils that have a buried surface layer

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Leadvale soils
- Intermingled areas of Steadman soils
- Intermingled areas of somewhat poorly drained soils

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The main limitations affecting cultivated crops are wetness and flooding.
- Wetness delays planting and hinders harvesting operations in most years.
- There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The main limitations affecting pasture and hayland are wetness and flooding.
- Livestock grazing when the soil is wet can result in soil compaction and loss of productivity.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The use of equipment is severely limited by soil wetness and the surface texture. Excessive soil damage caused by rutting and miring occurs when the soil is wet. Forestry operations should be planned for drier times of the year. Where possible, roads should be located on nearby soils that are better suited to roads.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by soil wetness. Preparing the seedbed so that seedlings can be planted on ridges helps to overcome the soil

wetness. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.

- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of soil wetness. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

 Flooding and wetness are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding and wetness should be selected.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 3w

# Dv—Dunning silt loam, overwash, occasionally flooded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Flood plains

Landform position: Linear slopes and depressions

Shape of areas: Oval or irregular Size of areas: 5 to 70 acres Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

# Composition

Dunning soil and similar soils: 80 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 20 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Poorly drained

Permeability: Slow

Available water capacity: High

Depth to seasonal high water table: 0 to 12 inches

from December to May Flooding: Occasional

Reaction: Moderately acid to slightly alkaline Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 3 inches—dark brown silt loam 3 to 11 inches—brown silt loam

Buried surface layer:

11 to 20 inches—dark grayish brown clay that has strong brown masses of iron concentration

Subsoil:

20 to 29 inches—very dark grayish brown clay that has yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

29 to 40 inches—grayish brown clay that has yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

Underlying material:

40 to 60 inches—yellowish brown clay that has yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have a lighter colored surface layer than the Dunning soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Leadvale soils
- · Intermingled areas of Steadman soils
- Intermingled areas of somewhat poorly drained soils

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The main limitations affecting cultivated crops are wetness and flooding.
- Wetness delays planting and hinders harvesting operations in most years.
- There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The main limitations affecting pasture and hayland are wetness and flooding.
- Livestock grazing when the soil is wet can result in soil compaction and loss of productivity.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The use of equipment is severely limited by soil wetness.
- Excessive soil damage caused by rutting and miring

occurs when the soil is wet. Forestry operations should be planned for drier times of the year. Where possible, roads should be located on nearby soils that are better suited to roads.

- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by soil wetness. Preparing the seedbed so that seedlings can be planted on ridges helps to overcome the wetness limitation. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of soil wetness. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

 Flooding and wetness are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding and wetness should be selected.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3w

# EtB—Etowah loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Stream terraces and alluvial fans Landform position: Summits, footslopes, and

toeslopes

Shape of areas: Roughly oval or elongated

Size of areas: 4 to 25 acres

# Composition

Etowah soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate Available water capacity: High

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 10 inches—dark brown loam

10 to 14 inches—very dark grayish brown loam

Subsurface layer:

14 to 24 inches—brown clay loam

Subsoil:

24 to 60 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Dewey and Waynesboro soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have less clay in the subsoil than the Etowah soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Scattered small depressions that are ponded for short periods
- Intermingled areas of soils that have a cobbly or gravelly surface layer

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# EtC—Etowah loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Stream terraces and alluvial fans Landform position: Summits, footslopes, and

toeslopes

Shape of areas: Roughly oval or elongated

Size of areas: 4 to 25 acres

# Composition

Etowah soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate Available water capacity: High

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Floodina: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 10 inches—dark brown loam

10 to 14 inches—very dark grayish brown loam

Subsurface layer:

14 to 24 inches—brown clay loam

Subsoil:

24 to 60 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

Intermingled areas of Dewey and Waynesboro soils

 Intermingled areas of soils that have less clay in the subsoil than the Etowah soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Scattered small depressions that are ponded for short periods
- Intermingled areas of soils that have a cobbly or gravelly surface layer

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3e

# FuC2—Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes Shape of areas: Roughly oval or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

#### **Composition**

Fullerton soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 7 inches—brown gravelly silt loam

Subsurface layer:

7 to 16 inches—yellowish brown gravelly silt loam

Subsoil:

16 to 34 inches—yellowish red gravelly clay 34 to 60 inches—red gravelly clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Dewey soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Fullerton soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Scattered depressions and sinks that are ponded for short periods
- Talbott soils near slope breaks

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.
- The gravelly surface layer may be a hindrance to tillage equipment.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 3e

# FuD2—Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes Shape of areas: Roughly oval or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

#### Composition

Fullerton soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 7 inches—brown gravelly silt loam

Subsurface layer:

7 to 16 inches—yellowish brown gravelly silt loam

Subsoil:

16 to 34 inches—yellowish red gravelly clay 34 to 60 inches—red gravelly clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- · Intermingled areas of Dewey soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Fullerton soil

Dissimilar soils:

Talbott soils near slope breaks

#### Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to

control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth

- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.
- The gravelly surface layer may be a hindrance to tillage equipment.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff, lower moisture supply, and the gravelly surface texture.

  Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired

stand is attained.

- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 4e

# FuE2—Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 25 to 60 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Side slopes

Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

#### Composition

Fullerton soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# **Typical Profile**

Surface layer:

0 to 7 inches—brown gravelly silt loam

Subsurface layer:

7 to 16 inches—yellowish brown gravelly silt loam

Subsoil:

16 to 34 inches—yellowish red gravelly clay 34 to 60 inches—red gravelly clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Dewey soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Fullerton soil

Dissimilar soils:

• Talbott soils near slope breaks

#### Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil is difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope.
- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff, lower moisture supply, and the gravelly surface texture.
   Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired

Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.

- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope is a severe limitation affecting urban uses. This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7e

# HnB—Holston loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: High stream terraces Landform position: Summits

Shape of areas: Roughly oval or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

#### **Composition**

Holston soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 10 inches-brown loam

Subsurface layer:

10 to 18 inches—yellowish brown loam

Subsoil:

18 to 38 inches—yellowish brown and strong brown clay loam

38 to 60 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

 Intermingled areas of Dewey, Etowah, and Waynesboro soils

Dissimilar soils:

Steadman soils along adjacent drainageways

## Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

#### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# HnC—Holston loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: High stream terraces

Landform position: Summits and side slopes Shape of areas: Roughly oval or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

# **Composition**

Holston soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

## Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 10 inches—brown loam

Subsurface layer:

10 to 18 inches—yellowish brown loam

Subsoil:

18 to 38 inches—yellowish brown and strong brown clay loam

38 to 60 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of Dewey, Etowah, and Waynesboro soils

Dissimilar soils:

• Steadman soils along adjacent drainageways

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

# Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3e

# JcD—Junaluska-Cataska complex, 12 to 25 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular

Size of areas: 10 to several hundred acres

# Composition

Junaluska soil and similar soils: 50 to 60 percent Cataska soil and similar soils: 25 to 35 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Junaluska—well drained; Cataska excessively drained

Permeability: Junaluska—moderate; Cataska—

moderately rapid or rapid

Available water capacity: Junaluska—low or

moderate; Cataska—very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72 inches

Floodina: None

Reaction: Junaluska—extremely acid to moderately acid; Cataska—extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: Junaluska—20 to 40 inches;

Cataska—10 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

#### Junaluska

Surface layer:

0 to 3 inches—yellowish brown silt loam

Subsoil:

3 to 12 inches—strong brown silty clay loam 12 to 29 inches—strong brown and yellowish red channery silty clay loam

Bedrock:

29 to 40 inches—soft, fractured metasiltstone

#### Cataska

Surface laver:

0 to 2 inches—dark yellowish brown channery silt loam

Subsoil:

2 to 8 inches—yellowish brown very channery silt

8 to 12 inches—dark yellowish brown extremely channery silt loam

Bedrock:

12 to 40 inches—soft, fractured slate

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Junaluska and Cataska soils
- Intermingled areas of Sylco soils

Dissimilar soils:

 Intermingled areas of soils that have soft bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

- Susceptibility to erosion, the slope, the restricted rooting depth, and the very low or low available water capacity are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low or low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope and depth to bedrock are the main limitations affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting septic tank absorption fields. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 6s

# LeB—Leadvale silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Stream terraces and alluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes

Shape of areas: Elongated or irregular

Size of areas: 5 to 30 acres

# Composition

Leadvale soil: 85 to 90 percent Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Moderately well drained Permeability: Slow or moderately slow Available water capacity: Moderate or high

Depth to seasonal high water table: 24 to 36 inches

from January to April

Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 48 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 9 inches—brown silt loam

Subsoil:

9 to 17 inches—brownish yellow silt loam
17 to 31 inches—yellowish brown silty clay loam
31 to 55 inches—yellowish brown clay that has light brownish gray masses of iron depletion

Bedrock:

55 to 62 inches—soft, weakly consolidated shale

# **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Holston and Sequatchie soils
- Intermingled areas of somewhat poorly drained soils

#### Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

- Wetness may delay planting or hinder harvesting operations.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management,

contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Livestock grazing when the soil is wet can result in soil compaction and loss of productivity.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slow permeability, wetness, and low soil strength are severe limitations affecting urban uses.
   These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.
- Because of the slow permeability and wetness, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# LnB—Lonon gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes

#### Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: Colluvial or alluvial fans Landform position: Footslopes

Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

# Composition

Lonon soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# **Typical Profile**

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—brown gravelly loam

Subsurface layer:

8 to 13 inches—yellowish brown gravelly loam

Subsoil:

13 to 22 inches—yellowish red clay loam 22 to 47 inches—yellowish red gravelly clay loam

47 to 60 inches—yellowish red gravelly loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have browner subsoils than the Lonon soil
- Intermingled areas of Braddock soils

Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Lostcove soils

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# LnC—Lonon gravelly loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: Colluvial or alluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

#### Composition

Lonon soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

#### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

Inches
Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—brown gravelly loam

Subsurface layer:

8 to 13 inches—yellowish brown gravelly loam

#### Subsoil:

13 to 22 inches—yellowish red clay loam 22 to 47 inches—yellowish red gravelly clay loam 47 to 60 inches—yellowish red gravelly loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have browner subsoils than the Lonon soil
- · Intermingled areas of Braddock soils

Dissimilar soils:

• Intermingled areas of Lostcove soils

# **Use and Management**

#### Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

Because of the restricted soil permeability, the

careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.

- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 3e

# LnD—Lonon gravelly loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: Colluvial or alluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

# **Composition**

Lonon soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

#### Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—brown gravelly loam

Subsurface layer:

8 to 13 inches—yellowish brown gravelly loam

Subsoil:

13 to 22 inches—yellowish red clay loam 22 to 47 inches—yellowish red gravelly clay loam 47 to 60 inches—yellowish red gravelly loam

#### Minor Soils

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have browner subsoils than the Lonon soil
- Intermingled areas of Braddock soils

Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Lostcove soils

#### Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.

- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 4e

# LsC—Lostcove very cobbly loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, extremely bouldery

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Colluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes Shape of areas: Elongated or roughly rectangular

Size of areas: 5 to 75 acres

# **Composition**

Lostcove soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Low or moderate

Depth to seasonal high water table: 60 to 72 inches

from October to April

Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid Depth to bedrock: More than 72 inches

#### Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—brown very cobbly loam

Subsoil:

8 to 31 inches—brown and strong brown very cobbly clay loam

31 to 72 inches—strong brown and yellowish brown very cobbly loam

#### Minor Soils

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Lostcove soils that have fewer boulders on the surface
- Intermingled areas of Northcove soils

Dissimilar soils:

• Intermingled areas of Braddock and Lonon soils

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the slope, the cobbly surface layer, and boulders on the soil surface are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- The very cobbly surface layer and boulders on the soil surface may increase the difficulty of pasture establishment and maintenance.
- The boulders on the soil surface limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The numerous boulders and stones on the soil surface severely limit the use of equipment. Operating wheeled equipment, building access roads, harvesting timber, and the mechanized planting of seedlings are very difficult and expensive in most places.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the numerous boulders, stones, and cobbles on and in the surface layer.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

 Boulders and stones on the soil surface are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7s

# LsD—Lostcove very cobbly loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, extremely bouldery

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Colluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes Shape of areas: Elongated or roughly rectangular

Size of areas: 5 to 75 acres

#### Composition

Lostcove soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 20 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Low or moderate

Depth to seasonal high water table: 60 to 72 inches

from October to April

Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid Depth to bedrock: More than 72 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 8 inches—brown very cobbly loam

Subsoil:

8 to 31 inches—brown and strong brown very cobbly clay loam

31 to 72 inches—strong brown and yellowish brown very cobbly loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Lostcove soils that have fewer boulders on the surface
- · Intermingled areas of Northcove soils

Dissimilar soils:

• Intermingled areas of Braddock and Lonon soils

# **Use and Management**

# Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the slope, the cobbly surface layer, and boulders on the soil surface are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- The very cobbly surface layer and boulders on the soil surface may increase the difficulty of pasture establishment and maintenance.
- The slope and boulders on the soil surface limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The numerous boulders and stones on the soil surface severely limit the use of equipment. Operating wheeled equipment, building access roads, harvesting timber, and the mechanized planting of seedlings are very difficult and expensive in most places.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the numerous boulders, stones, and cobbles on and in the surface layer.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

 Boulders and stones on the soil surface and the slope are severe limitations affecting urban uses.
 These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

#### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7s

# MtC2—Montevallo channery silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres

# Composition

Montevallo soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Very low or low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid to moderately acid

Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—brown channery silt loam

Subsoil:

5 to 17 inches—yellowish brown very channery silt loam

Bedrock:

17 to 38 inches—soft, fractured shale 38 inches—hard shale

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Montevallo soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have soft bedrock at a depth of less than 10 inches
- Widely scattered areas of rock outcrop
- Intermingled areas of Nonaburg soils

#### Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion, the shallow rooting zone, and the very low or low available water capacity are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

· The shallow rooting depth and very low or low

available water capacity are the main limitations affecting pasture and hayland.

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low or low available water capacity. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Depth to bedrock is a severe limitation affecting urban uses. This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 4e

# MtD2—Montevallo channery silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded

## Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres

#### **Composition**

Montevallo soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Very low or low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid to moderately acid

Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—brown channery silt loam

Subsoil:

5 to 17 inches—yellowish brown very channery silt loam

Bedrock:

17 to 38 inches—soft, fractured shale 38 inches—hard shale

#### Minor Soils

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Montevallo soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have soft bedrock at a depth of less than 10 inches
- Widely scattered areas of rock outcrop
- Intermingled areas of Nonaburg soils

#### Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion, the shallow rooting zone, the very low or low available water capacity, and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

• This soil is difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope, shallow rooting depth, and very low or low available water capacity.

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low or low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

## **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

• Depth to bedrock and the slope are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

#### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 6e

# MuC2—Muse silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Colluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

# **Composition**

Muse soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Slow

Available water capacity: High

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 40 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 6 inches—brown silt loam

Subsurface layer:

6 to 14 inches—dark yellowish brown silt loam

Subsoil:

14 to 38 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay 38 to 53 inches—strong brown silty clay

Underlying material:

53 to 60 inches—strong brown silty clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have loamy textures in the subsoil
- Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Muse soil

Dissimilar soils:

• Townley soils on adjacent side slopes

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3e

# MwE—Muskingum-Chiswell complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 10 to 100 acres

# Composition

Muskingum soil and similar soils: 50 to 60 percent Chiswell soil and similar soils: 30 to 40 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Muskingum—low or

moderate; Chiswell—very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Muskingum—very strongly acid to moderately acid; Chiswell—extremely acid to

moderately acid

Depth to bedrock: Muskingum—20 to 40 inches;

Chiswell—10 to 20 inches

# **Typical Profile**

#### Muskingum

Surface layer:

0 to 3 inches—brown channery loam

Subsoil:

3 to 10 inches—brown channery loam 10 to 22 inches—brown loam

Underlying material:

22 to 29 inches—brown channery loam

Bedrock:

29 to 40 inches—soft, fractured fine-grained sandstone

#### Chiswell

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—dark brown channery loam

Subsoil

2 to 16 inches—yellowish brown and brown very channery loam

Bedrock:

16 to 60 inches—soft, fractured interbedded siltstone and fine-grained sandstone

# **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Muskingum and Chiswell soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have redder colors in the subsoil than the Muskingum and Chiswell soils

Dissimilar soils:

 Intermingled areas of soils that have soft bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches

# **Use and Management**

#### Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the restricted rooting zone, the very low or low available water capacity, and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- These soils are difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope, shallow rooting depth, and low available water capacity.
- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

# Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low or low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.

• See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Depth to bedrock and the slope are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7e

# NnC3—Nonaburg channery silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded, rocky

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 200 acres

# **Composition**

Nonaburg soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

#### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained
Permeability: Moderately slow
Available water capacity: Very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Slightly acid to slightly alkaline

Depth to bedrock: 8 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—brown channery silt loam

Subsurface layer:

2 to 6 inches—strong brown channery silt loam

Subsoil:

6 to 14 inches—strong brown channery silty clay

Bedrock:

14 to 41 inches—soft, fractured calcareous shale

41 inches—hard calcareous shale

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that are moderately eroded
- Widely scattered areas of limestone or shale rock outcrop

Dissimilar soils:

· Townley soils on ridge summits

#### Use and Management

## Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the restricted rooting zone, and the very low available water capacity are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The shallow rooting depth and very low available water capacity are the main limitations affecting pasture and hayland.
- The slope and scattered areas of rock outcrop limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

# Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low available water capacity. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

Depth to bedrock is a severe limitation affecting

urban uses. This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome.

 Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

#### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 6s

# NnD3—Nonaburg channery silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded, rocky

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 50 acres

# Composition

Nonaburg soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately slow Available water capacity: Very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Slightly acid to slightly alkaline

Depth to bedrock: 8 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—brown channery silt loam

Subsurface layer:

2 to 6 inches—strong brown channery silt loam

Subsoil:

6 to 14 inches—strong brown channery silty clay

Bedrock:

14 to 41 inches—soft, fractured calcareous shale 41 inches—hard calcareous shale

#### Minor Soils

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that are moderately eroded
- Widely scattered areas of limestone or shale rock outcrop

Dissimilar soils:

· Townley soils on ridge summits

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the restricted rooting zone, the very low available water capacity, and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

## Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil is difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope, shallow rooting depth, and very low available water capacity.
- The slope and scattered areas of rock outcrop limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

# Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7s

# NnE3—Nonaburg channery silt loam, 25 to 60 percent slopes, severely eroded, rocky

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 50 acres

# Composition

Nonaburg soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained
Permeability: Moderately slow
Available water capacity: Very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Slightly acid to slightly alkaline

Depth to bedrock: 8 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—brown channery silt loam

Subsurface layer:

2 to 6 inches—strong brown channery silt loam

Subsoil.

6 to 14 inches—strong brown channery silty clay

Bedrock:

14 to 41 inches—soft, fractured calcareous shale 41 inches—hard calcareous shale

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that are moderately eroded
- Widely scattered areas of limestone or shale rock outcrop

Dissimilar soils:

• Townley soils on ridge summits

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the restricted rooting zone, the very low available water capacity, and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

## Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil is difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope, shallow rooting depth, and very low available water capacity.
- The slope and scattered areas of rock outcrop limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.

- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 7s

# NoF—Northcove stony sandy loam, 35 to 50 percent slopes, bouldery

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: Colluvial fans and coves

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes Shape of areas: Long and narrow or roughly

rectangular

Size of areas: 5 to 100 acres

# Composition

Northcove soil and similar soils: 85 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

#### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Available water capacity: Low or moderate Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid Depth to bedrock: More than 72 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—dark brown stony sandy loam

Subsoil:

5 to 18 inches—dark yellowish brown very cobbly sandy loam

18 to 40 inches—yellowish brown very cobbly loam

Underlying material:

40 to 72 inches—yellowish brown extremely cobbly sandy loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

 Intermingled areas of Northcove soils that have fewer stones on the surface

Dissimilar soils:

• Intermingled areas of Braddock and Lonon soils

#### Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the slope, the stony surface layer, and boulders on the soil surface are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- The stony surface layer and boulders on the soil surface may increase the difficulty of pasture establishment and maintenance.
- The slope and boulders on the soil surface limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- The numerous boulders and stones on the soil surface severely limit the use of equipment.
- Operating wheeled equipment, building access

roads, harvesting timber, and the mechanized planting of seedlings are very difficult and expensive in most places.

- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the numerous boulders and stones on and in the surface layer.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

 Boulders and stones on the soil surface and the slope are severe limitations affecting urban uses.
 These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7s

# Po—Pope sandy loam, occasionally flooded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Narrow flood plains

Landform position: Linear to slightly convex slopes

Shape of areas: Elongated Size of areas: 5 to 15 acres Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

# Composition

Pope soil and similar soils: 90 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Moderate or moderately rapid

Available water capacity: Moderate

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 60

inches

Flooding: Occasional

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 72 inches

#### Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 4 inches—brown sandy loam

Subsoil

4 to 24 inches—dark yellowish brown and yellowish brown sandy loam

24 to 32 inches—strong brown cobbly sandy loam

Underlying material:

32 to 60 inches—yellowish brown cobbly loamy sand

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

Sequatchie soils on adjacent low stream terraces

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Steadman soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Pope soil

#### Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.

## Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- There is a potential for hay crop damage from flooding.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

# Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

## **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

Flooding is a severe limitation affecting urban uses.
 This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding should be selected.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2w

# Ro—Rosman sandy loam, occasionally flooded

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Flood plains

Landform position: Linear to slightly convex slopes

Shape of areas: Elongated or irregular

Size of areas: 5 to 30 acres Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

# Composition

Rosman soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Available water capacity: Moderate or high

Depth to seasonal high water table: 48 to more than

60 inches from December to April

Flooding: Occasional

Reaction: Strongly acid to slightly acid Depth to bedrock: 60 inches or more

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 10 inches—dark brown sandy loam 10 to 19 inches—dark brown sandy loam

Subsoil:

19 to 49 inches—dark yellowish brown and brown sandy loam

Underlying material:

49 to 64 inches—dark yellowish brown loamy sand

#### Minor Soils

Similar soils:

• Intermingled soils that have lighter colored surface layers than the Rosman soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Moderately well drained soils in the slightly concave landform positions
- Soils that have sandy textures throughout, along riverbanks and streambanks
- Braddock soils on adjacent high stream terraces

#### Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

• There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited
Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited
Management measures and considerations:

There is a potential for hay crop damage from

- There is a potential for hay crop damage from flooding.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Flooding is a severe limitation affecting urban uses. This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding should be selected.

#### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2w

# Sb—Sequatchie loam, rarely flooded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Low stream terraces Landform position: Linear slopes

Shape of areas: Elongated or roughly rectangular

Size of areas: 5 to 30 acres Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

# **Composition**

Sequatchie soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: Rare

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 10 inches—dark brown loam

Subsurface layer:

10 to 17 inches—brown loam

Subsoil:

17 to 33 inches—strong brown clay loam 33 to 51 inches—strong brown loam

Underlying material:

51 to 62 inches—strong brown sandy loam

## **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Staser soils on adjacent flood plains

Dissimilar soils:

- Steadman soils on adjacent flood plains
- · Sequatchie soils that are occasionally flooded

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- There is a potential for hay crop damage from flooding.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

Flooding is a severe limitation affecting urban uses.
 This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding should be selected.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 1

# ShB—Shelocta silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Colluvial fans and coves

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

# **Composition**

Shelocta soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 48 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 10 inches—brown silt loam

Subsoil:

10 to 17 inches—strong brown silty clay loam
17 to 48 inches—strong brown channery silty clay

48 to 60 inches—strong brown channery silt loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

 Intermingled areas of soils that have more clay in the subsoil than the Shelocta soil

# Dissimilar soils:

- Steadman soils on adjacent flood plains
- Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Shelocta soil

# **Use and Management**

#### Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

## Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# ShC—Shelocta silt loam, 5 to 15 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Colluvial fans and coves

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 4 to 50 acres

# **Composition**

Shelocta soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 48 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 10 inches—brown silt loam

Subsoil:

10 to 17 inches—strong brown silty clay loam17 to 48 inches—strong brown channery silty clay loam

48 to 60 inches—strong brown channery silt loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have more clay in the subsoil than the Shelocta soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Steadman soils on adjacent flood plains
- Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Shelocta soil

#### Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

## Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3e

# SoD—Soco loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes

#### Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 70 acres

#### Composition

Soco soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Available water capacity: Moderate or high

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—very dark grayish brown loam

Subsoil:

2 to 29 inches—yellowish brown, brownish yellow, and strong brown loam

Underlying material:

29 to 34 inches—strong brown loam

Bedrock:

34 to 50 inches—soft metasandstone

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have more rock fragments throughout than the Soco soil

Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Cataska, Ditney, and Unicoi soils

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hav crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff and a lower moisture supply. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

#### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 6e

# SoE—Soco-Cataska complex, 25 to 80 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Side slopes Shape of areas: Irregular

Size of areas: 10 to several hundred acres

# **Composition**

Soco soil: 50 to 70 percent

Cataska soil: 25 to 40 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Soco—well drained; Cataska—

excessively drained

Permeability: Soco—moderately rapid; Cataska—

moderately rapid or rapid

Available water capacity: Soco—moderate or high;

Cataska—very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

Inches
Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: Soco—20 to 40 inches; Cataska—

10 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

#### Soco

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—very dark grayish brown loam

Subsoil:

2 to 29 inches—yellowish brown, brownish yellow, and strong brown loam

Underlying material:

29 to 34 inches—strong brown loam

Bedrock:

34 to 50 inches—soft metasandstone

#### Cataska

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—dark yellowish brown channery silt loam

Subsoil:

2 to 8 inches—yellowish brown very channery silt loam

8 to 12 inches—dark yellowish brown extremely channery silt loam

Bedrock:

12 to 40 inches—soft, fractured slate

#### **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

 Intermingled areas of Ditney, Junaluska, Sylco, and Unicoi soils

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the restricted rooting zone, the very low available water capacity, and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

## Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- These soils are difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope and very low available water capacity.
- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7s

# St—Staser loam, occasionally flooded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Flood plains

Landform position: Linear slopes

Shape of areas: Long and narrow or roughly

rectangular

Size of areas: 5 to 30 acres Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

# Composition

Staser soil and similar soils: 85 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Moderate or moderately rapid

Available water capacity: High

Depth to seasonal high water table: 36 to 48 inches

from December to March

Flooding: Occasional

Reaction: Moderately acid to neutral Depth to bedrock: 60 inches or more

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 11 inches—dark brown loam

Subsurface layer:

11 to 36 inches—very dark grayish brown and dark brown loam

Subsoil:

36 to 46 inches—brown loam

46 to 60 inches—dark yellowish brown fine sandy loam

Underlying material:

60 to 72 inches—dark yellowish brown fine sandy loam

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Combs soils on or near riverbanks
- Sequatchie soils on adjacent stream terraces

Dissimilar soils:

• Intermingled areas of Steadman soils

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

• There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- There is a potential for hay crop damage from flooding.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Flooding is a severe limitation affecting urban uses. This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding should be selected.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 2w

# Su—Steadman silt loam, occasionally flooded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Flood plains and drainageways

Landform position: Linear or slightly concave slopes

Shape of areas: Long and narrow or irregular

Size of areas: 5 to 100 acres Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

# Composition

Steadman soil and similar soils: 90 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

#### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Permeability: Moderate
Available water capacity: High

Depth to seasonal high water table: 24 to 36 inches

from December to April Flooding: Occasional

Reaction: Strongly acid to slightly alkaline Depth to bedrock: 60 inches or more

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 9 inches—dark yellowish brown silt loam

Subsoil:

9 to 20 inches—yellowish brown silt loam

20 to 35 inches—yellowish brown silt loam that has light brownish gray masses of iron depletion and yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

Underlying material:

35 to 60 inches—yellowish brown silt loam that has light brownish gray masses of iron depletion and yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

 Intermingled soils that have less silt in the subsoil than the Steadman soil

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Pope soils
- Sequatchie soils on low stream terraces
- Dunning soils in depressions

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.
- Wetness may delay planting or hinder harvesting operations.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited
Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited
Management measures and considerations:

- There is a potential for hay crop damage from flooding.
- Livestock grazing when the soil is wet can result in soil compaction and loss of productivity.

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Flooding and wetness are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding should be selected.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2w

# TaD2—Talbott-Rock outcrop complex, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes (fig. 3) Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 5 to 80 acres

# **Composition**

Talbott soil: 55 to 75 percent Rock outcrop: 20 to 35 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

# Properties and Qualities of the Talbott Soil

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately slow

Available water capacity: Low or moderate Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Strongly acid to slightly acid Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

# Typical Profile

#### **Talbott**

Surface layer:

0 to 6 inches—brown silty clay loam

Subsoil

6 to 32 inches—yellowish red clay

Bedrock:

32 inches—hard limestone

#### **Rock outcrop**

Rock outcrop consists of intermingled areas of exposed limestone and areas that have less than 2 or 3 inches of soil over limestone bedrock. It is scattered throughout the map unit. Most outcrops protrude from a few inches to about 24 inches above the surface. Rock outcrop supports little or no vegetation.

# **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have hard bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches
- Intermingled areas of Dewey and Decatur soils
- Random areas of soils that have hard bedrock at a depth of less than 20 inches

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the slope, the restricted rooting zone, and the presence of rock outcrops are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope and areas of rock outcrop limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

• The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize



Figure 3.—An area of Talbott-Rock outcrop complex, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded. The extensive areas of rock outcrop are a severe limitation affecting management practices that require the frequent use of equipment.

disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.

- Damage to tree roots from soil compaction and rutting can occur when the soil is wet. Forestry operations should be planned for drier times of the year.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by the surface texture, increased rates of surface water runoff, and a lower moisture supply. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.

• See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope, areas of rock outcrop, and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: Talbott—6e; Rock outcrop—8s

# TaE2—Talbott-Rock outcrop complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Side slopes

Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular

Size of areas: 5 to 80 acres

# Composition

Talbott soil: 55 to 75 percent Rock outcrop: 20 to 35 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

#### **Properties and Qualities of the Talbott Soil**

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately slow

Available water capacity: Low or moderate
Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Strongly acid to slightly acid Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

#### Typical Profile

# **Talbott**

Surface layer:

0 to 6 inches—brown silty clay loam

Subsoil:

6 to 32 inches—yellowish red clay

Bedrock:

32 inches—hard limestone

#### **Rock outcrop**

Rock outcrop consists of intermingled areas of

exposed limestone and areas that have less than 2 or 3 inches of soil over limestone bedrock. It is scattered throughout the map unit. Most outcrops protrude from a few inches to about 12 inches above the surface. Rock outcrop supports little or no vegetation.

#### **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have hard bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches
- Intermingled areas of Dewey and Decatur soils
- Random areas of soils that have hard bedrock at a depth of less than 20 inches

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the slope, the restricted rooting zone, and the presence of rock outcrops are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope and areas of rock outcrop may increase the difficulty of pasture establishment and maintenance.
- The slope and areas of rock outcrop limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- Damage to tree roots from soil compaction and rutting can occur when the soil is wet. Forestry

operations should be planned for drier times of the year.

- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by the surface texture, increased rates of surface water runoff, and a lower moisture supply. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope, areas of rock outcrop, and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: Talbott—7e; Rock outcrop—8s

# ToB2—Townley silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres

# Composition

Townley soil: 85 to 95 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Slow

Available water capacity: Low or moderate Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

# **Typical Profile**

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—brown silt loam

Subsoil:

5 to 30 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay

Redrock

30 to 50 inches—soft, fractured shale

# **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Nonaburg and Montevallo soils

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

Because of the slow permeability and depth to

bedrock, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.

- The difficulty in excavating for dwellings with basements is increased by the depth to bedrock.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# ToC2—Townley silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres

# Composition

Townley soil: 85 to 95 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

#### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Slow

Available water capacity: Low or moderate Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—brown silt loam

Subsoil:

5 to 30 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay

Bedrock:

30 to 50 inches-soft, fractured shale

#### **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Nonaburg and Montevallo soils

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

- Because of the slow permeability and depth to bedrock, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields is required.
- The difficulty in excavating for dwellings with basements is increased by the depth to bedrock.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps

to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

#### Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3e

# ToD2—Townley silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 50 acres

# **Composition**

Townley soil: 85 to 95 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Slow

Available water capacity: Low or moderate

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

#### Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—brown silt loam

Subsoil:

5 to 30 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay

Bedrock

30 to 50 inches—soft, fractured shale

#### **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

Intermingled areas of Nonaburg and Montevallo soils

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff and a lower moisture supply. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

- The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or

building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.

- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.
- The difficulty in excavating for dwellings with basements is increased by the depth to bedrock.
- Reinforcing foundations, footings, and basements helps to prevent damage caused by shrinking and swelling.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 4e

# ToE2—Townley silt loam, 25 to 60 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Side slopes Shape of areas: Irregular Size of areas: 5 to 50 acres

# Composition

Townley soil: 85 to 95 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Slow

Available water capacity: Low or moderate
Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 5 inches—brown silt loam

Subsoil:

5 to 30 inches—strong brown and yellowish red clay

Bedrock:

30 to 50 inches-soft, fractured shale

#### **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Nonaburg and Montevallo soils
- Widely scattered areas of shale rock outcrop

# Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope may increase the difficulty of pasture establishment and maintenance.
- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff and a lower moisture supply. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.

• See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope is a severe limitation affecting urban uses. This limitation is difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 7e

# UdE—Unicoi-Ditney-Rock outcrop complex, 30 to 80 percent slopes

# Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular Size of areas: 10 to several hundred acres

# **Composition**

Unicoi soil and similar soils: 40 to 60 percent Ditney soil and similar soils: 25 to 40 percent

Rock outcrop: 15 to 30 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# **Properties and Qualities** of the Unicoi and Ditney Soils

Drainage class: Unicoi—excessively drained; Ditney well drained

Permeability: Moderately rapid

Available water capacity: Unicoi—very low; Ditney—

low or moderate

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72 inches

Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: Unicoi—7 to 20 inches; Ditney—20

to 40 inches

# Typical Profile

#### Unicoi

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—very dark grayish brown gravelly sandy loam

Subsoil:

2 to 12 inches—yellowish brown very gravelly sandy loam

Bedrock:

12 inches—hard, fractured metasandstone

# Ditnev

Surface layer:

0 to 4 inches—brown sandy loam

Subsurface layer:

4 to 9 inches—yellowish brown sandy loam

Subsoil:

9 to 29 inches—yellowish brown and strong brown sandy loam

Underlying material:

29 to 36 inches—strong brown sandy loam

36 inches—hard metasandstone

# Rock outcrop

Rock outcrop consists of intermingled areas of exposed sandstone or quartzite and areas that have less than 2 or 3 inches of soil over bedrock. It is scattered throughout the map unit. Most outcrops protrude from a few inches to about 24 inches above the surface. Rock outcrop supports little or no vegetation.

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Unicoi and Ditney soils
- Intermingled areas of soils that have bedrock at depths of 20 to 40 inches and that have more rock fragments throughout than the Unicoi and Ditney soils

Dissimilar soils:

- Intermingled areas of soils that have hard bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches
- Intermingled areas of Soco soils

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the slope, the restricted rooting zone, and the presence of rock outcrops are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

This map unit is difficult to manage for pasture and

hayland because of the slope, areas of rock outcrop, shallow rooting depth, and very low or low available water capacity.

- The slope and areas of rock outcrop limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low or low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: Unicoi and Ditney—7s; Rock outcrop—8s

# UnE—Unicoi-Rock outcrop complex, 30 to 80 percent slopes

#### Setting

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes Shape of areas: Roughly rectangular or irregular Size of areas: 10 to several hundred acres

# Composition

Unicoi soil and similar soils: 50 to 70 percent

Rock outcrop: 25 to 45 percent Dissimilar soils: 5 to 15 percent

# Properties and Qualities of the Unicoi Soil

Drainage class: Excessively drained Permeability: Moderately rapid Available water capacity: Very low

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid

Depth to bedrock: 7 to 20 inches

# Typical Profile

#### Unicoi

Surface layer:

0 to 2 inches—very dark grayish brown gravelly sandy loam

Subsoil:

2 to 12 inches—yellowish brown very gravelly sandy loam

Bedrock:

12 inches—hard, fractured metasandstone

#### **Rock outcrop**

Rock outcrop consists of intermingled areas of exposed sandstone or quartzite and areas that have less than 2 or 3 inches of soil over bedrock. It is scattered throughout the map unit and also occurs as vertical bluffs ranging from 10 to about 300 feet in height on Bluff Mountain and English Mountain. Most outcrops protrude from a few inches to about 24 inches above the surface. Rock outcrop supports little or no vegetation.

# **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have fewer rock fragments throughout than the Unicoi soil

Dissimilar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have hard bedrock at a depth of more than 40 inches

Intermingled areas of Ditney and Soco soils

#### Use and Management

# Cropland

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Susceptibility to erosion, the slope, the restricted rooting zone, and the areas of rock outcrop are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Poorly suited Suitability for hayland: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

- This map unit is difficult to manage for pasture and hayland because of the slope, areas of rock outcrop, shallow rooting depth, and very low available water capacity.
- The slope and areas of rock outcrop limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Careful planning is needed in forestry operations to minimize the hazard of erosion and maintain water quality.
- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, protecting permanent access roads through the use of gravel, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope may limit the practical use of conventional equipment.
- Logs can be cabled or winched to adjacent areas that have smoother slopes, and planting can be done by hand.
- Seedling mortality rates may be high due to the limited rooting depth and very low available water capacity. Available moisture may be further reduced on the warmer aspects. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Windthrow is a hazard in some areas because of the

limited rooting depth. This hazard may be reduced by applying a carefully regulated thinning program.

• See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• The slope, areas of rock outcrop, and depth to bedrock are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: Unicoi—7s; Rock outcrop—8s

# Ur-Urban land

# Setting

Urban land consists of areas where the surface is covered by asphalt, concrete, buildings, and other impervious surfaces. Parking lots, shopping centers, office buildings, and industrial areas are included in most areas. Most of this map unit is located on smooth, broad stream terraces that range from about 10 to 30 feet above the present stream level. The largest areas are along the West and Middle Prongs of the Little Pigeon River, within or near the city limits of Gatlinburg, Pigeon Forge, and Sevierville. Stream channels and drainage patterns have been altered in some areas in order to control flooding. Most areas are roughly oval or rectangular in shape and range from about 5 to 50 acres in size. Slopes range from 0 to 3 percent.

#### **Composition**

Urban land: 75 to 85 percent Dissimilar soils: 10 to 15 percent

#### **Properties and Qualities**

Examination and identification of properties and qualities of Urban land is impractical.

#### **Minor Soils**

Dissimilar soils:

- Small areas of Steadman, Sequatchie, and Staser soils in most delineations and areas of Lostcove soils in the Gatlinburg area
- Small areas immediately adjacent to streams that are flooded
- Areas of soils that have been covered with loamy or extremely channery fill material

- Areas of soils that have been disturbed during development
- Areas where fill material has been borrowed or landshaping has removed 20 to 60 inches or more of the soil and underlying material

# Use and Management

Most areas of this map unit are used for urban development. Areas of included soils and fill material may be suited for uses other than urban uses. The small size and location of these areas may be a limitation for some uses. Intensive onsite investigations are needed to determine the potential and limitations for any proposed use.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: None assigned

# W-Water

This map unit consists of bodies of water, such as lakes and ponds, and occurs throughout the survey area. It also includes areas of perennial streams that are wide enough to be shown within double lines on the detailed soil maps.

No land capability classification is assigned.

# WaB2—Waynesboro loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: High stream terraces Landform position: Summits Shape of areas: Oval or irregular Size of areas: 5 to 30 acres

# Composition

Waynesboro soil and similar soils: 90 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 9 inches—brown loam

Subsoil

9 to 20 inches—red clay 20 to 72 inches—dark red clay

#### **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Decatur, Dewey, and Holston soils
- Intermingled areas of Waynesboro soils that have a gravelly or cobbly surface layer

Dissimilar soils:

 Intermingled areas of soils that have bedrock at a depth of less than 60 inches

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

Because of the restricted soil permeability, the

careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.

 Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 2e

# WaC2—Waynesboro loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded

#### Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: High stream terraces

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Shape of areas: Oval or irregular Size of areas: 5 to 40 acres

# Composition

Waynesboro soil and similar soils: 90 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 9 inches—brown loam

Subsoil:

9 to 20 inches—red clay 20 to 72 inches—dark red clay

#### Minor Soils

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Decatur, Dewey, and Holston soils
- Intermingled areas of Waynesboro soils that have a gravelly or cobbly surface layer

Dissimilar soils:

 Intermingled areas of soils that have bedrock at a depth of less than 60 inches

# **Use and Management**

#### Cropland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited (fig. 4) Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

- In the steeper areas, the slope may limit the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Because of the restricted soil permeability, the careful planning and design of septic tank absorption fields may be required.
- Providing suitable subgrade or base material for local roads and streets helps to overcome the low soil strength.
- Grading or shaping land prior to construction helps to minimize the damage from surface water and prevent erosion.

# Interpretive Group

Land capability classification: 3e



Figure 4.—An area of Waynesboro loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded. This soil is well suited to pasture.

# WaD2—Waynesboro loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: High stream terraces Landform position: Side slopes

Shape of areas: Roughly oval or irregular

Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres

# **Composition**

Waynesboro soil and similar soils: 80 to 90 percent

Dissimilar soils: 10 to 20 percent

# Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Available water capacity: Moderate or high

Depth to seasonal high water table: More than 72

inches Flooding: None

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 9 inches—brown loam

Subsoil:

9 to 20 inches—red clay 20 to 72 inches—dark red clay

# **Minor Soils**

Similar soils:

- Intermingled areas of Decatur, Dewey, and Holston soils
- Intermingled areas of Waynesboro soils that have a gravelly or cobbly surface layer

Dissimilar soils:

• Intermingled areas of soils that have bedrock at a depth of less than 60 inches

# Use and Management

#### Cropland

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- Susceptibility to erosion and the slope are the main limitations affecting cultivated crops.
- The potential for erosion is greater when conventional tillage is used.
- Conservation tillage, crop residue management, contour farming, and the use of cover crops help to control erosion, increase infiltration, and maintain soil tilth.
- Surface water runoff can be controlled by terraces, grassed waterways, field borders, and filter strips.

#### Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Moderately suited Suitability for hayland: Poorly suited Management measures and considerations:

- The slope limits the use of equipment for harvesting hay crops.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Moderately suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The hazard of erosion can be reduced by locating roads and trails on the contour, installing water breaks and culverts, using logging methods that minimize disturbance to the surface layer, and reestablishing vegetation on roads and landings that are no longer used.
- The slope generally limits only the use of large specialized equipment. Slopes are typically short enough that the use of conventional equipment is possible.
- Seedling mortality rates may be affected by increased rates of surface water runoff and a lower moisture supply. Reinforcement plantings can be made until a desired stand is attained.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

# **Urban development**

Suitability: Poorly suited

Management measures and considerations:

- The slope is the main limitation affecting urban uses.
- Designing structures and septic tank absorption fields so that they conform to the natural slope or building in the less sloping areas helps to improve soil performance.
- Constructing roads on the contour and providing adequate water-control structures, such as culverts, help to maintain road stability.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 4e

# Wf—Whitesburg silt loam, occasionally flooded

# Setting

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Drainageways

Landform position: Linear slopes Shape of areas: Long and narrow Size of areas: 5 to 20 acres Slope range: 1 to 5 percent

#### Composition

Whitesburg soil and similar soils: 90 to 95 percent

Dissimilar soils: 5 to 10 percent

#### Soil Properties and Qualities

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Permeability: Moderate
Available water capacity: High

Depth to seasonal high water table: 24 to 48 inches

from December to March

Flooding: Occasional

Reaction: Slightly acid to slightly alkaline Depth to bedrock: 40 to 60 inches

# Typical Profile

Surface layer:

0 to 4 inches—brown silt loam

Subsoil:

4 to 18 inches—yellowish brown silt loam

18 to 25 inches—yellowish brown silty clay loam that has pale brown and strong brown masses of iron concentration

Underlying material:

25 to 53 inches—yellowish brown silty clay loam that

has light brownish gray masses of iron depletion and yellowish brown masses of iron concentration

#### Bedrock:

53 to 60 inches—soft calcareous shale

#### **Minor Soils**

#### Similar soils:

· Intermingled areas of Steadman soils

#### Dissimilar soils:

- Nonaburg soils on adjacent uplands
- Dunning soils in depressional areas

# **Use and Management**

# Cropland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- There is a potential for crop damage from flooding.
- Wetness may delay planting or hinder harvesting operations.

# Pasture and hayland

Suitability for pasture: Well suited Suitability for hayland: Moderately suited Management measures and considerations:

• There is a potential for hay crop damage from flooding.

- Livestock grazing when the soil is wet can result in soil compaction and loss of productivity.
- Proper stocking rates, pasture rotation, deferred grazing, and a well planned clipping and harvesting schedule are important management practices.
- Applying lime and fertilizer according to soil test recommendations helps to increase the availability of nutrients and maximize productivity.

#### Woodland

Suitability: Well suited

Management measures and considerations:

- This soil has few limitations affecting forest management.
- Proper site preparation helps to reduce plant competition from undesirable species.
- See table 7 for specific information concerning potential productivity and suggested trees to plant.

#### **Urban development**

Suitability: Unsuited

Management measures and considerations:

• Flooding and wetness are severe limitations affecting urban uses. These limitations are difficult and expensive to overcome. A site that is not subject to flooding should be selected.

# **Interpretive Group**

Land capability classification: 2w

# Use and Management of the Soils

This soil survey is an inventory and evaluation of the soils in the survey area. It can be used to adjust land uses to the limitations and potentials of natural resources and the environment. Also, it can help to prevent soil-related failures in land uses.

In preparing a soil survey, soil scientists, conservationists, engineers, and others collect extensive field data about the nature and behavioral characteristics of the soils. They collect data on erosion, droughtiness, flooding, and other factors that affect various soil uses and management. Field experience and collected data on soil properties and performance are used as a basis in predicting soil behavior.

Information in this section can be used to plan the use and management of soils for crops and pasture; as woodland; as sites for buildings, sanitary facilities, highways and other transportation systems, and parks and other recreational facilities; and for wildlife habitat. It can be used to identify the potentials and limitations of each soil for specific land uses and to help prevent construction failures caused by unfavorable soil properties.

Planners and others using soil survey information can evaluate the effect of specific land uses on productivity and on the environment in all or part of the survey area. The survey can help planners to maintain or create a land use pattern in harmony with the natural soil.

Contractors can use this survey to locate sources of sand and gravel, roadfill, and topsoil. They can use it to identify areas where bedrock, wetness, or very firm soil layers can cause difficulty in excavation.

Health officials, highway officials, engineers, and others may also find this survey useful. The survey can help them plan the safe disposal of wastes and locate sites for pavements, sidewalks, campgrounds, playgrounds, lawns, and trees and shrubs.

# **Crops and Pasture**

General management needed for crops and pasture is suggested in this section. The estimated yields of the main crops and pasture plants are listed for each soil, the system of land capability

classification used by the Natural Resources Conservation Service is explained, and prime farmland is described.

Planners of management systems for individual fields or farms should consider the detailed information given in the description of each soil under the heading "Detailed Soil Map Units." Specific information can be obtained from the local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service or the Cooperative Extension Service.

According to the 1987 Census of Agriculture, 78,192 acres in the survey area were used for crops and pasture. Of this total, 14,644 acres were used only for pasture or grazing and 88 acres were irrigated land.

Farming is competing with other land uses in the survey area. The acreage in crops and pasture is decreasing as more land is used for urban development. The 1987 Census shows a 12 percent decrease in harvested cropland and a 10 percent decrease in total cropland, including grazing land and other cropland for the same period. In 1982, the county had 1,176 farms and the average size of a farm was 80 acres. In 1987, the county had 953 farms and the average size of a farm was 82 acres. During this 5-year period, the number of farms decreased by 223, but the average farm size remained approximately the same. Much of the acreage being developed was well suited to crops and pastures. In general, the soils in the survey area that are well suited to crops and pasture are also well suited to urban development, with the exception of areas subject to flooding. Data on specific soils in the soil survey can be used in determining future land use priorities. Potential productive capacity of the soil for agricultural products should be weighed against the limitations and potential for non-farm development.

Protecting the soils used for cultivated crops from damaging erosion is not difficult. Most of this cropland is on nearly level or gently sloping bottom lands and stream terraces.

On livestock farms, which require pasture and hay, including legumes and grasses in the cropping system reduces the hazard of erosion on sloping land,

provides nitrogen, and improves tilth for the following crops.

In areas of Dewey, Decatur, and Fullerton soils, most slopes are so short that terracing is not practical. On these soils, a cropping system that provides a substantial plant cover is required for erosion control unless minimum tillage is practiced. Minimizing tillage and leaving crop residue on the surface conserve moisture and reduce the hazards of runoff and erosion.

Diversions reduce the length of slope, thus minimizing runoff and erosion. They are effective on sites having steep or long slopes above soils on toeslopes.

Contouring and contour stripcropping are effective erosion-control practices in the survey area. They are best adapted to soils that have fairly smooth, uniform slopes, including many areas of Dewey, Decatur, and Etowah soils.

Information on the design of erosion-control practices for each kind of soil is contained in the "Field Office Technical Guide," which is available at the office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

Soil drainage is not a management concern on most of the acreage used for crops and pasture in the survey area. There are about 400 acres of poorly drained Dunning soils and 1,800 acres of poorly drained Dunning soils, overwash, in the survey area. About half of these soils are in native forest. Artificial drainage has been used on some of these soils and is needed if they are used for crops and pasture. A combination of surface drainage and tile drainage is needed on these soils if they are intensively row cropped. Finding adequate outlets for tile drainage is difficult in many areas of these soils.

Most soils on uplands are naturally very strongly acid or strongly acid. Unless previously limed, these soils require applications of ground limestone in order to raise the pH level sufficiently for good growth of crops that grow best on slightly acid or neutral soils. Available phosphorous and potash levels are naturally low in most of these soils.

The soils on flood plains, such as Steadman and Whitesburg soils, are moderately acid to mildly alkaline and are naturally higher in plant nutrients than the soils on uplands.

On all soils, additions of lime and fertilizer should be based on soil tests, on the needs of the crop, and on the expected level of yields. The soil testing laboratory of the Cooperative Extension Service can help in determining the kinds and amounts of fertilizer and lime to be applied.

Specialty crops, including vegetables, fruits, and greenhouse and nursery plants, are now grown

commercially on a small acreage in the survey area. Because there is a large vegetable canning and warehouse operation in the county, the potential is good for expanding the acreage for the production of adapted vegetables and fruits.

The latest information and suggestions on growing specialty crops can be obtained from the local office of the Cooperative Extension Service or the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

#### Yields per Acre

The average yields per acre that can be expected of the principal crops under a high level of management are shown in table 5. In any given year, yields may be higher or lower than those indicated in the table because of variations in rainfall and other climatic factors. The land capability classification of each map unit also is shown in the table. Absence of a yield indicates that the crop is not suited or is not commonly grown in that soil map unit.

The yields are based mainly on the experience and records of farmers, conservationists, and extension agents. Available yield data from nearby counties and results of field trials and demonstrations are also considered.

The management needed to obtain the indicated yields of the various crops depends on the kind of soil and the crop. Management can include drainage, erosion control, and protection from flooding; the proper planting and seeding rates; suitable high-yielding crop varieties; appropriate and timely tillage; control of weeds, plant diseases, and harmful insects; favorable soil reaction and optimum levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and trace elements for each crop; effective use of crop residue, barnyard manure, and green manure crops; and harvesting that ensures the smallest possible loss.

The estimated yields reflect the productive capacity of each soil for each of the principal crops. Yields are likely to increase as new production technology is developed. The productivity of a given soil compared with that of other soils, however, is not likely to change.

Crops other than those shown in table 5 are grown in the survey area, but estimated yields are not listed because the acreage of such crops is small. The local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service or of the Cooperative Extension Service can provide information about the management and productivity of the soils for those crops.

# **Land Capability Classification**

Land capability classification shows, in a general way, the suitability of soils for most kinds of field crops.

Crops that require special management are excluded. The soils are grouped according to their limitations for field crops, the risk of damage if they are used for crops, and the way they respond to management. The criteria used in grouping the soils do not include major and generally expensive landforming that would change slope, depth, or other characteristics of the soils, nor do they include possible but unlikely major reclamation projects. Capability classification is not a substitute for interpretations designed to show suitability and limitations of groups of soils for woodland and for engineering purposes.

In the capability system, soils are generally grouped at three levels—capability class, subclass, and unit. Only class and subclass are used in this survey.

Capability classes, the broadest groups, are designated by numerals 1 through 8. The numerals indicate progressively greater limitations and narrower choices for practical use. The classes are defined as follows:

Class 1 soils have few limitations that restrict their use.

Class 2 soils have moderate limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require moderate conservation practices.

Class 3 soils have severe limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require special conservation practices, or both.

Class 4 soils have very severe limitations that reduce the choice of plants or that require very careful management, or both.

Class 5 soils are not likely to erode but have other limitations, impractical to remove, that limit their use.

Class 6 soils have severe limitations that make them generally unsuitable for cultivation.

Class 7 soils have very severe limitations that make them unsuitable for cultivation.

Class 8 soils and miscellaneous areas have limitations that nearly preclude their use for commercial crop production.

Capability subclasses are soil groups within one class. They are designated by adding a small letter, e, w, or s, to the class numeral, for example, 2e. The letter e shows that the main hazard is the risk of erosion unless close-growing plant cover is maintained; w shows that water in or on the soil interferes with plant growth or cultivation (in some soils the wetness can be partly corrected by artificial drainage); and s shows that the soil is limited mainly because it is shallow, droughty, or stony.

In class 1 there are no subclasses because the soils of this class have few limitations.

The capability classification of the map units in this

survey area is given in the section "Detailed Soil Map Units" and in the yields table.

#### **Prime Farmland**

Prime farmland is one of several kinds of important farmland defined by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. It is of major importance in meeting the Nation's short- and long-range needs for food and fiber. Because the supply of high-quality farmland is limited, the U.S. Department of Agriculture recognizes that responsible levels of government, as well as individuals, should encourage and facilitate the wise use of our Nation's prime farmland.

Prime farmland, as defined by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, is land that has the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for producing food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops and is available for these uses. It could be cultivated land, pastureland, forestland, or other land, but it is not urban or built-up land or water areas. The soil qualities, growing season, and moisture supply are those needed for the soil to economically produce sustained high yields of crops when proper management, including water management, and acceptable farming methods are applied. In general, prime farmland has an adequate and dependable supply of moisture from precipitation or irrigation, a favorable temperature and growing season, acceptable acidity or alkalinity, an acceptable salt and sodium content, and few or no rocks. It is permeable to water and air. It is not excessively erodible or saturated with water for long periods, and it either is not frequently flooded during the growing season or is protected from flooding. The slope ranges mainly from 0 to 6 percent. More detailed information about the criteria for prime farmland is available at the local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

About 38,800 acres in the survey area, or nearly 15 percent of the total acreage, meets the soil requirements for prime farmland. Scattered areas of this land are throughout the county, but most are in the northern part, mainly in general soil map units 1, 2, 4, 5, and 9, which are described under the heading "General Soil Map Units." About 15,000 acres of this prime farmland is used for crops. The crops grown on this land, mainly corn and soybeans, account for an estimated two-thirds of the county's total agricultural income each year.

A recent trend in land use in some parts of the survey area has been the loss of some prime farmland to industrial and urban uses. The loss of prime farmland to other uses puts pressure on marginal

lands, which generally are more erodible, droughty, and less productive and cannot be easily cultivated.

The map units in the survey area that are considered prime farmland are listed in table 6. This list does not constitute a recommendation for a particular land use. On some soils included in the list, measures that overcome a hazard or limitation, such as flooding, wetness, and droughtiness, are needed. Onsite evaluation is needed to determine whether or not the hazard or limitation has been overcome by corrective measures. The extent of each listed map unit is shown in table 4. The location is shown on the detailed soil maps. The soil qualities that affect use and management are described under the heading "Detailed Soil Map Units."

# **Woodland Management and Productivity**

Joseph H. Paugh, Forester, Natural Resources Conservation Service, helped prepare this section.

Woodland makes up 127,500 acres, or about 51 percent, of the total acreage of Sevier County. Most of this woodland is privately owned.

Oak-hickory, which covers 61,400 acres, is the most common forest type and grows on the upland soils. The loblolly-shortleaf pine type, which covers 14,200 acres, grows throughout the county and is often planted in eroded areas. The oak-pine type is on the remaining 51,900 acres and typically occurs on dry ridges and steep, south- and west-facing slopes.

Sevier County is in an area of Tennessee where average woodland growth is 36 cubic feet per acre per year. The greatest growth potential is normally on the lower third of the north- and east- facing slopes, where growth may reach 120 cubic feet per acre per year. Besides economic value, woodland values include wildlife habitat, recreation, natural beauty, and watershed protection.

Table 7 can be used by woodland owners or forest managers in planning the use of soils for wood crops. Only those soils suitable for wood crops are listed. In the table, *slight, moderate,* and *severe* indicate the degree of the major soil limitations to be considered in management.

Erosion hazard is the probability that damage will occur as a result of site preparation and cutting where the soil is exposed along roads, skid trails, and fire lanes and in log-handling areas. Forests that have been burned or overgrazed are also subject to erosion. Ratings of the erosion hazard are based on the percent of the slope. A rating of *slight* indicates that no particular prevention measures are needed

under ordinary conditions. A rating of *moderate* indicates that erosion-control measures are needed in certain silvicultural activities. A rating of *severe* indicates that special precautions are needed to control erosion in most silvicultural activities.

Equipment limitation reflects the characteristics and conditions of the soil that restrict use of the equipment generally needed in woodland management or harvesting. The chief characteristics and conditions considered in the ratings are slope, stones on the surface, rock outcrops, soil wetness, and texture of the surface layer. A rating of *slight* indicates that under normal conditions the kind of equipment and season of use are not significantly restricted by soil factors. Soil wetness can restrict equipment use, but the wet period does not exceed 1 month. A rating of moderate indicates that equipment use is moderately restricted because of one or more soil factors. If the soil is wet, the wetness restricts equipment use for a period of 1 to 3 months. A rating of severe indicates that equipment use is severely restricted either as to the kind of equipment that can be used or the season of use. If the soil is wet, the wetness restricts equipment use for more than 3 months.

Seedling mortality refers to the death of naturally occurring or planted tree seedlings, as influenced by the kinds of soil, soil wetness, or topographic conditions. The factors used in rating the soils for seedling mortality are texture of the surface layer, depth to a seasonal high water table and the length of the period when the water table is high, rock fragments in the surface layer, effective rooting depth, and slope aspect. A rating of slight indicates that seedling mortality is not likely to be a problem under normal conditions. Expected mortality is less than 25 percent. A rating of *moderate* indicates that some problems from seedling mortality can be expected. Extra precautions are advisable. Expected mortality is 25 to 50 percent. A rating of severe indicates that seedling mortality is a serious problem. Extra precautions are important. Replanting may be necessary. Expected mortality is more than 50 percent.

Windthrow hazard is the likelihood that trees will be uprooted by the wind because the soil is not deep enough for adequate root anchorage. The main restrictions that affect rooting are a seasonal high water table and the depth to bedrock, a fragipan, or other limiting layers. A rating of slight indicates that under normal conditions no trees are blown down by the wind. Strong winds may damage trees, but they do not uproot them. A rating of moderate indicates that some trees can be blown down during periods when

the soil is wet and winds are moderate or strong. A rating of *severe* indicates that many trees can be blown down during these periods.

Plant competition ratings indicate the degree to which undesirable species are expected to invade and grow when openings are made in the tree canopy. The main factors that affect plant competition are depth to the water table and the available water capacity. A rating of slight indicates that competition from undesirable plants is not likely to prevent natural regeneration or suppress the more desirable species. Planted seedlings can become established without undue competition. A rating of moderate indicates that competition may delay the establishment of desirable species. Competition may hamper stand development, but it will not prevent the eventual development of fully stocked stands. A rating of severe indicates that competition can be expected to prevent regeneration unless precautionary measures are applied.

The potential productivity of merchantable or common trees on a soil is expressed as a site index and as a volume number. The site index is the average height, in feet, that dominant and codominant trees of a given species attain in a specified number of years. The site index applies to fully stocked, evenaged, unmanaged stands. Commonly grown trees are those that woodland managers generally favor in intermediate or improvement cuttings. They are selected on the basis of growth rate, quality, value, and marketability.

The *volume of wood fiber*, a number, is the yield likely to be produced by the most important trees. This number, expressed as cubic feet per acre per year, indicates the amount of fiber produced in a fully stocked, even-aged, unmanaged stand.

The first species listed under *common trees* for a soil is the indicator species for that soil.

Suggested trees to plant are those that are suitable for commercial wood production.

#### Recreation

Joseph H. Paugh, Forester, Natural Resources Conservation Service, helped prepare this section.

Sevier County has potential for a wide variety of recreational activities. It has high potential for water sports areas, vacation cabins, vacation farms, riding stables, campgrounds, picnicking and field sports areas, golf courses, warm-water fishing, small game hunting, and natural, scenic, and historic areas. It has medium potential for cold-water fishing, shooting preserves, and big game and waterfowl hunting.

The soils in Sevier County generally are fairly suitable for recreational activities. Attention should be given to soil depth, permeability, texture, slope, surface stones, and drainage in developing recreation enterprises. Most problems associated with soil characteristics can be overcome by careful site selection and planning.

The soils of the survey area are rated in table 8 according to limitations that affect their suitability for recreation. The ratings are based on restrictive soil features, such as wetness, slope, and texture of the surface layer. Susceptibility to flooding is considered. Not considered in the ratings, but important in evaluating a site, are the location and accessibility of the area, the size and shape of the area and its scenic quality, vegetation, access to water, potential water impoundment sites, and access to public sewer lines. The capacity of the soil to absorb septic tank effluent and the ability of the soil to support vegetation are also important. Soils subject to flooding are limited for recreational uses by the duration and intensity of flooding and the season when flooding occurs. In planning recreational facilities, onsite assessment of the height, duration, intensity, and frequency of flooding is essential.

In the table, the degree of soil limitation is expressed as slight, moderate, or severe. *Slight* means that soil properties are generally favorable and that limitations are minor and easily overcome. *Moderate* means that limitations can be overcome or alleviated by planning, design, or special maintenance. *Severe* means that soil properties are unfavorable and that limitations can be offset only by costly soil reclamation, special design, intensive maintenance, limited use, or a combination of these measures.

The information in the table can be supplemented by other information in this survey, for example, interpretations for septic tank absorption fields in table 11 and interpretations for dwellings without basements and for local roads and streets in table 10.

Camp areas require site preparation, such as shaping and leveling the tent and parking areas, stabilizing roads and intensively used areas, and installing sanitary facilities and utility lines. Camp areas are subject to heavy foot traffic and some vehicular traffic. The best soils have mild slopes and are not wet or subject to flooding during the period of use. The surface has few or no stones or boulders, absorbs rainfall readily but remains firm, and is not dusty when dry. Strong slopes and stones or boulders can greatly increase the cost of constructing campsites.

Picnic areas are subject to heavy foot traffic. Most vehicular traffic is confined to access roads and parking areas. The best soils for picnic areas are firm when wet, are not dusty when dry, are not subject to flooding during the period of use, and do not have slopes or stones or boulders that increase the cost of shaping sites or of building access roads and parking areas.

Playgrounds require soils that can withstand intensive foot traffic. The best soils are almost level and are not wet or subject to flooding during the season of use. The surface is free of stones and boulders, is firm after rains, and is not dusty when dry. If grading is needed, the depth of the soil over bedrock or a hardpan should be considered.

Paths and trails for hiking and horseback riding should require little or no cutting and filling. The best soils are not wet, are firm after rains, are not dusty when dry, and are not subject to flooding more than once a year during the period of use. They have moderate slopes and few or no stones or boulders on the surface.

Golf fairways are subject to heavy foot traffic and some light vehicular traffic. Cutting or filling may be required. The best soils for use as golf fairways are firm when wet, are not dusty when dry, and are not subject to prolonged flooding during the period of use. They have moderate slopes and no stones or boulders on the surface. The suitability of the soil for tees or greens is not considered in rating the soils.

# Wildlife Habitat

Gerald Montgomery, Biologist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, helped prepare this section.

Sevier County has a diverse population of wildlife and fish. The abundance and distribution of any particular species depend on the main use, amount of water, and kind of vegetation in a given area. Species that prefer the open land of cropland, pasture, brushy fencerows, thickets, and scattered woodlots include cottontail rabbit, bobwhite quail, mourning dove, meadowlark, eastern bluebird, groundhog, and cardinal. These species are most abundant where there is a diversity of vegetative conditions. Species that prefer the forested conditions of woodlots and timber tracts include whitetail deer, grey squirrel, raccoon, and a variety of non-game birds. Shallow lakes and other wetlands provide breeding habitat for wood ducks and resting and feeding areas for other migratory waterfowl. These wetlands are important to furbearers, such as mink and muskrat, and to aquatic non-game birds. Most areas in the county could be

improved for use as wildlife habitat if the availability of food, water, and cover that wildlife need was increased.

The streams, lakes, and ponds of Sevier County support crappie, bream, smallmouth bass, trout, and catfish. Non-game species, such as carp, buffalo, and drum, are also abundant, especially in lakes.

Soils affect the kind and amount of vegetation that is available to wildlife as food and cover. They also affect the construction of water impoundments. The kind and abundance of wildlife depend largely on the amount and distribution of food, cover, and water. Wildlife habitat can be created or improved by planting the appropriate vegetation, by maintaining the existing plant cover, or by promoting the natural establishment of desirable plants.

In table 9, the soils in the survey area are rated according to their potential for providing habitat for various kinds of wildlife. This information can be used in planning parks, wildlife refuges, nature study areas, and other developments for wildlife; in selecting soils that are suitable for establishing, improving, or maintaining specific elements of wildlife habitat; and in determining the intensity of management needed for each element of the habitat.

The potential of the soil is rated good, fair, poor, or very poor. A rating of *good* indicates that the element or kind of habitat is easily established, improved, or maintained. Few or no limitations affect management, and satisfactory results can be expected. A rating of fair indicates that the element or kind of habitat can be established, improved, or maintained in most places. Moderately intensive management is required for satisfactory results. A rating of poor indicates that limitations are severe for the designated element or kind of habitat. Habitat can be created, improved, or maintained in most places, but management is difficult and must be intensive. A rating of *very poor* indicates that restrictions for the element or kind of habitat are very severe and that unsatisfactory results can be expected. Creating, improving, or maintaining habitat is impractical or impossible.

The elements of wildlife habitat are described in the following paragraphs.

Grain and seed crops are domestic grains and seed-producing herbaceous plants. Soil properties and features that affect the growth of grain and seed crops are depth of the root zone, texture of the surface layer, available water capacity, wetness, slope, surface stoniness, and flooding. Soil temperature and soil moisture are also considerations. Examples of grain and seed crops are corn, wheat, oats, soybeans, and barley.

Grasses and legumes are domestic perennial

grasses and herbaceous legumes. Soil properties and features that affect the growth of grasses and legumes are depth of the root zone, texture of the surface layer, available water capacity, wetness, surface stoniness, flooding, and slope. Soil temperature and soil moisture are also considerations. Examples of grasses and legumes are fescue, lovegrass, orchardgrass, clover, annual lespedeza, and alfalfa.

Wild herbaceous plants are native or naturally established grasses and forbs, including weeds. Soil properties and features that affect the growth of these plants are depth of the root zone, texture of the surface layer, available water capacity, wetness, surface stoniness, and flooding. Soil temperature and soil moisture are also considerations. Examples of wild herbaceous plants are crabgrass, goldenrod, beggarweed, and partridge pea.

Hardwood trees and woody understory produce nuts or other fruit, buds, catkins, twigs, bark, and foliage. Soil properties and features that affect the growth of hardwood trees and shrubs are depth of the root zone, available water capacity, and wetness. Examples of these plants are oak, poplar, ash, sweetgum, dogwood, hickory, blackberry, and walnut. Examples of fruit-producing shrubs that are suitable for planting on soils rated *good* are shrub lespedeza, autumn-olive, and crabapple.

Coniferous plants furnish browse and seeds. Soil properties and features that affect the growth of coniferous trees, shrubs, and ground cover are depth of the root zone, available water capacity, and wetness. Examples of coniferous plants are pine, spruce, fir, cedar, and juniper.

Wetland plants are annual and perennial wild herbaceous plants that grow on moist or wet sites. Submerged or floating aquatic plants are excluded. Soil properties and features affecting wetland plants are texture of the surface layer, wetness, reaction, salinity, slope, and surface stoniness. Examples of wetland plants are smartweed, wild millet, rushes, sedges, and reeds.

Shallow water areas have an average depth of less than 5 feet. Some are naturally wet areas. Others are created by dams, levees, or other water-control structures. Soil properties and features affecting shallow water areas are depth to bedrock, wetness, surface stoniness, slope, and permeability. Examples of shallow water areas are marshes, lake shorelines, and ponds.

The habitat for various kinds of wildlife is described in the following paragraphs.

Habitat for openland wildlife consists of cropland, pasture, meadows, and areas that are overgrown with grasses, herbs, shrubs, and vines. These areas

produce grain and seed crops, grasses and legumes, and wild herbaceous plants.

Habitat for woodland wildlife consists of areas of deciduous plants or coniferous plants or both and associated grasses, legumes, and wild herbaceous plants.

Habitat for wetland wildlife consists of open, marshy or swampy shallow water areas.

# **Engineering**

This section provides information for planning land uses related to urban development and to water management. Soils are rated for various uses, and the most limiting features are identified. Ratings are given for building site development, sanitary facilities, construction materials, and water management. The ratings are based on observed performance of the soils and on the estimated data and test data in the "Soil Properties" section.

Information in this section is intended for land use planning, for evaluating land use alternatives, and for planning site investigations prior to design and construction. The information, however, has limitations. For example, estimates and other data generally apply only to that part of the soil within a depth of 5 or 6 feet. Because of the map scale, small areas of different soils may be included within the mapped areas of a specific soil.

The information is not site specific and does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation of the soils or for testing and analysis by personnel experienced in the design and construction of engineering works.

Government ordinances and regulations that restrict certain land uses or impose specific design criteria were not considered in preparing the information in this section. Local ordinances and regulations should be considered in planning, in site selection, and in design.

Soil properties, site features, and observed performance were considered in determining the ratings in this section. During the fieldwork for this soil survey, determinations were made about grain-size distribution, liquid limit, plasticity index, soil reaction, depth to bedrock, hardness of bedrock within 5 or 6 feet of the surface, soil wetness, depth to a seasonal high water table, slope, likelihood of flooding, natural soil structure aggregation, and soil density. Data were collected about kinds of clay minerals, mineralogy of the sand and silt fractions, and the kinds of adsorbed cations. Estimates were made for erodibility, permeability, corrosivity, shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, and other behavioral characteristics affecting engineering uses.

This information can be used to evaluate the potential of areas for residential, commercial, industrial, and recreational uses; make preliminary estimates of construction conditions: evaluate alternative routes for roads, streets, highways, pipelines, and underground cables; evaluate alternative sites for sanitary landfills, septic tank absorption fields, and sewage lagoons; plan detailed onsite investigations of soils and geology; locate potential sources of gravel, sand, earthfill, and topsoil; plan drainage systems, irrigation systems, ponds, terraces, and other structures for soil and water conservation; and predict performance of proposed small structures and pavements by comparing the performance of existing similar structures on the same or similar soils.

The information in the tables, along with the soil maps, the soil descriptions, and other data provided in this survey, can be used to make additional interpretations.

Some of the terms used in this soil survey have a special meaning in soil science and are defined in the Glossary.

# **Building Site Development**

Table 10 shows the degree and kind of soil limitations that affect shallow excavations, dwellings with and without basements, small commercial buildings, local roads and streets, and lawns and landscaping. The limitations are considered *slight* if soil properties and site features are generally favorable for the indicated use and limitations are minor and easily overcome; moderate if soil properties or site features are not favorable for the indicated use and special planning, design, or maintenance is needed to overcome or minimize the limitations; and severe if soil properties or site features are so unfavorable or so difficult to overcome that special design, significant increases in construction costs, and possibly increased maintenance are required. Special feasibility studies may be required where the soil limitations are severe.

Shallow excavations are trenches or holes dug to a maximum depth of 5 or 6 feet for basements, graves, utility lines, open ditches, and other purposes. The ratings are based on soil properties, site features, and observed performance of the soils. The ease of digging, filling, and compacting is affected by the depth to bedrock or a very firm dense layer; stone content; soil texture; and slope. The time of the year that excavations can be made is affected by the depth to a seasonal high water table and the susceptibility of the soil to flooding. The resistance of the excavation

walls or banks to sloughing or caving is affected by soil texture and depth to the water table.

Dwellings and small commercial buildings are structures built on shallow foundations on undisturbed soil. The load limit is the same as that for single-family dwellings no higher than three stories. Ratings are made for small commercial buildings without basements, for dwellings with basements, and for dwellings without basements. The ratings are based on soil properties, site features, and observed performance of the soils. A high water table, flooding, and shrinking and swelling can cause the movement of footings. A high water table, depth to bedrock, large stones, slope, and flooding affect the ease of excavation and construction. Landscaping and grading that require cuts and fills of more than 5 or 6 feet are not considered.

Local roads and streets have an all-weather surface and carry automobile and light truck traffic all year. They have a subgrade of cut or fill soil material; a base of gravel, crushed rock, or stabilized soil material; and a flexible or rigid surface. Cuts and fills are generally limited to less than 6 feet. The ratings are based on soil properties, site features, and observed performance of the soils. Depth to bedrock, a high water table, flooding, large stones, and slope affect the ease of excavating and grading. Soil strength (as inferred from the engineering classification of the soil), shrink-swell potential, and depth to a high water table affect the traffic-supporting capacity.

Lawns and landscaping require soils on which turf and ornamental trees and shrubs can be established and maintained. The ratings are based on soil properties, site features, and observed performance of the soils. Soil reaction, a high water table, depth to bedrock, and the available water capacity in the upper 40 inches affect plant growth. Flooding, wetness, slope, stoniness, and the amount of sand, clay, or organic matter in the surface layer affect trafficability after vegetation is established.

#### Sanitary Facilities

Table 11 shows the degree and kind of soil limitations that affect septic tank absorption fields, sewage lagoons, and sanitary landfills. The limitations are considered *slight* if soil properties and site features are generally favorable for the indicated use and limitations are minor and easily overcome; *moderate* if soil properties or site features are not favorable for the indicated use and special planning, design, or maintenance is needed to overcome or minimize the limitations; and *severe* if soil properties or site features

are so unfavorable or so difficult to overcome that special design, significant increases in construction costs, and possibly increased maintenance are required.

The table also shows the suitability of the soils for use as daily cover for landfill. A rating of *good* indicates that soil properties and site features are favorable for the use and good performance and low maintenance can be expected; *fair* indicates that soil properties and site features are moderately favorable for the use and one or more soil properties or site features make the soil less desirable than the soils rated good; and *poor* indicates that one or more soil properties or site features are unfavorable for the use and overcoming the unfavorable properties requires special design, extra maintenance, or costly alteration.

Septic tank absorption fields are areas in which effluent from a septic tank is distributed into the soil through subsurface tiles or perforated pipe. Only that part of the soil between depths of 24 and 72 inches is evaluated. The ratings are based on soil properties, site features, and observed performance of the soils. Permeability, a high water table, depth to bedrock, and flooding affect absorption of the effluent. Large stones and bedrock or a cemented pan interfere with installation.

Unsatisfactory performance of septic tank absorption fields, including excessively slow absorption of effluent, surfacing of effluent, and hillside seepage, can affect public health. Ground water can be polluted if highly permeable sand and gravel or fractured bedrock is less than 4 feet below the base of the absorption field, if slope is excessive, or if the water table is near the surface. There must be unsaturated soil material beneath the absorption field to filter the effluent effectively. Many local ordinances require that this material be of a certain thickness.

Sewage lagoons are shallow ponds constructed to hold sewage while aerobic bacteria decompose the solid and liquid wastes. Lagoons should have a nearly level floor surrounded by cut slopes or embankments of compacted soil. Lagoons generally are designed to hold the sewage within a depth of 2 to 5 feet. Nearly impervious soil material for the lagoon floor and sides is required to minimize seepage and contamination of ground water.

The table gives ratings for the natural soil that makes up the lagoon floor. The surface layer and, generally, 1 or 2 feet of soil material below the surface layer are excavated to provide material for the embankments. The ratings are based on soil properties, site features, and observed performance of the soils. Considered in the ratings are slope,

permeability, a high water table, depth to bedrock, flooding, and large stones.

Excessive seepage resulting from rapid permeability in the soil or a water table that is high enough to raise the level of sewage in the lagoon causes a lagoon to function unsatisfactorily. Pollution results if seepage is excessive or if floodwater overtops the lagoon. Slope and bedrock can cause construction problems, and large stones can hinder compaction of the lagoon floor.

Sanitary landfills are areas where solid waste is disposed of by burying it in soil. There are two types of landfill—trench and area. In a trench landfill, the waste is placed in a trench. It is spread, compacted, and covered daily with a thin layer of soil excavated at the site. In an area landfill, the waste is placed in successive layers on the surface of the soil. The waste is spread, compacted, and covered daily with a thin layer of soil from a source away from the site.

Both types of landfill must be able to bear heavy vehicular traffic. Both types involve a risk of groundwater pollution. Ease of excavation and revegetation should be considered.

The ratings in the table are based on soil properties, site features, and observed performance of the soils. Permeability, depth to bedrock or to a cemented pan, a high water table, slope, and flooding affect both types of landfill. Texture, stones and boulders, and soil reaction affect trench landfills. Unless otherwise stated, the ratings apply only to that part of the soil within a depth of about 6 feet. For deeper trenches, a limitation rated slight or moderate may not be valid. Onsite investigation is needed.

Daily cover for landfill is the soil material that is used to cover compacted solid waste in an area sanitary landfill. The soil material is obtained offsite, transported to the landfill, and spread over the waste.

Soil texture, wetness, coarse fragments, and slope affect the ease of removing and spreading the material during wet and dry periods. Loamy or silty soils that are free of large stones or excess gravel are the best cover for a landfill. Clayey soils are sticky or cloddy and are difficult to spread; sandy soils are subject to wind erosion.

After soil material has been removed, the soil material remaining in the borrow area must be thick enough over bedrock or the water table to permit revegetation. The soil material used as the final cover for a landfill should be suitable for plants. The surface layer generally has the best workability, more organic matter, and the best potential for plants. Material from the surface layer should be stockpiled for use as the final cover.

#### **Construction Materials**

Table 12 gives information about the soils as a source of roadfill, sand, gravel, and topsoil. The soils are rated *good, fair,* or *poor* as a source of roadfill and topsoil. They are rated as a *probable* or *improbable* source of sand and gravel. The ratings are based on soil properties and site features that affect the removal of the soil and its use as construction material. Normal compaction, minor processing, and other standard construction practices are assumed. Each soil is evaluated to a depth of 5 or 6 feet.

Roadfill is soil material that is excavated in one place and used in road embankments in another place. In this table, the soils are rated as a source of roadfill for low embankments, generally less than 6 feet high and less exacting in design than higher embankments.

The ratings are for the soil material below the surface layer to a depth of 5 or 6 feet. It is assumed that soil layers will be mixed during excavating and spreading. Many soils have layers of contrasting suitability within their profile. The table showing engineering index properties provides detailed information about each soil layer. This information can help to determine the suitability of each layer for use as roadfill. The performance of soil after it is stabilized with lime or cement is not considered in the ratings.

The ratings are based on soil properties, site features, and observed performance of the soils. The thickness of suitable material is a major consideration. The ease of excavation is affected by large stones, a high water table, and slope. How well the soil performs in place after it has been compacted and drained is determined by its strength (as inferred from the engineering classification of the soil) and shrink-swell potential.

Soils rated *good* contain significant amounts of sand or gravel or both. They have at least 5 feet of suitable material, a low shrink-swell potential, few cobbles and stones, and slopes of 15 percent or less. Depth to the water table is more than 3 feet. Soils rated *fair* are more than 35 percent silt- and clay-sized particles and have a plasticity index of less than 10. They have a moderate shrink-swell potential, slopes of 15 to 25 percent, or many stones. Depth to the water table is 1 to 3 feet. Soils rated *poor* have a plasticity index of more than 10, a high shrink-swell potential, many stones, or slopes of more than 25 percent. They are wet and have a water table at a depth of less than 1 foot. They may have layers of suitable material, but the material is less than 3 feet thick.

Sand and gravel are natural aggregates suitable for commercial use with a minimum of processing. They

are used in many kinds of construction. Specifications for each use vary widely. In the table, only the probability of finding material in suitable quantity is evaluated. The suitability of the material for specific purposes is not evaluated, nor are factors that affect excavation of the material.

The properties used to evaluate the soil as a source of sand or gravel are gradation of grain sizes (as indicated by the engineering classification of the soil), the thickness of suitable material, and the content of rock fragments. Kinds of rock, acidity, and stratification are given in the soil series descriptions. Gradation of grain sizes is given in the table on engineering index properties.

A soil rated as a probable source has a layer of clean sand or gravel or a layer of sand or gravel that is up to 12 percent silty fines. This material must be at least 3 feet thick and less than 50 percent, by weight, large stones. All other soils are rated as an improbable source. Coarse fragments of soft bedrock, such as shale and siltstone, are not considered to be sand and gravel.

Topsoil is used to cover an area so that vegetation can be established and maintained. The upper 40 inches of a soil is evaluated for use as topsoil. Also evaluated is the reclamation potential of the borrow area.

Plant growth is affected by toxic material and by such properties as soil reaction, available water capacity, and fertility. The ease of excavating, loading, and spreading is affected by rock fragments, slope, a water table, soil texture, and thickness of suitable material. Reclamation of the borrow area is affected by slope, a water table, rock fragments, and bedrock.

Soils rated *good* have friable, loamy material to a depth of at least 40 inches. They are free of stones and cobbles, have little or no gravel, and have slopes of less than 8 percent. They are low in content of soluble salts, are naturally fertile or respond well to fertilizer, and are not so wet that excavation is difficult.

Soils rated *fair* are sandy soils, loamy soils that have a relatively high content of clay, soils that have only 20 to 40 inches of suitable material, soils that have an appreciable amount of gravel or stones, or soils that have slopes of 8 to 15 percent. The soils are not so wet that excavation is difficult.

Soils rated *poor* are very sandy or clayey, have less than 20 inches of suitable material, have a large amount of gravel or stones, have slopes of more than 15 percent, or have a seasonal high water table at or near the surface.

The surface layer of most soils is generally preferred for topsoil because of its organic matter content. Organic matter greatly increases the

absorption and retention of moisture and nutrients for plant growth.

# **Water Management**

Table 13 gives information on the soil properties and site features that affect water management. The degree and kind of soil limitations are given for pond reservoir areas; embankments, dikes, and levees; and aguifer-fed excavated ponds. The limitations are considered *slight* if soil properties and site features are generally favorable for the indicated use and limitations are minor and are easily overcome; moderate if soil properties or site features are not favorable for the indicated use and special planning, design, or maintenance is needed to overcome or minimize the limitations; and severe if soil properties or site features are so unfavorable or so difficult to overcome that special design, significant increase in construction costs, and possibly increased maintenance are required.

This table also gives for each soil the restrictive features that affect drainage, irrigation, terraces and diversions, and grassed waterways.

Pond reservoir areas hold water behind a dam or embankment. Soils best suited to this use have low seepage potential in the upper 60 inches. The seepage potential is determined by the permeability of the soil and the depth to fractured bedrock or other permeable material. Excessive slope can affect the storage capacity of the reservoir area.

Embankments, dikes, and levees are raised structures of soil material, generally less than 20 feet high, constructed to impound water or to protect land against overflow. In this table, the soils are rated as a source of material for embankment fill. The ratings apply to the soil material below the surface layer to a depth of about 5 feet. It is assumed that soil layers will be uniformly mixed and compacted during construction.

The ratings do not indicate the ability of the natural soil to support an embankment. Soil properties to a depth even greater than the height of the embankment can affect performance and safety of the embankment. Generally, deeper onsite investigation is needed to determine these properties.

Soil material in embankments must be resistant to seepage, piping, and erosion and have favorable compaction characteristics. Unfavorable features include less than 5 feet of suitable material and a high content of stones or boulders. A high water table affects the amount of usable material. It also affects trafficability.

Aquifer-fed excavated ponds are pits or dugouts that extend to a ground-water aquifer or to a depth below a permanent water table. Excluded are ponds that are fed only by surface runoff and embankment ponds that impound water 3 feet or more above the original surface. Excavated ponds are affected by depth to a permanent water table, permeability of the aquifer, and quality of the water as inferred from the salinity of the soil. Depth to bedrock and the content of large stones affect the ease of excavation.

Drainage is the removal of excess surface and subsurface water from the soil. How easily and effectively the soil is drained depends on the depth to bedrock, to a cemented pan, or to other layers that affect the rate of water movement; permeability; depth to a high water table or depth of standing water if the soil is subject to ponding; slope; and susceptibility to flooding. Excavating and grading and the stability of ditchbanks are affected by depth to bedrock or to a cemented pan, large stones, slope, and the hazard of cutbanks caving. The productivity of the soil after drainage is adversely affected by extreme acidity. Availability of drainage outlets is not considered in the ratings.

Irrigation is the controlled application of water to supplement rainfall and support plant growth. The design and management of an irrigation system are affected by depth to the water table, the need for drainage, flooding, available water capacity, intake rate, permeability, erosion hazard, and slope. The construction of a system is affected by large stones and depth to bedrock. The performance of a system is affected by the depth of the root zone and soil reaction.

Terraces and diversions are embankments or a combination of channels and ridges constructed across a slope to control erosion and conserve moisture by intercepting runoff. Slope, wetness, large stones, and depth to bedrock affect the construction of terraces and diversions. A restricted rooting depth, a severe hazard of wind erosion or water erosion, an excessively coarse texture, and restricted permeability adversely affect maintenance.

Grassed waterways are natural or constructed channels, generally broad and shallow, that conduct surface water to outlets at a nonerosive velocity. Large stones, wetness, slope, and depth to bedrock affect the construction of grassed waterways. A low available water capacity, restricted rooting depth, and restricted permeability adversely affect the growth and maintenance of the grass after construction.

# **Soil Properties**

Data relating to soil properties are collected during the course of the soil survey. The data and the estimates of soil and water features, listed in tables, are explained on the following pages.

Estimates of soil properties are based on field examinations, on laboratory tests of samples from the survey area, and on laboratory tests of samples of similar soils in nearby areas. Tests verify field observations, verify properties that cannot be estimated accurately by field observation, and help to characterize key soils.

The estimates of soil properties shown in the tables include the range of grain-size distribution and Atterberg limits, the engineering classification, and the physical and chemical properties of the major layers of each soil. Pertinent soil and water features also are given.

# **Engineering Index Properties**

Table 14 gives estimates of the engineering classification and of the range of index properties for the major layers of each soil in the survey area. Most soils have layers of contrasting properties within the upper 5 or 6 feet.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated. The range in depth and information on other properties of each layer are given for each soil series under the heading "Soil Series and Their Morphology."

Texture is given in the standard terms used by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. These terms are defined according to percentages of sand, silt, and clay in the fraction of the soil that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. "Loam," for example, is soil that is 7 to 27 percent clay, 28 to 50 percent silt, and less than 52 percent sand. If the content of particles coarser than sand is as much as about 15 percent, an appropriate modifier is added, for example, "gravelly." Textural terms are defined in the Glossary.

Classification of the soils is determined according to the Unified soil classification system (2) and the system adopted by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (1).

The Unified system classifies soils according to

properties that affect their use as construction material. Soils are classified according to grain-size distribution of the fraction less than 3 inches in diameter and according to plasticity index, liquid limit, and organic matter content. Sandy and gravelly soils are identified as GW, GP, GM, GC, SW, SP, SM, and SC; silty and clayey soils as ML, CL, OL, MH, CH, and OH; and highly organic soils as PT. Soils exhibiting engineering properties of two groups can have a dual classification, for example, CL-ML.

The AASHTO system classifies soils according to those properties that affect roadway construction and maintenance. In this system, the fraction of a mineral soil that is less than 3 inches in diameter is classified in one of seven groups from A-1 through A-7 on the basis of grain-size distribution, liquid limit, and plasticity index. Soils in group A-1 are coarse grained and low in content of fines (silt and clay). At the other extreme, soils in group A-7 are fine grained.

Rock fragments larger than 10 inches in diameter and 3 to 10 inches in diameter are indicated as a percentage of the total soil on a dry-weight basis. The percentages are estimates determined mainly by converting volume percentage in the field to weight percentage.

Percentage (of soil particles) passing designated sieves is the percentage of the soil fraction less than 3 inches in diameter based on an oven dry weight. The sieves, numbers 4, 10, 40, and 200 (USA Standard Series), have openings of 4.76, 2.00, 0.420, and 0.074 millimeters, respectively. Estimates are based on laboratory tests of soils sampled in the survey area and in nearby areas and on estimates made in the field

Liquid limit and plasticity index (Atterberg limits) indicate the plasticity characteristics of a soil. The estimates are based on test data from the survey area or from nearby areas and on field examination.

The estimates of grain-size distribution, liquid limit, and plasticity index are generally rounded to the nearest 5 percent. Thus, if the ranges of gradation and Atterberg limits extend a marginal amount (1 or 2 percentage points) across classification boundaries, the classification in the marginal zone is omitted in the table.

# **Physical and Chemical Properties**

Table 15 shows estimates of some characteristics and features that affect soil behavior. These estimates are given for the major layers of each soil in the survey area. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Clay as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated clay content of each major soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The amount and kind of clay greatly affect the fertility and physical condition of the soil. They determine the ability of the soil to adsorb cations and to retain moisture. They influence shrink-swell potential, permeability, plasticity, the ease of soil dispersion, and other soil properties. The amount and kind of clay in a soil also affect tillage and earthmoving operations.

Moist bulk density is the weight of soil (oven dry) per unit volume. Volume is measured when the soil is at field moisture capacity, that is, the moisture content at <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub>-bar moisture tension. Weight is determined after drying the soil at 105 degrees C. In this table, the estimated moist bulk density of each major soil horizon is expressed in grams per cubic centimeter of soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter. Bulk density data are used to compute shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, total pore space, and other soil properties. The moist bulk density of a soil indicates the pore space available for water and roots. A bulk density of more than 1.6 can restrict water storage and root penetration. Moist bulk density is influenced by texture, kind of clay, content of organic matter, and soil structure.

Permeability refers to the ability of a soil to transmit water or air. The estimates indicate the rate of downward movement of water when the soil is saturated. They are based on soil characteristics observed in the field, particularly structure, porosity, and texture. Permeability is considered in the design of soil drainage systems and septic tank absorption fields.

Available water capacity refers to the quantity of water that the soil is capable of storing for use by plants. The capacity for water storage is given in inches of water per inch of soil for each major soil layer. The capacity varies, depending on soil properties that affect the retention of water and the depth of the root zone. The most important properties are the content of organic matter, soil texture, bulk

density, and soil structure. Available water capacity is an important factor in the choice of plants or crops to be grown and in the design and management of irrigation systems. Available water capacity is not an estimate of the quantity of water actually available to plants at any given time.

Linear extensibility refers to the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is decreased from a moist to a dry state. It is an expression of the volume change between the water content of the clod at <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub>- or <sup>1</sup>/<sub>10</sub>-bar tension (33kPa or 10kPa tension) and oven dryness. The volume change is reported in the table as percent change for the whole soil. Volume change is influenced by the amount and type of clay minerals in the soil.

Linear extensibility is used to determine the shrink-swell potential of soils. The shrink-swell potential is low if the soil has a linear extensibility of less than 3 percent; moderate if 3 to 6 percent; high if 6 to 9 percent; and very high if more than 9 percent. If the linear extensibility is more than 3, shrinking and swelling can cause damage to buildings, roads, and other structures and to plant roots. Special design commonly is needed.

Soil reaction is a measure of acidity or alkalinity and is expressed as a range in pH values. The range in pH of each major horizon is based on many field tests. For many soils, values have been verified by laboratory analyses. Soil reaction is important in selecting crops and other plants, in evaluating soil amendments for fertility and stabilization, and in determining the risk of corrosion.

Organic matter is the plant and animal residue in the soil at various stages of decomposition. In the table, the estimated content of organic matter is expressed as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of organic matter in a soil can be maintained or increased by returning crop residue to the soil. Organic matter affects the available water capacity, infiltration rate, and tilth. It is a source of nitrogen and other nutrients for crops.

Erosion factors are shown in table as the K factor (Kw and Kf) and the T factor. Erosion factor K indicates the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The estimates are based primarily on percentage of silt, sand, and organic matter and on soil structure and permeability. Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.69. Other factors being equal, the higher the value, the

more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion by water

Erosion factor Kw indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments.

*Erosion factor Kf* indicates the erodibility of the fineearth fraction, or the material less than 2 millimeters in size.

Erosion factor T is an estimate of the maximum average annual rate of soil erosion by wind or water that can occur without affecting crop productivity over a sustained period. The rate is in tons per acre per year.

#### **Water Features**

Table 16 gives estimates of various water features. The estimates are used in land use planning that involves engineering considerations.

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The four hydrologic soil groups are:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

The *months* in the table indicate the portion of the year in which the feature is most likely to be a concern.

Water table refers to a saturated zone in the soil.

Table 16 indicates, by month, depth to the top (*upper limit*) and base (*lower limit*) of the saturated zone in most years. Estimates of the upper and lower limits are based mainly on observations of the water table at selected sites and on evidence of a saturated zone, namely grayish colors or mottles (redoximorphic features) in the soil. A saturated zone that lasts for less than a month is not considered a water table.

Ponding is standing water in a closed depression. Unless a drainage system is installed, the water is removed only by percolation, transpiration, or evaporation. Table 16 indicates surface water depth and the duration and frequency of ponding. Duration is expressed as very brief if less than 2 days, brief if 2 to 7 days, long if 7 to 30 days, and very long if more than 30 days. Frequency is expressed as none, rare, occasional, and frequent. None means that ponding is not probable; rare that it is unlikely but possible under unusual weather conditions (the chance of ponding is nearly 0 percent to 5 percent in any year); occasional that it occurs, on the average, once or less in 2 years (the chance of ponding is 5 to 50 percent in any year); and frequent that it occurs, on the average, more than once in 2 years (the chance of ponding is more than 50 percent in any year).

Flooding is the temporary inundation of an area caused by overflowing streams, by runoff from adjacent slopes, or by tides. Water standing for short periods after rainfall or snowmelt is not considered flooding, and water standing in swamps and marshes is considered ponding rather than flooding.

Duration and frequency are estimated. Duration is expressed as extremely brief if 0.1 hour to 4 hours, very brief if 4 hours to 2 days, brief if 2 to 7 days, long if 7 to 30 days, and very long if more than 30 days. Frequency is expressed as none, very rare, rare, occasional, frequent, and very frequent. None means that flooding is not probable; very rare that it is very unlikely but possible under extremely unusual weather conditions (the chance of flooding is less than 1 percent in any year); rare that it is unlikely but possible under unusual weather conditions (the chance of flooding is 1 to 5 percent in any year); occasional that it occurs infrequently under normal weather conditions (the chance of flooding is 5 to 50 percent in any year); frequent that it is likely to occur often under normal weather conditions (the chance of flooding is more than 50 percent in any year but is less than 50 percent in all months in any year); and very frequent that it is likely to occur very often under normal weather conditions (the chance of flooding is more than 50 percent in all months of any year).

The information is based on evidence in the soil profile, namely thin strata of gravel, sand, silt, or clay

deposited by floodwater; irregular decrease in organic matter content with increasing depth; and little or no horizon development.

Also considered are local information about the extent and levels of flooding and the relation of each soil on the landscape to historic floods. Information on the extent of flooding based on soil data is less specific than that provided by detailed engineering surveys that delineate flood-prone areas at specific flood frequency levels.

# **Soil Features**

Table 17 gives estimates of various soil features. The estimates are used in land use planning that involves engineering considerations.

A restrictive layer is a nearly continuous layer that has one or more physical, chemical, or thermal properties that significantly impede the movement of water and air through the soil or that restrict roots or otherwise provide an unfavorable root environment. Examples are bedrock, cemented layers, dense layers, and frozen layers. The table indicates the hardness and thickness of the restrictive layer, both of which significantly affect the ease of excavation. Depth to top is the vertical distance from the soil surface to the upper boundary of the restrictive layer.

Potential for frost action is the likelihood of upward or lateral expansion of the soil caused by the formation of segregated ice lenses (frost heave) and the subsequent collapse of the soil and loss of strength on thawing. Frost action occurs when moisture moves into the freezing zone of the soil. Temperature, texture, density, permeability, content of

organic matter, and depth to the water table are the most important factors considered in evaluating the potential for frost action. It is assumed that the soil is not insulated by vegetation or snow and is not artificially drained. Silty and highly structured, clayey soils that have a high water table in winter are the most susceptible to frost action. Well drained, very gravelly, or very sandy soils are the least susceptible. Frost heave and low soil strength during thawing cause damage to pavements and other rigid structures.

Risk of corrosion pertains to potential soil-induced electrochemical or chemical action that corrodes or weakens uncoated steel or concrete. The rate of corrosion of uncoated steel is related to such factors as soil moisture, particle-size distribution, acidity, and electrical conductivity of the soil. The rate of corrosion of concrete is based mainly on the sulfate and sodium content, texture, moisture content, and acidity of the soil. Special site examination and design may be needed if the combination of factors results in a severe hazard of corrosion. The steel or concrete in installations that intersect soil boundaries or soil layers is more susceptible to corrosion than the steel or concrete in installations that are entirely within one kind of soil or within one soil layer.

For uncoated steel, the risk of corrosion, expressed as *low, moderate*, or *high*, is based on soil drainage class, total acidity, electrical resistivity near field capacity, and electrical conductivity of the saturation extract.

For concrete, the risk of corrosion also is expressed as *low, moderate,* or *high*. It is based on soil texture, acidity, and amount of sulfates in the saturation extract

# Classification of the Soils

The system of soil classification used by the National Cooperative Soil Survey has six categories (4). Beginning with the broadest, these categories are the order, suborder, great group, subgroup, family, and series. Classification is based on soil properties observed in the field or inferred from those observations or from laboratory measurements. Table 18 shows the classification of the soils in the survey area. The categories are defined in the following paragraphs.

ORDER. Eleven soil orders are recognized. The differences among orders reflect the dominant soil-forming processes and the degree of soil formation. Each order is identified by a word ending in *sol*. An example is Ultisol.

SUBORDER. Each order is divided into suborders primarily on the basis of properties that influence soil genesis and are important to plant growth or properties that reflect the most important variables within the orders. The last syllable in the name of a suborder indicates the order. An example is Udult (*Ud*, meaning humid, plus *ult*, from Ultisol).

GREAT GROUP. Each suborder is divided into great groups on the basis of close similarities in kind, arrangement, and degree of development of pedogenic horizons; soil moisture and temperature regimes; type of saturation; and base status. Each great group is identified by the name of a suborder and by a prefix that indicates a property of the soil. An example is Hapludults (*Hapl*, meaning minimal horizonation, plus *udults*, the suborder of the Ultisols that has a udic moisture regime).

SUBGROUP. Each great group has a typic subgroup. Other subgroups are intergrades or extragrades. The typic subgroup is the central concept of the great group; it is not necessarily the most extensive. Intergrades are transitions to other orders, suborders, or great groups. Extragrades have some properties that are not representative of the great group but do not indicate transitions to any other taxonomic class. Each subgroup is identified by one or more adjectives preceding the name of the great group. The adjective *Typic* identifies the subgroup that typifies the great group. An example is Typic Hapludults.

FAMILY. Families are established within a subgroup on the basis of physical and chemical properties and other characteristics that affect management. Generally, the properties are those of horizons below plow depth where there is much biological activity. Among the properties and characteristics considered are particle size, mineral content, soil temperature regime, soil depth, and reaction. A family name consists of the name of a subgroup preceded by terms that indicate soil properties. An example is fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults.

SERIES. The series consists of soils within a family that have horizons similar in color, texture, structure, reaction, consistence, mineral and chemical composition, and arrangement in the profile.

# Soil Series and Their Morphology

In this section, each soil series recognized in the survey area is described. Characteristics of the soil and the material in which it formed are identified for each series. A pedon, a small three-dimensional area of soil, that is typical of the series in the survey area is described. The detailed description of each soil horizon follows standards in the "Soil Survey Manual" (6). Many of the technical terms used in the descriptions are defined in "Soil Taxonomy" (4) and in "Keys to Soil Taxonomy" (5). Unless otherwise indicated, colors in the descriptions are for moist soil. Following the pedon description is the range of important characteristics of the soils in the series.

The map units of each soil series are described in the section "Detailed Soil Map Units."

# **Braddock Series**

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Alluvium and colluvium

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: High stream terraces and colluvial fans Landform position: Summits, side slopes, and

footslopes

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent

Taxonomic class: Clayey, kaolinitic, mesic Typic

Hapludults

#### **Typical Pedon**

Braddock loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded (fig. 5); about 4.7 miles south of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 8.1 miles southwest on U.S. Highway 321, about 0.6 mile southeast on Roberson Road, 0.4 mile northeast on Valley Home Road, 0.05 mile northwest to a site in a road bank; USGS Wear Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 43 minutes 16 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 37 minutes 36 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 5 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; many fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 10 percent rounded phyllite and quartzite gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear wavy boundary.
- BA—5 to 11 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) gravelly clay loam; moderate medium and fine subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 20 percent rounded phyllite and quartzite gravel, by volume; strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bt1—11 to 34 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) gravelly clay; common fine prominent strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; common faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 30 percent rounded phyllite and quartzite gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—34 to 43 inches; red (2.5YR 4/8) clay; many medium prominent strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent rounded phyllite and quartzite gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bt3—43 to 60 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) clay; moderate medium angular blocky structure; firm; few fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; common distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 10 percent rounded phyllite and quartzite gravel, by volume; very strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 40 to more than 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches Content of rock fragments: 5 to 40 percent, by volume, in the upper part of the profile; 5 to 60 percent, by volume, in the lower part of the profile

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

#### Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 or 4
Texture—loam

# BA horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR or 7.5YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—clay loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Rt horizon

Color—hue of 2.5YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 6 or 8

Mottles—none to common in shades of brown or vellow

Texture—clay or clay loam in the fine-earth fraction

Braddock soils in Sevier County are considered taxadjuncts to the series because they are in a kaolinitic mineralogy class. This difference, however, does not significantly affect the use and management of the soils.

#### Cataska Series

Depth class: Shallow

Drainage class: Excessively drained Permeability: Moderately rapid or rapid

Parent material: Residuum weathered from slate and

phyllite

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 12 to 80 percent

Taxonomic class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic,

shallow Typic Dystrochrepts

# **Typical Pedon**

Cataska channery silt loam, 25 to 80 percent slopes (fig. 6); about 3.5 miles east of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 5.4 miles south on State Route 416, about 0.5 mile south on Birds Creek Road, 0.1 mile west on Catons Chapel Road, 0.4 mile northeast on Lilly Boulevard, in a road bank; USGS Richardson Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 42 minutes 51 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 34 minutes 45 seconds W.

- A—0 to 2 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) channery silt loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; common fine roots; 30 percent shale channers, by volume; slightly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1—2 to 8 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; 45 percent shale channers, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—8 to 12 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/6) extremely channery silt loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable; 70 percent shale channers; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Cr—12 to 40 inches; weathered, fractured slate.

# **Range in Characteristics**

Thickness of solum: 10 to 20 inches Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

Content of rock fragments: 15 to 45 percent, by volume, in the A horizon; 30 to 70 percent, by volume. in the Bw horizon

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid throughout the profile

#### A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 or 4, and chroma of 2 to 6; value of 3 is limited to thin upper A horizons; soil materials in this horizon have value of 4 when mixed to a depth of 7 inches Texture—silt loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Texture—silt loam or loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered slate or phyllite

#### Chiswell Series

Depth class: Shallow

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Residuum from shale, siltstone, or

fine-grained sandstone Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 25 to 60 percent

Taxonomic class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic,

shallow Typic Dystrochrepts

# **Typical Pedon**

Chiswell channery loam in an area of Muskingum-Chiswell complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes; about 4.5 miles north of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on State Route 66, about 6.8 miles west on State Route 338, about 2.1 miles northwest on Jim Fain Road, 0.6 mile north on Ray Gap Road, 0.6 mile west on a dirt road to a radio tower, 150 feet east of the radio tower near the crest of the ridge; USGS Boyds Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 56 minutes 18 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 42 minutes 10 seconds W.

- Oi—1 inch to 0; partially decomposed hardwood leaves, twigs, and roots.
- A—0 to 2 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) channery loam; weak medium granular structure; friable; many fine, medium, and coarse roots; 25 percent fine-grained sandstone and siltstone channers and gravel, by volume; moderately acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bw1—2 to 7 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very channery loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 35 percent siltstone and fine-grained sandstone channers and gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—7 to 16 inches; brown (7.5YR 5/4) very channery loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; 45 percent siltstone and fine-grained sandstone channers and gravel; strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Cr—16 to 60 inches; weathered, fractured and interbedded siltstone and sandstone.

### Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 5 to 19 inches Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

Content of rock fragments: 15 to 60 percent, by volume, in the A horizon; 35 to 80 percent, by

volume, in the Bw horizon

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid throughout the profile

#### A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR and value and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 5 or 6, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—loam or silt loam in the fine-earth fraction

Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered siltstone, shale, or finegrained sandstone

#### **Combs Series**

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Parent material: Alluvium washed from materials weathered from quartzite, phyllite, gneiss, schist,

shale, and limestone Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Broad flood plains

Landform position: Linear to slightly convex slopes

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Taxonomic class: Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic

Fluventic Hapludolls

#### **Typical Pedon**

Combs loam, rarely flooded; 6.5 miles north of the intersection of State Route 66 and U.S. Highway 441 on State Route 66, about 0.5 mile west on State Route 338, about 1.4 miles south on Yarberry farm road to a flood plain, 0.2 mile south along a drainageway, 500 feet east of the drainageway and 300 feet north of the French Broad River; USGS Douglas Dam Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 55 minutes 59 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 35 minutes 47 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 11 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) loam; weak medium granular structure; very friable; common fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- A—11 to 23 inches; very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) sandy loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; very friable; few fine roots; few fine and common medium continuous tubular pores; 2 percent quartzite gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear wavy boundary.
- Bw1—23 to 34 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) sandy loam that has thin strata of dark yellowish brown (10YR 3/4) loam; weak coarse subangular blocky structure; very friable; few fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; 2 percent quartzite gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—34 to 48 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) sandy loam that has thin strata of dark yellowish brown (10YR 3/4) loam; weak coarse subangular blocky structure; very friable; few fine roots; slightly acid; clear wavy boundary.
- C—48 to 62 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) sandy loam that has thin strata of yellowish brown

(10YR 5/4) sandy loam; massive; very friable; slightly acid.

#### **Range in Characteristics**

Thickness of solum: More than 40 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches Thickness of mollic epipedon: 10 to 24 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 5 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Moderately acid to neutral throughout the

profile

Ap or A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3, and chroma of 2

or 3

Texture—loam

Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6; thin strata with value of 3 and chroma of 4 are common

Texture—loam, sandy loam, or fine sandy loam

C horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma

of 4 or 6

Texture—sandy loam or loam

# **Decatur Series**

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Old alluvium or residuum weathered

from limestone

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: High stream terraces and upland ridges Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent

Taxonomic class: Clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Rhodic

**Paleudults** 

#### Typical Pedon

Decatur silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded; 6.2 miles north on State Route 66 from the intersection of U.S Highway 411 and State Route 66, about 2.0 miles southeast and then north on Kyker Ferry Road to a farmstead and machinery shed, 400 feet north of the machinery shed, in a pasture; USGS Douglas Dam Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 57 minutes 00 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 34 minutes 07 seconds W.

Ap—0 to 8 inches; dark reddish brown (5YR 3/3) silt loam; moderate fine granular structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous

- tubular pores; 2 percent chert and quartzite gravel, by volume; moderately acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bt1—8 to 25 inches; dark reddish brown (2.5YR 3/4) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint continuous clay films on faces of peds; 2 percent chert and quartzite gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—25 to 41 inches; dark reddish brown (2.5YR 3/4) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 2 percent chert and quartzite gravel, by volume; moderately acid; gradual smooth boundary.
- Bt3—41 to 60 inches; dark reddish brown (2.5YR 3/4) clay; moderate medium angular and subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent chert and quartzite gravel, by volume; strongly acid.

#### Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: More than 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 10 percent, by volume, throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid to moderately acid throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 2.5YR or 5YR, value of 2 or 3, and chroma of 3 or 4
Texture—silt loam

Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 10R or 2.5YR, value of 3, and chroma of 4 or 6
Texture—clay or silty clay

# **Dewey Series**

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Residuum weathered from limestone

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent

Taxonomic class: Clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic

**Paleudults** 

# **Typical Pedon**

Dewey silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded (fig. 7); 6.2 miles north on State Route 66 from the intersection of U.S Highway 411 and State Route 66, about 0.5 mile southeast on Kyker Ferry Road, 0.3 mile east to a farmstead, 0.2 mile east of the farmstead, in a pasture; USGS Douglas Dam Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 32 degrees 32 minutes 06 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 57 minutes 00 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 8 inches; dark reddish brown (5YR 3/3) silt loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; many fine roots; 2 percent chert gravel, by volume; slightly acid; clear wavy boundary.
- BA—8 to 20 inches; reddish brown (5YR 4/4) clay loam; moderate fine subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine roots; 2 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1—20 to 31 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common distinct discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear wavy boundary.
- Bt2—31 to 40 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) clay; few medium prominent light brown (7.5YR 6/4) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt3—40 to 51 inches; dark red (2.5YR 3/6) clay; common medium prominent light brown (7.5YR 6/4) mottles; moderate medium angular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt4—51 to 60 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 10 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: More than 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 15 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid throughout the profile, except where surface

layers have been limed

#### Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR or 7.5YR and value and chroma of 3 or 4
Texture—silt loam

#### BA horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR or 7.5YR, value of 4, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—silt loam, silty clay loam, or clay loam

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 2.5YR or 5YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 6 or 8

Mottles—common or many in shades of brown or yellow

Texture—clay or silty clay

# **Ditney Series**

Depth class: Moderately deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Parent material: Residuum weathered from arkosic metasandstone, quartzite, or graywacke

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 12 to 80 percent

Taxonomic class: Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic

Dystrochrepts

#### **Typical Pedon**

Ditney sandy loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes (fig. 8); about 4.7 miles south of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 2.8 miles southwest on U.S. Highway 231, about 0.5 mile west on Walden Creek Road, 0.5 mile west on Goose Gap Road, 4.1 miles north on Bluff Mountain Road, 0.8 mile west on Tower Road to a road bank; USGS Walden Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 48 minutes 33 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 39 minutes 56 seconds W.

- A—0 to 4 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) sandy loam; moderate fine granular structure; very friable; many medium and few coarse roots; 5 percent sandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- BA—4 to 9 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; 5 percent sandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.

Bw1—9 to 18 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) sandy loam; moderate medium subangular blocky

structure; friable; few fine and medium roots; 5 percent sandstone gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.

- Bw2—18 to 29 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine and medium roots; 5 percent sandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear wavy boundary.
- C—29 to 36 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) sandy loam; common medium distinct brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; massive; friable; 10 percent sandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.

R—36 inches; unweathered arkosic metasandstone.

#### Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 20 to 40 inches Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Content of rock fragments: 5 to 35 percent, by volume, in the A and Bw horizons; 10 to 20 percent, by volume, in the C horizon

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid throughout

the profile

#### A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 1 to 4; value of 3 is limited to thin upper A horizons; soil materials in this horizon have value of 4 or more when mixed to a depth of 7 inches

Texture—sandy loam

#### BA horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 3 to 6

Texture—sandy loam or loam

# Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 3 to 8

Texture—sandy loam or loam

#### C horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—common or many in shades of brown or yellow

Texture—sandy loam or loam

#### R horizon:

Texture—unweathered arkosic metasandstone, quartzite, or graywacke

# **Dunning Series**

Depth class: Very deep

Drainage class: Poorly drained

Permeability: Slow
Parent material: Alluvium
Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Flood plains

Landform position: Linear slopes and depressions

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine, mixed, mesic Fluvaquentic

Endoaquolls

# **Typical Pedon**

Dunning silty clay loam, occasionally flooded; 0.3 mile south of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 0.3 mile southeast on Park Road, 0.5 mile south on Ridge Road to the City of Sevierville garage, 400 feet west along a fence row, 50 feet north of the fence row in a hay field; USGS Pigeon Forge Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 56 minutes 03 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 33 minutes 26 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 12 inches; very dark gray (10YR 3/1) silty clay loam; moderate fine and medium subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; 10 percent gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bg1—12 to 19 inches; dark gray (10YR 4/1) clay; weak medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 10 percent gravel, by volume; few fine prominent strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; few fine and medium dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2) concretions; neutral; clear smooth boundary.
- Bg2—19 to 26 inches; dark gray (10YR 4/1) clay; weak medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few fine roots; common fine and medium continuous tubular pores; 10 percent gravel, by volume; common medium distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; common fine and medium dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2) concretions; slightly alkaline; clear smooth boundary.
- BCg—26 to 34 inches; dark gray (10YR 4/1) clay; weak medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 10 percent gravel and cobbles, by volume; many medium prominent yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; common fine to coarse dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2) concretions; slightly alkaline; clear smooth boundary.
- Cg—34 to 60 inches; dark gray (10YR 4/1) gravelly clay; massive; firm; few fine roots; common fine

continuous tubular pores; 25 percent gravel and 5 percent cobbles, by volume; many medium prominent yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; common fine to coarse dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2) concretions; slightly alkaline.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 30 to 60 inches
Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches
Thickness of mollic epipedon: 10 to 24 inches
Content of rock fragments: 0 to 10 percent, by volume,
in the upper 30 inches; less than 35 percent, by
volume, below a depth of 30 inches
Reaction: Moderately acid to slightly alkaline

throughout the profile

# Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR or 2.5Y or neutral in hue, value of 3, and chroma of 1 to 3
Texture—silty clay loam

### Bg horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR to 5Y or neutral in hue, value of 3 or 4, and chroma of 1 or 2

Texture—clay

Redoximorphic features—few to many masses of iron concentration in shades of brown

# BCg horizon:

Color—horizon has hue of 10YR to 5Y, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 1 or 2, or it is neutral in hue and has value of 4 to 6

Texture—clay

Redoximorphic features—many masses of iron concentration in shades of brown

# Cg horizon:

Color—horizon has hue of 10YR to 5Y, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 1 or 2, or it is neutral in hue and has value of 4 to 6

Texture—clay in the fine-earth fraction Redoximorphic features—many masses of iron concentration in shades of brown

# **Etowah Series**

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate Parent material: Alluvium

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Stream terraces and alluvial fans Landform position: Summits, footslopes, and

toeslopes

Slope range: 2 to 12 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Typic

Paleudults

# **Typical Pedon**

Etowah loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes; 3.6 miles north on State Route 411 from the intersection of U.S Highway 411 and State Route 66, about 0.7 mile south on State Route 416, about 0.4 mile northeast on Harrisburg Mill Road, 1,000 feet south of Harrisburg Mill Road, in a pasture; USGS Richardson Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 51 minutes 43 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 29 minutes 37 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 10 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) loam; moderate fine granular structure; friable; common fine roots; neutral; abrupt smooth boundary.
- A—10 to 14 inches; very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; neutral; abrupt wavy boundary.
- BA—14 to 24 inches; brown (7.5YR 4/4) clay loam; weak medium and fine subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1—24 to 36 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 10 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—36 to 48 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay loam; common medium distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) and yellowish red (5YR 4/6) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt3—48 to 60 inches; yellowish red (5YR 5/6) clay loam; few medium distinct brown (7.5YR 4/4) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent chert gravel, by volume; few fine iron and manganese concretions; strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: More than 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 15 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid throughout the profile, except where surface

layers have been limed

A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3, and chroma of 2

to 4

Texture—loam

BA horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma

of 4 or 6

Texture—loam or clay loam

Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR or 7.5YR, value of 4 or 5, and

chroma of 6 or 8

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown, yellow,

or red

Texture—clay loam or silty clay loam

# **Fullerton Series**

Depth class: Very deep
Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Residuum weathered from cherty

dolomite

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 5 to 60 percent

Taxonomic class: Clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic

**Paleudults** 

# **Typical Pedon**

Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded; 6.7 miles north on State Route 66 from the intersection of U.S Highway 411 and State Route 66, about 1.8 miles east on State Route 139 to Underwood Cemetery Road, 350 feet northwest of Underwood Cemetery; USGS Douglas Dam Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 58 minutes 13 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 34 minutes 05 seconds W.

Ap—0 to 7 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) gravelly silt loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; many fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; 20 percent chert gravel, by volume; neutral; clear smooth boundary.

E—7 to 11 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4)

- gravelly silt loam; moderate fine granular and weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 25 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- BE—11 to 16 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) gravelly silt loam; common medium faint brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; few faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 20 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1—16 to 26 inches; yellowish red (5YR 5/6) gravelly clay; few fine distinct red (2.5YR 4/6) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common distinct discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 20 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—26 to 34 inches; yellowish red (5YR 4/6) gravelly clay; common medium distinct red (2.5YR 4/6) and common medium prominent yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 20 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt3—34 to 52 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) gravelly clay; many medium prominent strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) mottles; moderate medium angular and subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 25 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt4—52 to 60 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) gravelly clay; many medium prominent strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) mottles; moderate medium angular and subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine continuous tubular pores; common distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 20 percent chert gravel, by volume; strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: More than 60 inches
Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches
Content of rock fragments: 15 to 35 percent, by
volume, throughout the profile
Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid
throughout the profile, except where surface
layers have been limed

# A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—silt loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### E horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4

Texture—silt loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### BE horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown or yellow

Texture—silt loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 2.5YR or 5YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown or yellow

Texture—clay in the fine-earth fraction

# **Holston Series**

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate Parent material: Old alluvium Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: High stream terraces

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 2 to 12 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Typic

**Paleudults** 

# **Typical Pedon**

Holston loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes; 2.7 miles north on State Route 66 from the intersection of U.S Highway 411 and State Route 66, about 2.9 miles northeast on State Route 338, about 0.5 mile east on Providence Road to Providence Baptist Church, 100 feet west of Providence Baptist Church, in a wooded area; USGS Douglas Dam Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 55 minutes 26 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 31 minutes 26 seconds W.

A1—0 to 3 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) loam; moderate fine granular structure; very friable; many fine and coarse roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 2 percent rounded quartzite gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.

A2—3 to 10 inches; brown (10YR 5/3) loam; moderate

fine granular structure; very friable; many fine, medium, and coarse roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 2 percent rounded quartzite gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.

- BE—10 to 18 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 2 percent rounded quartzite gravel, by volume; strongly acid; gradual smooth boundary.
- Bt1—18 to 27 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 2 percent rounded quartzite gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—27 to 38 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay loam; common medium distinct yellowish red (5YR 5/6) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt3—38 to 48 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay; many medium distinct yellowish red (5YR 5/6) mottles; moderate medium angular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt4—48 to 60 inches; yellowish red (5YR 4/6) clay; many medium distinct strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) mottles; moderate medium angular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; very strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: More than 60 inches

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 15 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—loam

BE horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 to 6

Texture—loam

Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 5, and chroma of 6 or 8 in the upper part; hue of 5YR or 7.5YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 6 or 8 in the lower part

Mottles—none to many in shades of brown, yellow, or red

Texture—clay loam in the upper part; clay in the lower part

# Junaluska Series

Depth class: Moderately deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Residuum weathered from metasiltstone, slate, or thinly bedded

metasandstone Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 12 to 25 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic

Hapludults

# **Typical Pedon**

Junaluska silt loam in an area of Junaluska-Cataska complex, 12 to 25 percent slopes; about 3.4 miles east of the intersection of U.S. Highway 411 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 411, about 6.9 miles southeast on State Route 416, about 0.4 mile southeast on Richardson Cove Road, 2.1 miles south on Locust Ridge Road to a site in a road bank; USGS Richardson Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 47 minutes 59 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 22 minutes 42 seconds W.

- A—0 to 3 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) silt loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; 2 percent metasiltstone channers, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1—3 to 12 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint clay films on faces of peds; 2 percent metasiltstone channers, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.

- Bt2—12 to 22 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) channery silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 20 percent metasiltstone channers, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt3—22 to 29 inches; yellowish red (5YR 5/6) channery silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 15 percent metasiltstone channers, by volume; very strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.

Cr—29 to 40 inches; weathered, fractured metasiltstone.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 15 to 39 inches Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 35 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid

throughout the profile

# A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 3 to 6; value of 3 is limited to thin upper A horizons; soil materials in this horizon have value of 4 or more when mixed to a depth of 7 inches

Texture—silt loam

# Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR or 7.5YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Texture—silty clay loam, clay loam, or loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered metasiltstone, slate, or thinly bedded metasandstone

# Leadvale Series

Depth class: Deep

Drainage class: Moderately well drained Permeability: Slow or moderately slow

Parent material: Residuum weathered from shale,

siltstone, or sandstone Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Stream terraces and alluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes

Slope range: 2 to 5 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-silty, siliceous, thermic Typic Fragiudults

# **Typical Pedon**

Leadvale silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes; from the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66, about 12.6 miles northwest on U.S. Highway 441, about 3.9 miles northeast on State Route 338, about 0.7 mile southeast on Gibson Circle, 0.1 mile southeast on a private driveway to a homestead, 0.5 mile southeast of the homestead along a field road to the beginning of a second fence row, 100 feet southeast in a field; USGS Boyds Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 54 minutes 02 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 41 minutes 08 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 9 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) silt loam; moderate fine granular structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; very strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bt—9 to 17 inches; brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; few distinct discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; common fine and medium iron and manganese concretions; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Btx1—17 to 31 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silty clay loam; moderate medium prismatic structure parting to strong medium and fine subangular blocky; very firm; few fine roots on faces of prisms; few fine discontinuous tubular pores; few faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; few fine distinct strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; common fine and medium iron and manganese stains and concretions; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Btx2—31 to 44 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) clay; moderate medium prismatic structure parting to moderate medium subangular blocky; firm; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; few faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; common medium prominent light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) irregularly shaped masses of iron depletion and few fine faint strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; common fine and medium manganese concretions and stains; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- B't—44 to 55 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) clay; weak medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few fine continuous tubular pores; few faint clay films on faces of peds; common medium distinct

light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) irregularly shaped masses of iron depletion in the matrix; common fine and medium manganese stains and concretions; strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.

Cr—55 to 62 inches; weathered, weakly consolidated shale.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 40 to 60 inches

Depth to bedrock: 48 to more than 96 inches

Depth to fragipan: 16 to 38 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 10 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid

throughout the profile

A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma

of 2 or 3

Texture—silt loam

Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 5 or 6, and chroma of 6 or 8

Texture—silt loam, loam, or silty clay loam

Redoximorphic features—none or few masses of iron concentration in shades of brown; none or few masses of iron depletion in shades of gray

#### Btx horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 5 or 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Texture—silt loam or silty clay loam

Redoximorphic features—none or few masses of iron concentration in shades of brown; none or few masses of iron depletion in shades of gray

#### B't horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 5 or 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Texture—silty clay loam, silty clay, or clay

Redoximorphic features—none or few masses of iron concentration in shades of brown; common or many masses of iron depletion in shades of gray

#### Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered shale, siltstone, or sandstone

# **Lonon Series**

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Colluvium or alluvium weathered or

washed from metasiltstone, slate, or metasandstone

Landscape: Blue Ridge

Landform: Colluvial or alluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic

Hapludults

# Typical Pedon

Lonon gravelly loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes; 700 feet northwest on Burns Road from the intersection of U.S Highway 321 and Burns Road, 300 feet southwest in a field; USGS Wear Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 43 minutes 30 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 39 minutes 15 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 8 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) gravelly loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; many fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 20 percent rounded gravel, by volume; slightly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- E—8 to 13 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) gravelly loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 20 percent rounded gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1—13 to 22 inches; yellowish red (5YR 5/8) clay loam; few fine distinct strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent rounded gravel, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—22 to 47 inches; yellowish red (5YR 5/8) gravelly clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 30 percent rounded gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- BC—47 to 60 inches; yellowish red (5YR 5/8) gravelly loam; few medium distinct yellowish red (5YR 5/6) mottles; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine continuous tubular pores; few faint clay films on faces of peds; 15 percent rounded gravel, by volume; very strongly acid.

# **Range in Characteristics**

Thickness of solum: More than 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: Less than 35 percent, by volume, to a depth of 40 inches; as much as 60 percent, by volume, below a depth of 40 inches

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

#### A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4, and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### E horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 2.5YR or 5YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 6 or 8

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown, yellow, or red

Texture—clay loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### BC horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 6 or 8

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown, yellow,

Texture—loam or clay loam in the fine-earth fraction

# Lostcove Series

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Colluvium weathered from

metasandstone or slate Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Colluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes

Slope range: 5 to 25 percent

Taxonomic class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic

Hapludults

# **Typical Pedon**

Lostcove very cobbly loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, extremely bouldery; 1.9 miles southeast on Valley View Road from the junction of U.S Highway 321 and Valley View Road, 700 feet east on the left fork of Sugar Camp Road to a bend in the road, 800 feet south into a pasture; USGS Gatlinburg Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 43 minutes 28 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 36 minutes 09 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 8 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) very cobbly loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; many fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 25 percent gravel and 15 percent cobbles, by volume; strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bt1—8 to 14 inches; brown (7.5YR 4/4) very cobbly clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; few faint clay films on faces of peds; 25 percent gravel and 15 percent cobbles, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—14 to 31 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) very cobbly clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 25 percent gravel and 20 percent cobbles, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt3—31 to 42 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) very cobbly loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; few fine discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 20 percent gravel and 30 percent cobbles, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- BC—42 to 72 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very cobbly loam; common medium distinct strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) mottles; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine continuous tubular pores; 20 percent gravel and 30 percent cobbles, by volume; very strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 30 to 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 72 inches

Content of rock fragments: 15 to 60 percent, by volume, in the upper part of the profile; 35 to 80 percent, by volume, in the lower part of the profile

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid

throughout the profile

# A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 3 or 4; value of 3 is limited to thin upper A horizons; soil materials in this horizon have value of 4 or more when mixed to a depth of 7 inches

Texture—loam in the fine-earth fraction

# Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown or yellow

Texture—loam or clay loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### BC horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown or vellow

Texture—loam or sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction

Lostcove soils in Sevier County are considered taxadjuncts to the series because they are in a mixed mineralogy class. This difference, however, does not significantly affect the use and management of the soils.

# Montevallo Series

Depth class: Shallow

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Residuum weathered from acid shale

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 5 to 25 percent

Taxonomic class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, thermic,

shallow Typic Dystrochrepts

# Typical Pedon

Montevallo channery silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded; 12.6 miles northwest of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 2.3 miles northeast on State Route 338, about 1.5 miles north on Porterfield Gap Road, 1.6 miles east on East Union Gap Road, 0.5 mile north on Union Valley Church Road to Union Valley Church, 0.25 mile northeast to a road cut beside a pasture; USGS Boyds Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 55 minutes 34 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 42 minutes 45 seconds W.

Ap—0 to 5 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; very friable; common fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; 25 percent shale channers, by volume; neutral; abrupt smooth boundary.

Bw1—5 to 12 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium and few coarse roots; common very fine and few fine continuous tubular pores; 40 percent shale

channers, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.

Bw2—12 to 17 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few very fine and fine continuous tubular pores; 45 percent shale channers, by volume; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.

Cr—17 to 38 inches; weathered, fractured shale. R—38 inches: unweathered shale.

#### Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 10 to 20 inches Depth to bedrock: 10 to 20 inches

Content of rock fragments: 15 to 60 percent, by volume, in the A horizon; 35 to 80 percent, by volume, in the Bw horizon

Reaction: Very strongly acid to moderately acid throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

# A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 2 to 6, and chroma of 1 to 4

Texture—silt loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR to 2.5Y, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 3 to 8

Texture—silt loam, loam, clay loam, or silty clay loam in the fine-earth fraction

# Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered acid shale

# R horizon:

Texture—unweathered acid shale

# Muse Series

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Slow

Parent material: Colluvium weathered from siltstone or

shale

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Colluvial fans

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes

Slope range: 5 to 12 percent

Taxonomic class: Clayey, mixed, mesic Typic

Hapludults

# **Typical Pedon**

Muse silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded (fig. 9); 4.7 miles south on U.S. Highway 441 from the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66,



Figure 5.—Typical profile of Braddock loam. Braddock soils are well drained and very deep. They formed in alluvium and colluvium on high stream terraces and colluvial fans of the Blue Ridge landscape. Depth is marked in feet.



Figure 6.—Typical profile of Cataska channery silt loam.

Cataska soils are excessively drained and shallow. They formed in residuum weathered from slate and phyllite of the Blue Ridge landscape. In this profile, weathered slate bedrock occurs at a depth of 1.0 foot. Depth is marked in feet.



Figure 7.—Typical profile of Dewey silt loam. Dewey soils are well drained and very deep. They formed in residuum weathered from limestone of the Ridges and Valleys landscape. Depth is marked in feet.



Figure 8.—Typical profile of Ditney sandy loam. Ditney soils are well drained and moderately deep. They formed in residuum weathered from metasandstone, quartzite, or graywacke of the Blue Ridge landscape. In this profile, hard metasandstone bedrock occurs at a depth of 3.0 feet. Depth is marked in feet.



Figure 9.—Typical profile of Muse silt loam. Muse soils are well drained and very deep. They formed in colluvium weathered from siltstone and shale of the Ridges and Valleys landscape. Depth is marked in feet.



Figure 10.—Typical profile of Townley silt loam. Townley soils are well drained and moderately deep. They formed in residuum weathered from shale and siltstone of the Ridges and Valleys landscape. In this profile, weathered, fractured shale bedrock occurs at a depth of approximately 2.5 feet. Depth is marked in feet.

about 0.5 mile north on Davis Road to a road bank behind Belz Mall, at the edge of a pasture; USGS Pigeon Forge Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 48 minutes 30 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 34 minutes 16 seconds W.

- A—0 to 6 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) silt loam; moderate fine and medium granular structure; friable; common fine roots; few fine and medium manganese concretions; moderately acid; clear wavy boundary.
- AB—6 to 14 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) silt loam; many medium faint pale brown (10YR 6/3) mottles; weak fine subangular blocky structure; very friable; common fine roots; few fine and medium manganese concretions; strongly acid; gradual smooth boundary.
- Bt1—14 to 28 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay; common medium faint strong brown (7.5YR 4/6) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; few fine and medium manganese concretions; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—28 to 38 inches; yellowish red (5YR 5/6) clay; common medium distinct red (2.5YR 4/6) and strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) mottles; strong medium subangular blocky structure; firm; common distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- BC—38 to 53 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) silty clay; common medium distinct yellowish red (5YR 4/6) mottles; weak medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- C—53 to 60 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) silty clay; common medium distinct yellowish red (5YR 4/6) mottles; massive; firm; common manganese concentrations; very strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 40 to 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 15 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

# A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4, and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—silt loam

#### AB horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown or yellow

Texture—silt loam

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR to 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown, yellow, or red

Texture—silty clay loam, silty clay, or clay

#### BC horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR to 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—common or many in shades of brown, yellow, red, or gray

Texture—silty clay loam, silty clay, or clay

#### C horizon:

Color—hue of 5YR to 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 or 6

Mottles—common or many in shades of brown, red, or gray

Texture—silty clay or clay

# **Muskingum Series**

Depth class: Moderately deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Residuum weathered from siltstone,

shale, or sandstone Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 25 to 60 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic

Dystrochrepts

# **Typical Pedon**

Muskingum channery loam in an area of Muskingum-Chiswell complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes; 4.5 miles north of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on State Route 66, about 6.8 miles west on State Route 338, about 2.1 miles northwest on Jim Fain Road, 0.6 mile north on Ray Gap Road, 0.5 mile west on a dirt road to a radio tower, 300 feet east of the radio tower, on a wooded side slope; USGS Boyds Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 56 minutes 17 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 42 minutes 07 seconds W.

- Oi—1 inch to 0; partially decomposed hardwood leaves, twigs, and roots.
- A—0 to 3 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) channery loam; weak fine granular structure; friable; many fine, medium, and coarse roots; 20 percent siltstone and sandstone channers, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1—3 to 10 inches; brown (7.5YR 4/4) channery loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; 15 percent siltstone and sandstone channers, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—10 to 22 inches; brown (7.5YR 5/4) loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine, medium, and coarse roots; 10 percent siltstone and sandstone channers, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- C—22 to 29 inches; brown (7.5YR 5/4) channery loam; massive; friable; 20 percent siltstone and sandstone channers, by volume; very strongly acid; abrupt wavy boundary.
- Cr—29 to 40 inches; weathered, fractured fine-grained sandstone.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 20 to 40 inches Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Content of rock fragments: 5 to 30 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid to moderately acid

throughout the profile

A horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and

chroma of 2 to 4

Texture—loam in the fine-earth fraction

Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—loam in the fine-earth fraction

C horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—loam in the fine-earth fraction

Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered siltstone, shale, or sandstone

# Nonaburg Series

Depth class: Shallow

Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately slow Parent material: Residuum weathered from

calcareous shale

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 5 to 60 percent

Taxonomic class: Clayey, mixed, thermic, shallow

Ochreptic Hapludalfs

# **Typical Pedon**

Nonaburg channery silt loam, 25 to 60 percent slopes, severely eroded, rocky; 4.0 miles east of the intersection of U.S. Highway 411 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 411, about 0.4 mile north on a gravel road to the City of Sevierville water tank, 800 feet northeast on a side slope; USGS Pigeon Forge Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 52 minutes 23 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 30 minutes 15 seconds W.

- A—0 to 2 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) channery silt loam; weak fine granular structure; friable; many very fine and fine roots in mat at top of the horizon; common very fine and fine continuous tubular pores; 25 percent shale channers, by volume; neutral; abrupt smooth boundary.
- BA—2 to 6 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common very fine and fine roots throughout; common very fine and fine continuous tubular pores; 25 percent shale channers, by volume; neutral; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt—6 to 14 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) channery silty clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common very fine and fine roots; common very fine and fine continuous tubular pores; common faint clay films on faces of peds; 25 percent shale channers, by volume; neutral; clear smooth boundary.
- Cr—14 to 41 inches; weathered, fractured calcareous shale; few fine roots in weathered seams.
- R-41 inches; hard calcareous shale.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 8 to 20 inches Depth to bedrock: 8 to 20 inches

Content of rock fragments: 15 to 35 percent, by volume, in the A horizon; 10 to 35 percent, by

volume, in the Bt horizon

Reaction: Slightly acid or neutral throughout the profile

A horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4, and

chroma of 2 to 4

Texture—silt loam in the fine-earth fraction.

#### BA horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 5 or 6, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—silt loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Mottles—few or common in shades of brown, yellow, or red

Texture—silty clay, clay, or silty clay loam in the fine-earth fraction

# Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered calcareous shale

#### R horizon:

Texture—unweathered calcareous shale

# Northcove Series

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Parent material: Colluvium weathered from

metasandstone or slate *Landscape:* Blue Ridge

Landform: Colluvial fans and coves

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes

Slope range: 35 to 50 percent

Taxonomic class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic

Dystrochrepts

#### **Typical Pedon**

Northcove stony sandy loam, 35 to 50 percent slopes, bouldery; 4.7 miles south of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 442, about 2.8 miles west on State Route 416, about 0.5 mile west on Walden Creek Road, 0.5 mile north on Goose Gap Road, 3.4 miles north on Bluff Mountain Road, 50 feet west of Bluff Mountain Road, in a bank near a sharp curve in the road; USGS Walden Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 47 minutes 59 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 39 minutes 50 seconds W.

- Oi—2 inches to 0; partially decomposed leaves, twigs, and pine needles.
- A—0 to 5 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) stony sandy loam; weak fine granular structure; very friable; many fine roots; 10 percent gravel, 5 percent cobbles, and 15 percent stones, by volume; very strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bw1—5 to 18 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) very cobbly sandy loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; very friable; many fine and

- medium and few coarse roots; 25 percent gravel and 15 percent cobbles, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—18 to 40 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very cobbly loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine and medium roots; 20 percent gravel and 25 percent cobbles, by volume; very strongly acid; gradual smooth boundary.
- C—40 to 72 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) extremely cobbly sandy loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; very friable; 20 percent gravel and 45 percent cobbles, by volume; very strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 35 to more than 60 inches

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches Content of rock fragments: 15 to 60 percent, by

volume, in the A horizon; 35 to 60 percent, by volume, in the Bw horizon; 35 to 80 percent, by

volume, in the C horizon

Reaction: Extremely acid to moderately acid

throughout the profile

# A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 2 to 4; value of 3 is limited to thin upper A horizons; soil materials in this horizon have value of 4 or more when mixed to a depth of 7 inches

Texture—sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### BA horizon (if it occurs):

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 to 6

Texture—sandy loam, fine sandy loam, or loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Texture—sandy loam, loam, or fine sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction

# C horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Texture—sandy loam, fine sandy loam, or loamy sand in the fine-earth fraction

# **Pope Series**

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Moderate or moderately rapid

Parent material: Alluvium Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Narrow flood plains

Landform position: Linear to slightly convex slopes

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Taxonomic class: Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic

Fluventic Dystrochrepts

# **Typical Pedon**

Pope sandy loam, occasionally flooded; 4.3 miles east on U.S. Highway 411 from the intersection of U.S. Highway 411 and State Route 66, about 7.5 miles southeast on State Route 339, about 0.5 mile north on Obes Branch Road to a road bank; USGS Richardson Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 51 minutes 48 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 23 minutes 52 seconds W.

Ap—0 to 4 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) sandy loam; moderate fine granular structure; very friable; many fine, medium, and coarse roots; many fine continuous tubular pores; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.

Bw1—4 to 7 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) sandy loam; weak fine and medium subangular blocky structure; very friable; many fine, medium, and coarse roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.

Bw2—7 to 18 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; very friable; common fine, medium, and coarse roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 2 percent sandstone gravel, by volume; strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.

Bw3—18 to 24 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; very friable; few fine, medium, and coarse roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 2 percent sandstone gravel, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.

Bw4—24 to 32 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) cobbly sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; very friable; few fine, medium, and coarse roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 10 percent gravel and 10 percent cobbles, by volume; very strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.

C—32 to 60 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) cobbly loamy sand; massive; very friable; common fine and medium and few coarse continuous tubular pores; 10 percent gravel and 20 percent cobbles, by volume; very strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 30 to 50 inches

Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 30 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid throughout

the profile

A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4, and chroma of 3

or 4

Texture—sandy loam

Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and

chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—sandy loam or fine sandy loam in the

fine-earth fraction

C horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and

chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—loamy sand, sandy loam, or loam in the

fine-earth fraction

# Rosman Series

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Parent material: Loamy alluvium washed from materials weathered from quartzite,

metasandstone, or phyllite

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Flood plains

Landform position: Linear to slightly convex slopes

Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Taxonomic class: Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic

Fluventic Haplumbrepts

# **Typical Pedon**

Rosman sandy loam, occasionally flooded; 3.4 miles east on U.S. Highway 411 from the intersection of U.S. Highway 411 and State Route 66, about 8.7 miles south on State Route 416, about 0.3 mile east across the bridge along the Little Pigeon River, 85 feet north of a hickory tree on the bank of the Little Pigeon River, in a field; USGS Richardson Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 47 minutes 47 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 25 minutes 32 seconds W.

Ap—0 to 10 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) sandy loam; weak fine granular structure; very friable; common fine roots; few coarse and common medium continuous tubular pores; strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.

A—10 to 19 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) sandy

- loam; weak medium granular structure; very friable; common fine and few coarse roots; many fine and common medium continuous tubular pores; slightly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1—19 to 38 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; very friable; common fine and few coarse roots; many fine and common medium continuous tubular pores; 2 percent, by volume, gravel and cobbles of sandstone as much as 4 inches across; slightly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—38 to 49 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; very friable; common fine roots; common fine and few medium continuous tubular pores; 2 percent, by volume, gravel and cobbles of sandstone as much as 4 inches across; slightly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- C—49 to 64 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) loamy sand; massive; very friable; few fine roots; few coarse and common fine and medium continuous tubular pores; 2 percent, by volume, gravel and cobbles of sandstone as much as 4 inches across; strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 35 to 60 inches or more Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 10 percent, by volume, in the upper part of the solum; 0 to 35 percent, by volume, below a depth of 50 inches

Reaction: Strongly acid to slightly acid throughout the profile

# Ap or A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR and value and chroma of 2 or 3

Texture—sandy loam

#### Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 to 6

Texture—sandy loam or loam

### C horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 to 6

Texture—sandy loam, loam, loamy sand, or sand in the fine-earth fraction

# Sequatchie Series

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Loamy alluvium Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Low stream terraces Landform position: Linear slopes Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Humic

Hapludults

# **Typical Pedon**

Sequatchie loam, rarely flooded; 4.5 miles north of the intersection of State Road 66 and U.S. Highway 411 on State Road 66, about 3.5 miles west on State Road 338, north on a gravel road to Trundle farm, 0.8 mile north along a fence row, about 50 feet into a field near the French Broad River; USGS Boyds Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 55 minutes 21 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 37 minutes 52 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 10 inches; dark brown (7.5YR 3/4) loam; moderate medium and fine granular structure; friable; common fine roots; many fine continuous tubular pores; neutral; abrupt smooth boundary.
- BA—10 to 17 inches; brown (7.5YR 4/4) loam; common medium distinct dark brown (10YR 3/3) mottles in the interiors of peds; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1—17 to 33 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay loam; common medium distinct brown (7.5YR 4/4) mottles on faces of peds; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine and few medium continuous tubular pores; few faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; common fine iron and manganese concentrations; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—33 to 44 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) loam; common medium distinct brown (7.5YR 4/4) mottles on faces of peds; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine and few medium continuous tubular pores; few faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent rock fragments, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- BC—44 to 51 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) loam; common fine distinct brown (7.5YR 4/4) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine tubular pores; 5 percent rock fragments, by volume; common medium and fine soft iron and manganese concentrations; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- C—51 to 62 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) sandy

loam; few fine distinct brown (7.5YR 4/4) mottles; massive; very friable; 5 percent rock fragments, by volume; common fine and medium iron and manganese concentrations; very strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 32 to 55 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 15 percent, by volume, in the A and B horizons; 5 to 30 percent, by

volume, in the C horizon

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

#### A or Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 3, and chroma of 2 to 4

Texture—loam

#### BA horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4, and chroma of 3 to 6

Texture—loam, silt loam, or fine sandy loam

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—common in shades of brown

Texture—clay loam or loam

#### BC horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—common in shades of brown or yellow Texture—loam, clay loam, fine sandy loam, or sandy loam

### C horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Mottles—common in shades of brown or yellow Texture—loam, fine sandy loam, or sandy loam

# Shelocta Series

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Loamy colluvium weathered from

sandstone or shale

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: Colluvial fans and coves

Landform position: Footslopes and toeslopes

Slope range: 2 to 15 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults

# **Typical Pedon**

Shelocta silt loam, 5 to 15 percent slopes; 400 feet north on Birds Creek Road from the intersection of Shady Grove Road and Birds Creek Road, 200 feet west in a field; USGS Richardson Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 47 minutes 08 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 27 minutes 08 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 10 inches; brown (7.5YR 4/4) silt loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; common fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 10 percent siltstone and shale channers, by volume; slightly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt1—10 to 17 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; few faint clay films on faces of peds; common manganese stains on faces of peds; 10 percent siltstone and shale channers, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—17 to 31 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) channery silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; common manganese stains on faces of peds; 20 percent siltstone and shale channers, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt3—31 to 48 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) channery silty clay loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine continuous tubular pores; few distinct discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; few manganese stains on faces of peds; 20 percent siltstone and shale channers, by volume; strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- BC—48 to 60 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) channery silt loam; few medium prominent pale red (2.5YR 7/2) mottles; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine continuous tubular pores; 15 percent siltstone and shale channers, by volume; very strongly acid.

# **Range in Characteristics**

Thickness of solum: 40 to 60 inches or more Depth to bedrock: More than 48 inches

Content of rock fragments: 5 to 35 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid

throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

#### Ap or A horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4, and chroma of 3 or 4
Texture—silt loam

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 6 or 8

Texture—silty clay loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### BC horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—few to many in shades of brown or vellow

Texture—silt loam, loam, or clay loam in the fineearth fraction

# Soco Series

Depth class: Moderately deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Parent material: Residuum weathered from slate,

phyllite, or metasandstone

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 12 to 80 percent

Taxonomic class: Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic

Dystrochrepts

# **Typical Pedon**

Soco loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes; 10.7 miles south of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 5.1 miles southwest on Norton Creek Road to a homestead, 0.4 mile west on an unimproved road to a road bank at the end of a ridge; USGS Gatlinburg Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 42 minutes 51 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 34 minutes 45 seconds W.

- Oi—1 inch to 0; partially decomposed leaves, twigs, and roots.
- A—0 to 2 inches; very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) loam; moderate fine granular structure; very friable; common fine and medium roots; 2 percent metasandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1—2 to 8 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; 2 percent

- metasandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—8 to 15 inches; brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots; 2 percent metasandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw3—15 to 21 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine and medium roots; 2 percent metasandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- BC—21 to 29 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) loam; common medium distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) mottles; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; 2 percent metasandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- C—29 to 34 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) loam; common medium distinct brownish yellow (10YR 6/8) mottles; massive; friable; 5 percent metasandstone gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Cr—34 to 50 inches; weathered metasandstone.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 15 to 39 inches or more

Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 35 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid throughout

the profile

# A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 2 to 4; value of 3 is limited to thin upper A horizons; soil materials in this horizon have value of 4 or more when mixed to a depth of 7 inches

Texture—loam

# Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Texture—loam, sandy loam, or fine sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### BC horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—few or common in shades of brown or yellow

Texture—loam, sandy loam, or fine sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### C horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 to 6, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—few or common in shades of brown or vellow

Texture—loam, sandy loam, or loamy sand in the fine-earth fraction

#### Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered slate, phyllite, or metasandstone

# Staser Series

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Moderate or moderately rapid Parent material: Alluvium washed from materials weathered from mixed sedimentary and

metamorphic rocks

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Flood plains

Landform position: Linear slopes Slope range: 0 to 2 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, mixed, thermic Cumulic

Hapludolls

# **Typical Pedon**

Staser loam, occasionally flooded; 1.4 miles south of the intersection of U.S Highways 411 and 441 on U.S. Highway 441, about 75 feet south on Buena Drive, 0.3 mile east on a field road to a tool shed, 0.3 mile north of the tool shed, 100 feet west of the Little Pigeon River; USGS Pigeon Forge Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 51 minutes 11 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 33 minutes 47 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 11 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) loam; weak medium granular structure; very friable; common fine roots; few coarse and common medium continuous tubular pores; moderately acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- A1—11 to 19 inches; very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; many fine and common medium continuous tubular pores; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- A2—19 to 36 inches; dark brown (10YR 3/3) loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine foots; many fine and common medium continuous tubular pores; moderately acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bw—36 to 46 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) loam; few fine distinct pale brown (10YR 6/3) mottles; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few

fine roots; common fine and few medium continuous tubular pores; few fine particles of charcoal; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.

- BC—46 to 60 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) fine sandy loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable; few coarse and common fine and medium continuous tubular pores; few fine particles of charcoal; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- C—60 to 72 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) fine sandy loam; massive; very friable; common fine and medium and few coarse continuous tubular pores; moderately acid.

# **Range in Characteristics**

Thickness of solum: More than 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches Thickness of mollic epipedon: 24 to 45 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 15 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Moderately acid to neutral throughout the profile

Ap or A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR and value and chroma of 2 or 3

Texture—loam

Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 3 or 4

Mottles—few or common in shades of brown or yellow

Texture—loam, sandy loam, or fine sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction

BC horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—fine sandy loam or loam

C horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR and value and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—fine sandy loam or loam

# Steadman Series

Depth class: Very deep

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Alluvium washed from materials weathered from mixed sedimentary and

metamorphic rocks

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Flood plains and drainageways

Landform position: Linear or slightly concave slopes

Slope range: 0 to 3 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-silty, mixed, thermic

Fluvaquentic Eutrochrepts

# **Typical Pedon**

Steadman silt loam, occasionally flooded; from the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66, about 12.6 miles northwest on U.S. Highway 441, about 3.9 miles northeast on State Route 338, about 0.5 mile southeast on Gibson Circle, 0.3 mile south on Matthew Lane, 200 feet east of Matthew Lane in a field, 60 feet north of Boyds Creek; USGS Boyds Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 53 minutes 49 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 41 minutes 33 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 9 inches; dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) silt loam; weak medium granular structure; friable; few fine roots; few fine pores; slightly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1—9 to 16 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine pores; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—16 to 20 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine pores; common fine distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; moderately acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw3—20 to 35 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine distinct light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) irregularly shaped masses of iron depletion and few fine distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; common brown and black iron and manganese stains and concretions; moderately acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- C—35 to 60 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silt loam; massive; friable; many fine distinct light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) irregularly shaped masses of iron depletion and common fine distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; common brown and black iron and manganese stains; moderately acid.

# **Range in Characteristics**

Thickness of solum: 35 to more than 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 5 percent, by volume, in the Ap and Bw horizons; 0 to 35 percent, by volume, in the C horizon

Reaction: Moderately acid to slightly alkaline throughout the profile

#### Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4, and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—silt loam

#### Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—silt loam or loam

Redoximorphic features—none to common masses of iron concentration in shades of brown; common or many masses of iron depletion in shades of gray in the lower part of the horizon

#### C horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 5 or 6, and chroma of 3 to 6

Texture—silt loam or loam

Redoximorphic features—none to common masses of iron concentration in shades of brown; common or many masses of iron depletion in shades of gray

# Sylco Series

Depth class: Moderately deep

Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained

Permeability: Moderately rapid

Parent material: Residuum weathered from metasiltstone, slate, or phyllite

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 25 to 80 percent

Taxonomic class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts

**Typical Pedon** 

#### поотпорто

Sylco channery silt loam in an area of Cataska-Sylco complex, 25 to 80 percent slopes; 13.2 miles south of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 4.3 miles east on U.S. Highway 321, about 0.2 mile north on Hidden Hill Road, 100 feet northeast of the parking lot of Gatlinburg Community Center; USGS Mount LeConte Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 44 minutes 05 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 26 minutes 45 seconds W.

Oi—1 inch to 0; partially decomposed leaf litter.

A—0 to 2 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) channery silt loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; common fine roots; 20 percent siltstone channers, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.

Bw1—2 to 9 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) very channery silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine and medium roots: 40 percent siltstone channers, by volume: very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.

Bw2—9 to 25 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) very channery silt loam; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine and medium roots; 45 percent siltstone channers, by volume; very strongly acid; abrupt wavy boundary.

Cr—25 to 33 inches; weathered, fractured siltstone. R—33 inches: unweathered metasiltstone.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 20 to 40 inches Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Content of rock fragments: 10 to 20 percent, by volume, in the A horizon; 15 to 50 percent, by

volume, in the B horizon

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid throughout the profile

### A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 3 or 4; value of 3 is limited to thin upper A horizons: soil materials in this horizon have value of 4 or more when mixed to a depth of 7 inches

Texture—silt loam in the fine-earth fraction

# Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 to 8

Texture—silt loam or loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered metasiltstone, slate, or phyllite

# R horizon:

Texture—unweathered metasiltstone, slate, or phyllite

# Talbott Series

Depth class: Moderately deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderately slow

Parent material: Residuum weathered from limestone

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 10 to 60 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine, mixed, thermic Typic

Hapludalfs

# **Typical Pedon**

Talbott silty clay loam in an area of Talbott-Rock outcrop complex, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded; 6.7 miles north of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 0.2 mile east on State Route 139, about 0.8 mile north on Bryan Road, 2,000 feet northeast in a pasture; USGS Douglas Dam Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 58 minutes 10 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 35 minutes 29 seconds W.

Ap—0 to 6 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) silty clay loam; moderate medium granular structure; friable; common fine roots; slightly acid; clear smooth boundary.

Bt1—6 to 22 inches; yellowish red (5YR 4/6) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; few faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; slightly acid; clear smooth boundary.

Bt2—22 to 32 inches; yellowish red (5YR 4/6) clay; common medium distinct brown (7.5YR 4/4) mottles; strong medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common distinct discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; slightly acid; abrupt smooth boundary.

R—32 inches; unweathered limestone.

# **Range in Characteristics**

Thickness of solum: 20 to 40 inches Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 10 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Strongly acid to slightly acid throughout the profile

# Ap or A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 or 4, and chroma of 2 to 4

Texture—silty clay loam

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 2.5YR to 7.5YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 to 8

Mottles—few or common in shades of brown or

Texture—clay or silty clay

#### R horizon:

Texture—unweathered limestone

# **Townley Series**

Depth class: Moderately deep Drainage class: Well drained

Permeability: Slow

Parent material: Residuum weathered from shale or

siltstone

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 2 to 60 percent

Taxonomic class: Clayey, mixed, thermic Typic

Hapludults

# **Typical Pedon**

Townley silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded (fig. 10); 14.7 miles northwest of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 1.0 mile east on Old Sevierville Pike to Seymour Community Church, 100 feet behind Seymour Community Church in a cut bank; USGS Shooks Gap Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 53 minutes 45 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 46 minutes 23 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 5 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) silt loam; moderate fine and medium granular structure; very friable; common fine and few medium and coarse roots; common fine and few medium continuous tubular pores; 5 percent shale channers, by volume; moderately acid; abrupt smooth boundary.
- Bt1—5 to 18 inches; strong brown (7.5YR 5/6) clay; few fine prominent yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) mottles; moderate fine and medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few fine roots; common fine and medium continuous tubular pores; common patchy faint clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent shale channers, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt2—18 to 30 inches; yellowish red (5YR 5/6) clay; common fine prominent yellowish brown (10YR 5/8) mottles; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; firm; few fine and medium roots; common fine and medium continuous tubular pores; common patchy faint clay films on faces of peds; 10 percent shale channers, by volume; very strongly acid; clear wavy boundary.

Cr—30 to 50 inches; weathered, fractured shale.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 20 to 40 inches Depth to bedrock: 20 to 40 inches

Content of rock fragments: 5 to 10 percent, by volume, in the Ap horizon; 5 to 20 percent, by volume, in the Bt horizon

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and

chroma of 3 to 6 Texture—silt loam

Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 2.5YR to 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and

chroma of 6 or 8

Mottles—few or common in shades of brown,

yellow, or red

Texture—clay, silty clay, or silty clay loam

Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered shale or siltstone

# **Unicoi Series**

Depth class: Shallow

Drainage class: Excessively drained Permeability: Moderately rapid

Parent material: Residuum weathered from arkose,

arkosic metasandstone, or quartzite

Landscape: Blue Ridge Landform: Ridges

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 30 to 80 percent

Taxonomic class: Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic

Dystrochrepts

#### **Typical Pedon**

Unicoi gravelly sandy loam in an area of Unicoi-Rock outcrop complex, 30 to 80 percent slopes; 4.7 miles south of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on U.S. Highway 441, about 2.8 miles southwest on U.S. Highway 321, about 0.5 mile west on Walden Creek Road, 0.5 mile north on Goose Gap Road, 4.7 miles northwest on Bluff Mountain Road, 50 feet northwest of the intersection of Bluff Mountain Road and Dupont Springs Road, on a side slope; USGS Walden Creek Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 48 minutes 24 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 39 minutes 28 seconds W.

Oi—2 inches to 0; partially decomposed leaves, twigs, and roots.

- A—0 to 2 inches; very dark grayish brown (10YR 3/2) gravelly sandy loam; weak fine granular structure; very friable; many fine, medium, and coarse roots; 25 percent sandstone gravel, by volume; extremely acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1—2 to 6 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very gravelly sandy loam; weak fine subangular blocky structure; friable; many fine, medium, and coarse roots; 40 percent sandstone gravel and cobbles, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—6 to 12 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) very gravelly sandy loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; 50 percent sandstone gravel and cobbles, by volume; very strongly acid; abrupt wavy boundary.

R—12 inches; unweathered metasandstone.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 11 to 20 inches

Depth to bedrock: 7 to 20 inches to hard bedrock

Content of rock fragments: 35 to 60 percent, by

volume, throughout the profile

Reaction: Extremely acid to strongly acid throughout the profile

#### A horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 2 to 4

Texture—sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—sandy loam, loam, or fine sandy loam in the fine-earth fraction

#### R horizon:

Texture—unweathered arkose, arkosic metasandstone, or quartzite

# Waynesboro Series

Depth class: Very deep Drainage class: Well drained Permeability: Moderate Parent material: Old alluvium Landscape: Ridges and Valleys Landform: High stream terraces

Landform position: Summits and side slopes

Slope range: 2 to 25 percent

Taxonomic class: Clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic

Paleudults

# **Typical Pedon**

Waynesboro loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded; 3.4 miles east on U.S. Highway 411 from the intersection of U.S Highway 411 and State Route 66, about 0.8 mile south on State Route 416, about 0.4 mile east on Old Newport Highway, 0.1 mile south on Harrisburg Mill Road to a road bank; USGS Richardson Cove Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 51 minutes 43 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 29 minutes 36 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 9 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) loam; common fine distinct red (2.5YR 4/6) mottles below a depth of 4 inches; weak medium granular structure; friable; many fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; 5 percent rounded graywacke and phyllite gravel, by volume; strongly acid; abrupt wavy boundary.
- Bt1—9 to 20 inches; red (2.5YR 4/6) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint discontinuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent rounded graywacke and phyllite gravel, by volume; strongly acid; gradual smooth boundary.
- Bt2—20 to 39 inches; dark red (2.5YR 3/6) clay; moderate medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 5 percent rounded graywacke and phyllite gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt3—39 to 56 inches; dark red (2.5YR 3/6) clay; moderate medium angular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; many distinct continuous clay films on faces of peds; 10 percent rounded graywacke and phyllite gravel, by volume; very strongly acid; clear smooth boundary.
- Bt4—56 to 72 inches; dark red (2.5YR 3/6) clay; moderate medium angular blocky structure; firm; few fine roots; common fine continuous tubular pores; common faint continuous clay films on faces of peds; 10 percent rounded graywacke and phyllite gravel, by volume; very strongly acid.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: More than 60 inches Depth to bedrock: More than 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 15 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Very strongly acid or strongly acid

throughout the profile, except where surface layers have been limed

#### Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 7.5YR or 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 or 4
Texture—loam

#### Bt horizon:

Color—hue of 2.5YR or 5YR, value of 3 to 5, and chroma of 6 or 8

Mottles—none to common in shades of brown, yellow, or red in the lower part of the horizon Texture—clay loam or clay

# Whitesburg Series

Depth class: Deep

Drainage class: Moderately well drained

Permeability: Moderate

Parent material: Local alluvium washed from materials

weathered from shale

Landscape: Ridges and Valleys

Landform: Drainageways

Landform position: Linear slopes

Landform position: Linear slopes Slope range: 1 to 5 percent

Taxonomic class: Fine-loamy, siliceous, mesic Aquic

**Dystric Eutrochrepts** 

# **Typical Pedon**

Whitesburg silt loam, occasionally flooded; 0.5 mile north of the intersection of U.S. Highway 441 and State Route 66 on State Route 66, about 0.5 mile north on State Route 139 to Denton's driveway, 500 feet northeast of a homestead, in a drainageway; USGS Douglas Dam Topographic Quadrangle; lat. 35 degrees 53 minutes 31 seconds N. and long. 83 degrees 34 minutes 34 seconds W.

- Ap—0 to 4 inches; brown (10YR 4/3) silt loam; moderate fine granular structure; friable; many fine roots; few fine continuous tubular pores; 2 percent shale channers, by volume; neutral; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw1—4 to 12 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; common fine roots; common very fine and few fine and medium continuous tubular pores; 5 percent shale channers; mildly alkaline; clear smooth boundary.
- Bw2—12 to 18 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silt loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure;

friable; few fine roots; common very fine and fine and few medium continuous tubular pores; few fine distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron accumulation in the matrix; 5 percent shale channers, by volume; mildly alkaline; abrupt smooth boundary.

- Bw3—18 to 25 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) silty clay loam; weak medium subangular blocky structure; friable; few fine roots; common fine and medium continuous tubular pores; common fine distinct pale brown (10YR 6/3) and common medium distinct strong brown (7.5YR 5/8) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; 10 percent shale channers, by volume; mildly alkaline; clear smooth boundary.
- C1—25 to 34 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silty clay loam; massive; firm; few fine and medium continuous tubular pores; common fine distinct light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) irregularly shaped masses of iron depletion and yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; 10 percent shale channers, by volume; mildly alkaline; clear smooth boundary.
- C2—34 to 53 inches; yellowish brown (10YR 5/4) silty clay loam; massive; firm; few fine and medium continuous tubular pores; common fine distinct light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) irregularly shaped masses of iron depletion and few fine distinct yellowish brown (10YR 5/6) irregularly shaped masses of iron concentration in the matrix; 10 percent shale channers, by volume; moderately alkaline; clear smooth boundary.

Cr-53 to 60 inches; weathered calcareous shale.

# Range in Characteristics

Thickness of solum: 20 to 50 inches Depth to bedrock: 40 to 60 inches

Content of rock fragments: 0 to 10 percent, by volume,

throughout the profile

Reaction: Slightly acid to moderately alkaline throughout the profile

# Ap horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4, and chroma of 3 or 4
Texture—silt loam

#### Bw horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 4 or 6

Texture—silt loam or silty clay loam Redoximorphic features—none to common masses of iron concentration in shades of brown; none to common masses of iron depletion in shades of gray

# C horizon:

Color—hue of 10YR, value of 4 or 5, and chroma of 3 or 4

Texture—silt loam or silty clay loam

Redoximorphic features—few or common masses of iron concentration in shades of brown; common or many masses of iron depletion in shades of gray

# Cr horizon:

Texture—weathered calcareous shale

# References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. 1986.
   Standard specifications for highway materials and methods of sampling and testing. Ed. 14, 2 vols.
- (2) American Society for Testing and Materials. 1993. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Stand. D 2487.
- (3) United States Department of Agriculture. 1956. Soil survey of Sevier County, Tennessee.
- (4) United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1975. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. U.S. Dep. Agric. Handb. 436.
- (5) United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1992. Keys to soil taxonomy. 5th ed. Soil Surv. Staff, Soil Manage. Support Serv. Tech. Monogr. 19.
- (6) United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Surv. Staff, U.S. Dep. Agric. Handb. 18.

# **Glossary**

- **Alluvial fan.** The fanlike deposit of a stream where it issues from a gorge upon a plain or of a tributary stream near or at its junction with its main stream.
- **Alluvium.** Material, such as sand, silt, or clay, deposited on land by streams.
- Animal unit month (AUM). The amount of forage required by one mature cow of approximately 1,000 pounds weight, with or without a calf, for 1 month.
- **Aquic conditions.** Current soil wetness characterized by saturation, reduction, and redoximorphic features.
- **Area reclaim** (in tables). An area difficult to reclaim after the removal of soil for construction and other uses. Revegetation and erosion control are extremely difficult.
- **Argillic horizon.** A subsoil horizon characterized by an accumulation of illuvial clay.
- **Aspect.** The direction in which a slope faces.
- Available water capacity (available moisture capacity). The capacity of soils to hold water available for use by most plants. It is commonly defined as the difference between the amount of soil water at field moisture capacity and the amount at wilting point. It is commonly expressed as inches of water per inch of soil. The capacity, in inches, in a 40-inch profile or to a limiting layer is expressed as:

Very low 0	to 2
Low	to 4
Moderate 4	to 6
High more th	an 6

- **Base saturation.** The degree to which material having cation-exchange properties is saturated with exchangeable bases (sum of Ca, Mg, Na, and K), expressed as a percentage of the total cation-exchange capacity.
- **Bedrock.** The solid rock that underlies the soil and other unconsolidated material or that is exposed at the surface.
- **Bisequum.** Two sequences of soil horizons, each of which consists of an illuvial horizon and the overlying eluvial horizons.

- **Bottom land.** The normal flood plain of a stream, subject to flooding.
- **Boulders.** Rock fragments larger than 2 feet (60 centimeters) in diameter.
- Cable yarding. A method of moving felled trees to a nearby central area for transport to a processing facility. Most cable yarding systems involve use of a drum, a pole, and wire cables in an arrangement similar to that of a rod and reel used for fishing. To reduce friction and soil disturbance, felled trees generally are reeled in while one end is lifted or the entire log is suspended.
- **Canopy.** The leafy crown of trees or shrubs. (See Crown.)
- **Catena.** A sequence, or "chain," of soils on a landscape that formed in similar kinds of parent material but have different characteristics as a result of differences in relief and drainage.
- **Cation.** An ion carrying a positive charge of electricity. The common soil cations are calcium, potassium, magnesium, sodium, and hydrogen.
- Cation-exchange capacity. The total amount of exchangeable cations that can be held by the soil, expressed in terms of milliequivalents per 100 grams of soil at neutrality (pH 7.0) or at some other stated pH value. The term, as applied to soils, is synonymous with base-exchange capacity but is more precise in meaning.
- Channery soil material. Soil material that is, by volume, 15 to 35 percent thin, flat fragments of sandstone, shale, slate, limestone, or schist as much as 6 inches (15 centimeters) along the longest axis. A single piece is called a channer.
- Clay. As a soil separate, the mineral soil particles less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. As a soil textural class, soil material that is 40 percent or more clay, less than 45 percent sand, and less than 40 percent silt.
- Clay depletions. Low-chroma zones having a low content of iron, manganese, and clay because of the chemical reduction of iron and manganese and the removal of iron, manganese, and clay. A type of redoximorphic depletion.
- Clay film. A thin coating of oriented clay on the

surface of a soil aggregate or lining pores or root channels. Synonyms: clay coating, clay skin.

- Coarse textured soil. Sand or loamy sand.
- **Cobble (or cobblestone).** A rounded or partly rounded fragment of rock 3 to 10 inches (7.6 to 25 centimeters) in diameter.
- Cobbly soil material. Material that is 15 to 35 percent, by volume, rounded or partially rounded rock fragments 3 to 10 inches (7.6 to 25 centimeters) in diameter. Very cobbly soil material has 35 to 60 percent of these rock fragments, and extremely cobbly soil material has more than 60 percent.
- **Colluvium.** Soil material or rock fragments, or both, moved by creep, slide, or local wash and deposited at the base of steep slopes.
- Complex, soil. A map unit of two or more kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or so small in area that it is not practical to map them separately at the selected scale of mapping. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas
- Concretions. Cemented bodies with crude internal symmetry organized around a point, a line, or a plane. They typically take the form of concentric layers visible to the naked eye. Calcium carbonate, iron oxide, and manganese oxide are common compounds making up concretions. If formed in place, concretions of iron oxide or manganese oxide are generally considered a type of redoximorphic concentration.
- Conservation cropping system. Growing crops in combination with needed cultural and management practices. In a good conservation cropping system, the soil-improving crops and practices more than offset the effects of the soil-depleting crops and practices. Cropping systems are needed on all tilled soils. Soil-improving practices in a conservation cropping system include the use of rotations that contain grasses and legumes and the return of crop residue to the soil. Other practices include the use of green manure crops of grasses and legumes, proper tillage, adequate fertilization, and weed and pest control.
- **Conservation tillage.** A tillage system that does not invert the soil and that leaves a protective amount of crop residue on the surface throughout the year.
- Consistence, soil. Refers to the degree of cohesion and adhesion of soil material and its resistance to deformation when ruptured. Consistence includes resistance of soil material to rupture and to

- penetration; plasticity, toughness, and stickiness of puddled soil material; and the manner in which the soil material behaves when subject to compression. Terms describing consistence are defined in the "Soil Survey Manual."
- **Contour stripcropping.** Growing crops in strips that follow the contour. Strips of grass or close-growing crops are alternated with strips of clean-tilled crops or summer fallow.
- **Control section.** The part of the soil on which classification is based. The thickness varies among different kinds of soil, but for many it is that part of the soil profile between depths of 10 inches and 40 or 80 inches.
- **Corrosion.** Soil-induced electrochemical or chemical action that dissolves or weakens concrete or uncoated steel.
- **Cover crop.** A close-growing crop grown primarily to improve and protect the soil between periods of regular crop production, or a crop grown between trees and vines in orchards and vineyards.
- **Cropping system.** Growing crops according to a planned system of rotation and management practices.
- **Crop residue management.** Returning crop residue to the soil, which helps to maintain soil structure, organic matter content, and fertility and helps to control erosion.
- **Crown.** The upper part of a tree or shrub, including the living branches and their foliage.
- **Cutbanks cave** (in tables). The walls of excavations tend to cave in or slough.
- **Dense layer** (in tables). A very firm, massive layer that has a bulk density of more than 1.8 grams per cubic centimeter. Such a layer affects the ease of digging and can affect filling and compacting.
- **Depth, soil.** Generally, the thickness of the soil over bedrock. Very deep soils are more than 60 inches deep over bedrock; deep soils, 40 to 60 inches; moderately deep soils, 20 to 40 inches; shallow soils, 10 to 20 inches; and very shallow soils, less than 10 inches.
- **Depth to rock** (in tables). Bedrock is too near the surface for the specified use.
- **Diversion (or diversion terrace).** A ridge of earth, generally a terrace, built to protect downslope areas by diverting runoff from its natural course.
- Drainage class (natural). Refers to the frequency and duration of wet periods under conditions similar to those under which the soil formed. Alterations of the water regime by human activities, either through drainage or irrigation, are not a consideration unless they have significantly changed the morphology of the soil. Seven

- classes of natural soil drainage are recognized—excessively drained, somewhat excessively drained, moderately well drained, somewhat poorly drained, poorly drained, and very poorly drained. These classes are defined in the "Soil Survey Manual."
- **Drainage, surface.** Runoff, or surface flow of water, from an area.
- **Eluviation.** The movement of material in true solution or colloidal suspension from one place to another within the soil. Soil horizons that have lost material through eluviation are eluvial; those that have received material are illuvial.
- **Endosaturation.** A type of saturation of the soil in which all horizons between the upper boundary of saturation and a depth of 2 meters are saturated.
- **Episaturation.** A type of saturation indicating a perched water table in a soil in which saturated layers are underlain by one or more unsaturated layers within 2 meters of the surface.
- **Erosion.** The wearing away of the land surface by water, wind, ice, or other geologic agents and by such processes as gravitational creep.
- **Erosion** (accelerated). Erosion much more rapid than geologic erosion, mainly as a result of human or animal activities or of a catastrophe in nature, such as a fire, that exposes the surface.
- **Escarpment.** A relatively continuous and steep slope or cliff breaking the general continuity of more gently sloping land surfaces and resulting from erosion or faulting. Synonym: scarp.
- **Excess fines** (in tables). Excess silt and clay in the soil. The soil does not provide a source of gravel or sand for construction purposes.
- **Fertility, soil.** The quality that enables a soil to provide plant nutrients, in adequate amounts and in proper balance, for the growth of specified plants when light, moisture, temperature, tilth, and other growth factors are favorable.
- **Fill slope.** A sloping surface consisting of excavated soil material from a road cut. It commonly is on the downhill side of the road.
- Fine textured soil. Sandy clay, silty clay, or clay.
  Flaggy soil material. Material that is, by volume, 15 to 35 percent flagstones. Very flaggy soil material has 35 to 60 percent flagstones, and extremely flaggy soil material has more than 60 percent flagstones.
- **Flagstone.** A thin fragment of sandstone, limestone, slate, shale, or (rarely) schist 6 to 15 inches (15 to 38 centimeters) long.
- **Flood plain.** A nearly level alluvial plain that borders a stream and is subject to flooding unless protected artificially.

- **Fluvial.** Of or pertaining to rivers; produced by river action, as a fluvial plain.
- **Foothill.** A steeply sloping upland that has relief of as much as 1,000 feet (300 meters) and fringes a mountain range or high-plateau escarpment.
- **Footslope.** The inclined surface at the base of a hill. **Forest cover.** All trees and other woody plants (underbrush) covering the ground in a forest.
- **Forest type.** A stand of trees similar in composition and development because of given physical and biological factors by which it may be differentiated from other stands.
- Fragipan. A loamy, brittle subsurface horizon low in porosity and content of organic matter and low or moderate in clay but high in silt or very fine sand. A fragipan appears cemented and restricts roots. When dry, it is hard or very hard and has a higher bulk density than the horizon or horizons above. When moist, it tends to rupture suddenly under pressure rather than to deform slowly.
- **Frost action** (in tables). Freezing and thawing of soil moisture. Frost action can damage roads, buildings and other structures, and plant roots.
- **Genesis, soil.** The mode of origin of the soil. Refers especially to the processes or soil-forming factors responsible for the formation of the solum, or true soil, from the unconsolidated parent material.
- **Gleyed soil.** Soil that formed under poor drainage, resulting in the reduction of iron and other elements in the profile and in gray colors.
- **Grassed waterway.** A natural or constructed waterway, typically broad and shallow, seeded to grass as protection against erosion. Conducts surface water away from cropland.
- **Gravel.** Rounded or angular fragments of rock as much as 3 inches (2 millimeters to 7.6 centimeters) in diameter. An individual piece is a pebble.
- Gravelly soil material. Material that is 15 to 35 percent, by volume, rounded or angular rock fragments, not prominently flattened, as much as 3 inches (7.6 centimeters) in diameter.
- **Ground water.** Water filling all the unblocked pores of the material below the water table.
- **Gully.** A miniature valley with steep sides cut by running water and through which water ordinarily runs only after rainfall. The distinction between a gully and a rill is one of depth. A gully generally is an obstacle to farm machinery and is too deep to be obliterated by ordinary tillage; a rill is of lesser depth and can be smoothed over by ordinary tillage.
- Hard bedrock. Bedrock that cannot be excavated

except by blasting or by the use of special equipment that is not commonly used in construction.

- High-residue crops. Such crops as small grain and corn used for grain. If properly managed, residue from these crops can be used to control erosion until the next crop in the rotation is established. These crops return large amounts of organic matter to the soil.
- **Hill.** A natural elevation of the land surface, rising as much as 1,000 feet above surrounding lowlands, commonly of limited summit area and having a well defined outline; hillsides generally have slopes of more than 15 percent. The distinction between a hill and a mountain is arbitrary and is dependent on local usage.
- Horizon, soil. A layer of soil, approximately parallel to the surface, having distinct characteristics produced by soil-forming processes. In the identification of soil horizons, an uppercase letter represents the major horizons. Numbers or lowercase letters that follow represent subdivisions of the major horizons. An explanation of the subdivisions is given in the "Soil Survey Manual." The major horizons of mineral soil are as follows:

O horizon.—An organic layer of fresh and decaying plant residue.

A horizon.—The mineral horizon at or near the surface in which an accumulation of humified organic matter is mixed with the mineral material. Also, a plowed surface horizon, most of which was originally part of a B horizon.

*E horizon.*—The mineral horizon in which the main feature is loss of silicate clay, iron, aluminum, or some combination of these.

B horizon.—The mineral horizon below an A horizon. The B horizon is in part a layer of transition from the overlying A to the underlying C horizon. The B horizon also has distinctive characteristics, such as (1) accumulation of clay, sesquioxides, humus, or a combination of these; (2) prismatic or blocky structure; (3) redder or browner colors than those in the A horizon; or (4) a combination of these.

C horizon.—The mineral horizon or layer, excluding indurated bedrock, that is little affected by soil-forming processes and does not have the properties typical of the overlying soil material. The material of a C horizon may be either like or unlike that in which the solum formed. If the material is known to differ from that in the solum, an Arabic numeral, commonly a 2, precedes the letter C.

*Cr horizon.*—Soft, consolidated bedrock beneath the soil.

R layer.—Consolidated bedrock beneath the soil. The bedrock commonly underlies a C horizon, but it can be directly below an A or a B horizon.

- Hydrologic soil groups. Refers to soils grouped according to their runoff potential. The soil properties that influence this potential are those that affect the minimum rate of water infiltration on a bare soil during periods after prolonged wetting when the soil is not frozen. These properties are depth to a seasonal high water table, the infiltration rate and permeability after prolonged wetting, and depth to a very slowly permeable layer. The slope and the kind of plant cover are not considered but are separate factors in predicting runoff.
- **Igneous rock.** Rock formed by solidification from a molten or partially molten state. Major varieties include plutonic and volcanic rock. Examples are andesite, basalt, and granite.
- **Illuviation.** The movement of soil material from one horizon to another in the soil profile. Generally, material is removed from an upper horizon and deposited in a lower horizon.
- **Infiltration.** The downward entry of water into the immediate surface of soil or other material, as contrasted with percolation, which is movement of water through soil layers or material.
- Infiltration rate. The rate at which water penetrates the surface of the soil at any given instant, usually expressed in inches per hour. The rate can be limited by the infiltration capacity of the soil or the rate at which water is applied at the surface.
- Iron depletions. Low-chroma zones having a low content of iron and manganese oxide because of chemical reduction and removal, but having a clay content similar to that of the adjacent matrix. A type of redoximorphic depletion.
- Irrigation. Application of water to soils to assist in production of crops. Methods of irrigation are:

  Basin.—Water is applied rapidly to nearly level plains surrounded by levees or dikes.

  Border.—Water is applied at the upper end of a strip in which the lateral flow of water is controlled by small earth ridges called border dikes, or borders

Controlled flooding.—Water is released at intervals from closely spaced field ditches and distributed uniformly over the field.

Corrugation.—Water is applied to small, closely spaced furrows or ditches in fields of closegrowing crops or in orchards so that it flows in only one direction.

*Drip (or trickle).*—Water is applied slowly and under low pressure to the surface of the soil or into the soil through such applicators as emitters, porous tubing, or perforated pipe.

Furrow.—Water is applied in small ditches made by cultivation implements. Furrows are used for tree and row crops.

Sprinkler.—Water is sprayed over the soil surface through pipes or nozzles from a pressure system. Subirrigation.—Water is applied in open ditches or tile lines until the water table is raised enough to wet the soil.

Wild flooding.—Water, released at high points, is allowed to flow onto an area without controlled distribution.

- **Karst** (topography). The relief of an area underlain by limestone that dissolves in differing degrees, thus forming numerous depressions or small basins.
- **Knoll.** A small, low, rounded hill rising above adjacent landforms.
- **K**<sub>sat</sub>. Saturated hydraulic conductivity. (See Permeability.)
- **Large stones** (in tables). Rock fragments 3 inches (7.6 centimeters) or more across. Large stones adversely affect the specified use of the soil.
- **Leaching.** The removal of soluble material from soil or other material by percolating water.
- Linear extensibility. Refers to the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is decreased from a moist to a dry state. Linear extensibility is used to determine the shrink-swell potential of soils. It is an expression of the volume change between the water content of the clod at <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub>- or <sup>1</sup>/<sub>10</sub>-bar tension (33kPa or 10kPa tension) and oven dryness. Volume change is influenced by the amount and type of clay minerals in the soil. The volume change is the percent change for the whole soil. If it is expressed as a fraction, the resulting value is COLE, coefficient of linear extensibility.
- **Liquid limit.** The moisture content at which the soil passes from a plastic to a liquid state.
- **Loam.** Soil material that is 7 to 27 percent clay particles, 28 to 50 percent silt particles, and less than 52 percent sand particles.
- **Low strength.** The soil is not strong enough to support loads.
- Masses. Concentrations of substances in the soil matrix that do not have a clearly defined boundary with the surrounding soil material and cannot be removed as a discrete unit. Common compounds making up masses are calcium carbonate, gypsum or other soluble salts, iron oxide, and manganese oxide. Masses consisting of iron oxide

- or manganese oxide generally are considered a type of redoximorphic concentration.
- **Medium textured soil.** Very fine sandy loam, loam, silt loam, or silt.
- **Metamorphic rock.** Rock of any origin altered in mineralogical composition, chemical composition, or structure by heat, pressure, and movement. Nearly all such rocks are crystalline.
- **Mineral soil.** Soil that is mainly mineral material and low in organic material. Its bulk density is more than that of organic soil.
- **Minimum tillage.** Only the tillage essential for crop production and prevention of soil damage.
- **Miscellaneous area.** An area that has little or no natural soil and supports little or no vegetation.
- **Moderately coarse textured soil.** Coarse sandy loam, sandy loam, or fine sandy loam.
- **Moderately fine textured soil.** Clay loam, sandy clay loam, or silty clay loam.
- **Mollic epipedon.** A thick, dark, humus-rich surface horizon (or horizons) that has high base saturation and pedogenic soil structure. It may include the upper part of the subsoil.
- Morphology, soil. The physical makeup of the soil, including the texture, structure, porosity, consistence, color, and other physical, mineral, and biological properties of the various horizons, and the thickness and arrangement of those horizons in the soil profile.
- Mottling, soil. Irregular spots of different colors that vary in number and size. Descriptive terms are as follows: abundance—few, common, and many; size—fine, medium, and coarse; and contrast—faint, distinct, and prominent. The size measurements are of the diameter along the greatest dimension. Fine indicates less than 5 millimeters (about 0.2 inch); medium, from 5 to 15 millimeters (about 0.2 to 0.6 inch); and coarse, more than 15 millimeters (about 0.6 inch).
- Mountain. A natural elevation of the land surface, rising more than 1,000 feet above surrounding lowlands, commonly of restricted summit area (relative to a plateau) and generally having steep sides. A mountain can occur as a single, isolated mass or in a group forming a chain or range.
- **Mudstone.** Sedimentary rock formed by induration of silt and clay in approximately equal amounts.
- **Munsell notation.** A designation of color by degrees of three simple variables—hue, value, and chroma. For example, a notation of 10YR 6/4 is a color with hue of 10YR, value of 6, and chroma of 4.
- **Neutral soil.** A soil having a pH value of 6.6 to 7.3. (See Reaction, soil.)

- Nutrient, plant. Any element taken in by a plant essential to its growth. Plant nutrients are mainly nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium, sulfur, iron, manganese, copper, boron, and zinc obtained from the soil and carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen obtained from the air and water.
- Organic matter. Plant and animal residue in the soil in various stages of decomposition. The content of organic matter in the surface layer is described as follows:

Very low	less than 0.5 percent
Low	0.5 to 1.0 percent
Moderately low	1.0 to 2.0 percent
Moderate	2.0 to 4.0 percent
High	4.0 to 8.0 percent
Very high	more than 8.0 percent

- **Parent material.** The unconsolidated organic and mineral material in which soil forms.
- **Ped.** An individual natural soil aggregate, such as a granule, a prism, or a block.
- **Pedon.** The smallest volume that can be called "a soil." A pedon is three dimensional and large enough to permit study of all horizons. Its area ranges from about 10 to 100 square feet (1 square meter to 10 square meters), depending on the variability of the soil.
- **Percolation.** The downward movement of water through the soil.
- **Percs slowly** (in tables). The slow movement of water through the soil adversely affects the specified use.
- Permeability. The quality of the soil that enables water or air to move downward through the profile. The rate at which a saturated soil transmits water is accepted as a measure of this quality. In soil physics, the rate is referred to as "saturated hydraulic conductivity," which is defined in the "Soil Survey Manual." In line with conventional usage in the engineering profession and with traditional usage in published soil surveys, this rate of flow continues to be expressed as "permeability." Terms describing permeability, measured in inches per hour, are as follows:

Extremely slow	0.0 to 0.01 inch
Very slow	0.01 to 0.06 inch
Slow	0.06 to 0.2 inch
Moderately slow	0.2 to 0.6 inch
Moderate	0.6 inch to 2.0 inches
Moderately rapid	2.0 to 6.0 inches
Rapid	6.0 to 20 inches
Very rapid	more than 20 inches

- **Phase, soil.** A subdivision of a soil series based on features that affect its use and management, such as slope, stoniness, and flooding.
- **pH value.** A numerical designation of acidity and alkalinity in soil. (See Reaction, soil.)
- **Piping** (in tables). Formation of subsurface tunnels or pipelike cavities by water moving through the soil.
- **Plasticity index.** The numerical difference between the liquid limit and the plastic limit; the range of moisture content within which the soil remains plastic.
- **Plastic limit.** The moisture content at which a soil changes from semisolid to plastic.
- **Plateau.** An extensive upland mass with relatively flat summit area that is considerably elevated (more than 100 meters) above adjacent lowlands and separated from them on one or more sides by escarpments.
- **Ponding.** Standing water on soils in closed depressions. Unless the soils are artificially drained, the water can be removed only by percolation or evapotranspiration.
- **Poor filter** (in tables). Because of rapid or very rapid permeability, the soil may not adequately filter effluent from a waste disposal system.
- **Poorly graded.** Refers to a coarse-grained soil or soil material consisting mainly of particles of nearly the same size. Because there is little difference in size of the particles, density can be increased only slightly by compaction.
- **Poor outlets** (in tables). Refers to areas where surface or subsurface drainage outlets are difficult or expensive to install.
- **Productivity, soil.** The capability of a soil for producing a specified plant or sequence of plants under specific management.
- **Profile, soil.** A vertical section of the soil extending through all its horizons and into the parent material.
- Proper grazing use. Grazing at an intensity that maintains enough cover to protect the soil and maintain or improve the quantity and quality of the desirable vegetation. This practice increases the vigor and reproduction capacity of the key plants and promotes the accumulation of litter and mulch necessary to conserve soil and water.
- Reaction, soil. A measure of acidity or alkalinity of a soil, expressed in pH values. A soil that tests to pH 7.0 is described as precisely neutral in reaction because it is neither acid nor alkaline. The degrees of acidity or alkalinity, expressed as pH values, are:

Ultra acidless	than	3.5
Extremely acid	3.5 to	4.4

Very strongly acid	4.5 to 5.0
Strongly acid	5.1 to 5.5
Moderately acid	5.6 to 6.0
Slightly acid	6.1 to 6.5
Neutral	6.6 to 7.3
Slightly alkaline	7.4 to 7.8
Moderately alkaline	7.9 to 8.4
Strongly alkaline	8.5 to 9.0
Very strongly alkaline	9.1 and higher

- Redoximorphic concentrations. Nodules, concretions, soft masses, pore linings, and other features resulting from the accumulation of iron or manganese oxide. An indication of chemical reduction and oxidation resulting from saturation.
- Redoximorphic depletions. Low-chroma zones from which iron and manganese oxide or a combination of iron and manganese oxide and clay has been removed. These zones are indications of the chemical reduction of iron resulting from saturation.
- Redoximorphic features. Redoximorphic concentrations, redoximorphic depletions, reduced matrices, a positive reaction to alpha, alphadipyridyl, and other features indicating the chemical reduction and oxidation of iron and manganese compounds resulting from saturation.
- Reduced matrix. A soil matrix that has low chroma in situ because of chemically reduced iron (Fe II). The chemical reduction results from nearly continuous wetness. The matrix undergoes a change in hue or chroma within 30 minutes after exposure to air as the iron is oxidized (Fe III). A type of redoximorphic feature.
- **Regolith.** The unconsolidated mantle of weathered rock and soil material on the earth's surface; the loose earth material above the solid rock.
- **Relief.** The elevations or inequalities of a land surface, considered collectively.
- Residuum (residual soil material). Unconsolidated, weathered or partly weathered mineral material that accumulated as consolidated rock disintegrated in place.
- **Road cut.** A sloping surface produced by mechanical means during road construction. It is commonly on the uphill side of the road.
- **Rock fragments.** Rock or mineral fragments having a diameter of 2 millimeters or more; for example, pebbles, cobbles, stones, and boulders.
- **Rooting depth** (in tables). Shallow root zone. The soil is shallow over a layer that greatly restricts roots.
- **Root zone.** The part of the soil that can be penetrated by plant roots.
- **Runoff.** The precipitation discharged into stream

- channels from an area. The water that flows off the surface of the land without sinking into the soil is called surface runoff. Water that enters the soil before reaching surface streams is called groundwater runoff or seepage flow from ground water.
- **Sand.** As a soil separate, individual rock or mineral fragments ranging from 0.05 millimeter to 2.0 millimeters in diameter. Most sand grains consist of quartz. As a soil textural class, a soil that is 85 percent or more sand and not more than 10 percent clay.
- **Sandstone.** Sedimentary rock containing dominantly sand-sized particles.
- **Saprolite.** Unconsolidated residual material underlying the soil and grading to hard bedrock below.
- **Saturation.** Wetness characterized by zero or positive pressure of the soil water. Under conditions of saturation, the water will flow from the soil matrix into an unlined auger hole.
- Sedimentary rock. Rock made up of particles deposited from suspension in water. The chief kinds of sedimentary rock are conglomerate, formed from gravel; sandstone, formed from sand; shale, formed from clay; and limestone, formed from soft masses of calcium carbonate. There are many intermediate types. Some wind-deposited sand is consolidated into sandstone.
- **Seepage** (in tables). The movement of water through the soil. Seepage adversely affects the specified use
- **Sequum.** A sequence consisting of an illuvial horizon and the overlying eluvial horizon. (See Eluviation.)
- **Series, soil.** A group of soils that have profiles that are almost alike, except for differences in texture of the surface layer. All the soils of a series have horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.
- **Shale.** Sedimentary rock formed by the hardening of a clay deposit.
- Shrink-swell (in tables). The shrinking of soil when dry and the swelling when wet. Shrinking and swelling can damage roads, dams, building foundations, and other structures. It can also damage plant roots.
- Silt. As a soil separate, individual mineral particles that range in diameter from the upper limit of clay (0.002 millimeter) to the lower limit of very fine sand (0.05 millimeter). As a soil textural class, soil that is 80 percent or more silt and less than 12 percent clay.
- **Siltstone.** Sedimentary rock made up of dominantly silt-sized particles.
- Similar soils. Soils that share limits of diagnostic

criteria, behave and perform in a similar manner, and have similar conservation needs or management requirements for the major land uses in the survey area.

- **Sinkhole.** A depression in the landscape where limestone has been dissolved.
- **Site index.** A designation of the quality of a forest site based on the height of the dominant stand at an arbitrarily chosen age. For example, if the average height attained by dominant and codominant trees in a fully stocked stand at the age of 50 years is 75 feet, the site index is 75.
- **Slippage** (in tables). Soil mass susceptible to movement downslope when loaded, excavated, or wet.
- Slope. The inclination of the land surface from the horizontal. Percentage of slope is the vertical distance divided by horizontal distance, then multiplied by 100. Thus, a slope of 20 percent is a drop of 20 feet in 100 feet of horizontal distance. In this survey, classes for simple slopes are as follows:

Nearly level	0 to 2 percent
Gently sloping	2 to 5 percent
Strongly sloping	5 to 12 percent
Moderately steep	12 to 25 percent
Steep	25 to 60 percent
Very steep	60 percent and higher

- **Slope** (in tables). Slope is great enough that special practices are required to ensure satisfactory performance of the soil for a specific use.
- **Small stones** (in tables). Rock fragments less than 3 inches (7.6 centimeters) in diameter. Small stones adversely affect the specified use of the soil.
- **Soft bedrock.** Bedrock that can be excavated with trenching machines, backhoes, small rippers, and other equipment commonly used in construction.
- **Soil.** A natural, three-dimensional body at the earth's surface. It is capable of supporting plants and has properties resulting from the integrated effect of climate and living matter acting on earthy parent material, as conditioned by relief over periods of time.
- **Soil separates.** Mineral particles less than 2 millimeters in equivalent diameter and ranging between specified size limits. The names and sizes, in millimeters, of separates recognized in the United States are as follows:

Very coarse sand	2.0 to 1.0
Coarse sand	1.0 to 0.5
Medium sand	0.5 to 0.25
Fine sand	0.25 to 0.10
Very fine sand	0.10 to 0.05

Silt	0.05 to 0.002
Clay	less than 0.002

- **Solum.** The upper part of a soil profile, above the C horizon, in which the processes of soil formation are active. The solum in soil consists of the A, E, and B horizons. Generally, the characteristics of the material in these horizons are unlike those of the material below the solum. The living roots and plant and animal activities are largely confined to the solum.
- **Stones.** Rock fragments 10 to 24 inches (25 to 60 centimeters) in diameter if rounded or 15 to 24 inches (38 to 60 centimeters) in length if flat.
- **Stony.** Refers to a soil containing stones in numbers that interfere with or prevent tillage.
- **Stripcropping.** Growing crops in a systematic arrangement of strips or bands that provide vegetative barriers to wind erosion and water erosion.
- Structure, soil. The arrangement of primary soil particles into compound particles or aggregates. The principal forms of soil structure are—platy (laminated), prismatic (vertical axis of aggregates longer than horizontal), columnar (prisms with rounded tops), blocky (angular or subangular), and granular. Structureless soils are either single grain (each grain by itself, as in dune sand) or massive (the particles adhering without any regular cleavage, as in many hardpans).
- **Subsoil.** Technically, the B horizon; roughly, the part of the solum below plow depth.
- **Substratum.** The part of the soil below the solum. **Subsurface layer.** Any surface soil horizon (A, E, AB, or EB) below the surface layer.
- Surface layer. The soil ordinarily moved in tillage, or its equivalent in uncultivated soil, ranging in depth from 4 to 10 inches (10 to 25 centimeters). Frequently designated as the "plow layer," or the "Ap horizon."
- **Surface soil.** The A, E, AB, and EB horizons, considered collectively. It includes all subdivisions of these horizons.
- Taxadjuncts. Soils that cannot be classified in a series recognized in the classification system. Such soils are named for a series they strongly resemble and are designated as taxadjuncts to that series because they differ in ways too small to be of consequence in interpreting their use and behavior. Soils are recognized as taxadjuncts only when one or more of their characteristics are slightly outside the range defined for the family of the series for which the soils are named.
- **Terrace.** An embankment, or ridge, constructed across sloping soils on the contour or at a slight

- angle to the contour. The terrace intercepts surface runoff so that water soaks into the soil or flows slowly to a prepared outlet. A terrace in a field generally is built so that the field can be farmed. A terrace intended mainly for drainage has a deep channel that is maintained in permanent sod.
- **Terrace** (geologic). An old alluvial plain, ordinarily flat or undulating, bordering a river, a lake, or the sea.
- **Texture, soil.** The relative proportions of sand, silt, and clay particles in a mass of soil. The basic textural classes, in order of increasing proportion of fine particles, are sand, loamy sand, sandy loam, loam, silt loam, silt, sandy clay loam, clay loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay, silty clay, and clay. The sand, loamy sand, and sandy loam classes may be further divided by specifying "coarse," "fine," or "very fine."
- **Thin layer** (in tables). Otherwise suitable soil material that is too thin for the specified use.
- **Tilth, soil.** The physical condition of the soil as related to tillage, seedbed preparation, seedling emergence, and root penetration.

- **Toeslope.** The outermost inclined surface at the base of a hill; part of a footslope.
- **Topsoil.** The upper part of the soil, which is the most favorable material for plant growth. It is ordinarily rich in organic matter and is used to topdress roadbanks, lawns, and land affected by mining.
- **Upland.** Land at a higher elevation, in general, than the alluvial plain or stream terrace; land above the lowlands along streams.
- **Weathering.** All physical and chemical changes produced in rocks or other deposits at or near the earth's surface by atmospheric agents. These changes result in disintegration and decomposition of the material.
- Well graded. Refers to soil material consisting of coarse-grained particles that are well distributed over a wide range in size or diameter. Such soil normally can be easily increased in density and bearing properties by compaction. Contrasts with poorly graded soil.
- **Windthrow.** The uprooting and tipping over of trees by the wind.

## **Tables**

Table 1.—Temperature and Precipitation
(Recorded in the period 1971-2000 at Gatlinburg, Tennessee)

	l			Temperature				Pre	ecipita	tion	Precipitation					
				2 years in					s in 10	Aver-						
				10 will		Average			have	Average	age					
Month		Average	Average	Maximum	Minimum	number of	Average			number of						
	daily	daily			temperature			Less		days with						
	maximum	minimum		higher	lower	degree		than	than	0.10 inch						
				than	than	days*				or more	<u> </u>					
	ºF	o <sub>F</sub>	<u> °F</u>	<u>⁰</u> F	<u>°</u> F	Units	<u> In</u>	<u>In</u>	<u>In</u>		<u>In</u>					
January	   47.8	   25.1	   36.4	   72	   -3	   86	   4.91	   3.14	   6.76	   9	   3.5					
February-	   52.0	   26.8 	   39.4 	   76	   2 	   115 	   4.25	   2.67 	   5.86	   8 	1.5					
March	   60.9	33.3	47.1	   83 	11	   264 	   5.56	3.44	7.42	   9 	2.2					
April	69.6	40.1	   54.9 	   88 	23   23	   447 	4.36	2.83	5.92	   8 	0.7					
May	76.0	48.8	62.4	   88 	32 	   688 	5.57	3.94	7.12	   10	0.0					
June	82.1	57.2	69.6	91	   42 	   879 	5.82	3.43	8.10	   9 	0.0					
July	84.7	61.7	73.2	94   94	51	1,022	6.07	3.78	8.03	   10	0.0					
August	83.6	60.4	72.0	93	   49 	   985 	   4.55 	2.73	6.42	   8 	0.0					
September	78.6	54.5	   66.6 	91	38	796	4.63	2.93	6.27	7	0.0					
October	69.4	41.5	55.5	84	25	474   474	2.98	1.36	4.60	5   5	0.0					
November-	60.3	33.6	47.0	79	17	242	4.02	2.75	5.22	7	0.1					
December-	51.0	27.4	39.2	74	6	   117 	4.44	2.53	6.24	8   8	1.2					
Yearly:	   	 	 			   	 	   	   	 	   					
Average-	   68.0	   42.6	   55.3 			ļ										
Extreme-	   98 	   -18		   95 	   -5 											
Total	 		 			   6,115 	   57.15	  48.03	  64.74	   98 	9.3					

<sup>\*</sup> A growing degree day is a unit of heat available for plant growth. It can be calculated by adding the maximum and minimum daily temperatures, dividing the sum by 2, and subtracting the temperature below which growth is minimal for the principal crops in the area (40 degrees F).

Table 2.—Freeze Dates in Spring and Fall (Recorded in the period 1971-2000 at Gatlinburg, Tennessee)

	 	ı	Temper	ature		
Probability	24 <sup>O</sup> F     or lower		!	28 <sup>O</sup> F		o <sub>F</sub> .ower
Last freezing temperature in spring:	     		     		     	
1 year in 10 later than	    Apr.	15	    Apr.	22	    May	10
2 years in 10 later than	    Apr.	8	    Apr.	17	    May	5
5 years in 10 later than	    Mar.	27	    Apr.	9	    Apr.	25
First freezing temperature in fall:	     		     		     	
1 year in 10 earlier than	    Oct.	30	    Oct.	9	    Oct.	3
2 years in 10 earlier than	    Nov.	3	    Oct.	15	    Oct.	8
5 years in 10 earlier than	    Nov. 	12	    Oct. 	26	    Oct. 	17

Table 3.—Growing Season

(Recorded in the period 1971-2000 at Gatlinburg,
Tennessee)

	Daily minimum temperature during growing season					
Probability	Higher than 24 OF	Higher than 28 OF	Higher than 32 <sup>O</sup> F			
	Days	Days	Days			
9 years in 10	   208	   178	153			
8 years in 10	   216	   186	   161			
5 years in 10	   230	   200	175			
2 years in 10	   244 	   215	   190			
1 year in 10	   252 	   223 	   197 			

Table 4.—Acreage and Proportionate Extent of the Soils

Map symbol	Soil name	Acres	Percent
SYMBOI			
BrB2	Braddock loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded	539	0.2
BrC2	Braddock loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	3,460	1.4
BrD2	Braddock loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	2,743	1.1
CaD	Cataska channery silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes	3,559	1.4
CaE	Cataska channery silt loam, 25 to 80 percent slopes	12,864	5.0
CcE	Cataska-Sylco complex, 25 to 80 percent slopes	40,812	16.0
Co	Combs loam, rarely flooded	1,312	0.5
DcB2	Decatur silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded	789	0.3
DcC2	Decatur silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	3,828	1.5
DcD2	Decatur silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	2,201	0.9
DeB2	Dewey silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded	574	0.2
DeC2	Dewey silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	3,126	1.2
DeD2	Dewey silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	1,191	0.5
DhD	Ditney sandy loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes	1,557	0.6
Du	Dunning silty clay loam, occasionally flooded	645	0.3
Dv	Dunning silt loam, overwash, occasionally flooded	1,926	0.8
EtB	Etowah loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	2,048	0.8
EtC FuC2	Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	909 1,236	0.4
FuC2 FuD2	Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	2,242	0.9
FuE2	Fullerton gravelly silt loam, 12 to 23 percent slopes, eroded	886	0.3
HnB	Holston loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	1,222	0.5
HnC	Holston loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	1,822	0.7
JcD	Junaluska-Cataska complex, 12 to 25 percent slopes	17,860	7.0
LeB	Leadvale silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	547	0.2
LnB	Lonon gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	598	0.2
LnC	Lonon gravelly loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes	1,784	0.7
LnD	Lonon gravelly loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes	984	0.4
LsC	Lostcove very cobbly loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, extremely bouldery	1,881	0.7
LsD	Lostcove very cobbly loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, extremely bouldery	2,126	0.8
MtC2	Montevallo channery silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	702	0.3
MtD2	Montevallo channery silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	1,035	0.4
MuC2	Muse silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	486	0.2
MwE	Muskingum-Chiswell complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes	1,647	0.6
NnC3	Nonaburg channery silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded,   rocky	685	0.3
NnD3	Nonaburg channery silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, severely eroded,	083	0.3
	rocky	16,467	6.5
NnE3	Nonaburg channery silt loam, 25 to 60 percent slopes, severely eroded,		!
	rocky	32,389	12.7
NoF	Northcove stony sandy loam, 35 to 50 percent slopes, bouldery	1,576	0.6
Po	Pope sandy loam, occasionally flooded    Rosman sandy loam, occasionally flooded	2,464	1.0
Ro	Sequatchie loam, rarely flooded	1,755	0.7
Sb ShB	Shelocta silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	2,891 1,044	0.4
ShC	Shelocta silt loam, 5 to 15 percent slopes	857	0.3
SoD	Soco loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes	2,019	0.8
SoE	Soco-Cataska complex, 25 to 80 percent slopes, eroded	320	0.1
St	Staser loam, occasionally flooded	1,824	0.7
Su	Steadman silt loam, occasionally flooded	14,899	5.8
TaD2	Talbott-Rock outcrop complex, 10 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	3,528	1.4
TaE2	Talbott-Rock outcrop complex, 25 to 60 percent slopes, eroded	2,427	1.0
ToB2	Townley silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded	923	0.4
ToC2	Townley silt loam, 5 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	4,081	1.6
ToD2	Townley silt loam, 12 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	639	0.3
ToE2	Townley silt loam, 25 to 60 percent slopes, eroded	389	0.2
UdE	Unicoi-Ditney-Rock outcrop complex, 30 to 80 percent slopes	8,632	3.4
UnE	Unicoi-Rock outcrop complex, 30 to 80 percent slopes	19,221	7.5
Ur	Urban land	2,732	1.1
			I

Table 4.—Acreage and Proportionate Extent of the Soils—Continued

Map symbol	   Soil name	Acres	  Percent 
W WaB2 WaC2 WaD2 Wf	Water	5,620 1,300 814 3,006 1,457	2.2   0.5   0.3   1.2   0.6
	   Total	255,100	100.0

<sup>\*</sup> Less than 0.1 percent.

Table 5.-Land Capability and Yields per Acre of Crops and Pasture

(Yields are those that can be expected under a high level of management. They are for nonirrigated areas. Absence of a yield indicates that the soil is not suited to the crop or the crop generally is not grown on the soil)

Map symbol and soil name	Land capability	Corn	  Corn silage   	  Grass-legume    hay	Pasture	   Tobacco 
		Bu	Tons	Tons	AUM*	Lbs
BrB2 Braddock	   2e   	115.00	23.00	4.50     4.50	2.00	   
BrC2 Braddock	3e	100.00	20.00	4.00	10.60	 
BrD2 Braddock	4e	100.00	   20.00 	4.00	10.60	   
CaD, CaE Cataska	7s		 			 
CcE: Cataska Sylco	7s 7s		     	   		   
Co Combs	2w	135.00	   	   4.50   	8.50	   3,200.00 
DcB2 Decatur	2e	75.00	   	     	8.50	   
DcC2 Decatur	3e	50.00	   	   	5.50	   
DcD2 Decatur	4e		   	 	4.50	   
DeB2 Dewey	2e	85.00	 	 		   2,400.00 
DeC2 Dewey	3e	60.00	 	 		   
DeD2 Dewey	4e	65.00	 	 		   
DhD Ditney	6e		 	 		   
Du, Dv Dunning	3w	120.00	20.00	   4.00	8.00	   
EtB Etowah	2e	95.00	   	     	7.00	   2,500.00 
EtC Etowah	3e	85.00	   	   	6.50	   2,350.00 
FuC2 Fullerton	3e	70.00	   	   	5.00	   1,700.00 

Table 5.-Land Capability and Yields per Acre of Crops and Pasture-Continued

<u>-</u>						
Map symbol and soil name	Land capability	Corn	  Corn silage   	  Grass-legume    hay	Pasture	Tobacco
		<u>Bu</u>	Tons	Tons	AUM*	Lbs
ruD2 Fullerton	4e		   	   	4.50	
uE2 Fullerton	7e		   	 		
InB Holston	2e	90.00	   	 		2,300.0
InCHolston	3e	85.00				2,200.0
JunaluskaCataska	6s 6s		   	   		
eB Leadvale	2e	75.00	 		6.00	1,800.00
.nB Lonon	2e	85.00	   15.00 	4.00		
nCLonon	3e	85.00	   15.00 	4.00   		
.nD Lonon	4e		   	 		
sC, LsD Lostcove	7s		 	 		
ftC2 Montevallo	4e		 	 		
ftD2 Montevallo	6e		 			
fuC2 Muse	3e	100.00	   	 	6.50	2,700.00
fwE: Muskingum Chiswell	7e 7e		   			
InC3 Nonaburg	6s		 	 		
InD3, NnE3 Nonaburg	7s		   			
OF Northcove	7s		   	 	2.50	
Pope	2w	130.00	   	   4.00   	8.00	3,000.00
Ro	2w	135.00	 	   6.00		2,500.00

Table 5.—Land Capability and Yields per Acre of Crops and Pasture—Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Land capability	Corn	  Corn silage   	  Grass-legume   hay	Pasture	Tobacco
		Bu	Tons	Tons	AUM*	Lbs
Sb Sequatchie	1	110.00	   	   3.50 	7.50	   2,400.00 
ShB Shelocta	2e	100.00	 	 	8.00	2,300.00
ShC Shelocta	3e	100.00	 	 	8.00	2,300.00
SoDSoco	6e		 	 	4.00	
SoE: Soco Cataska	   7s   7s		   		4.00	   
St Staser	2w	100.00	 	 	8.00	1,200.00
Su Steadman	2w	125.00	 	3.50		2,800.00
TaD2: Talbott Rock outcrop	6e   8s		   	   		
TaE2: Talbott Rock outcrop	7e   8s		   	   		
ToB2 Townley	2e		 	 	5.00	
ToC2 Townley	3e		 	 	5.00	
ToD2 Townley	   4e 		 	 	5.00	
ToE2 Townley	7e		 	 	5.00	
UdE: Unicoi Ditney Rock outcrop	7s 7s 8s		     	     		   
UnE: Unicoi Rock outcrop	     7s   8s		     	   		   
Ur. Urban land			 			
W. Water			     	     		

Table 5.-Land Capability and Yields per Acre of Crops and Pasture-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Land capability	Corn	  Corn silage   	  Grass-legume    hay	Pasture	Tobacco
		<u>Bu</u>	Tons	Tons	AUM*	Lbs
WaB2 Waynesboro	2e	105.00	   	 		   2,500.00 
WaC2 Waynesboro	3e	90.00	 			   2,200.00 
WaD2 Waynesboro	4e	80.00	 	 		 
Wf Whitesburg	2w	100.00	   	 	8.00	   2,400.00   

<sup>\*</sup> Animal unit month: The amount of forage required by one mature cow of approximately 1,000 pounds weight, with or without a calf, for 1 month.

## Table 6.-Prime Farmland

(Only the soils considered prime farmland are listed. Urban or built-up areas of the soils listed are not considered prime farmland. If a soil is prime farmland only under certain conditions, the conditions are specified in parentheses after the soil name)

Map symbol	Soil name
BrB2	  Braddock loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
Co	Combs loam, rarely flooded
DcB2	Decatur silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
DeB2	Dewey silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
Du	Dunning silty clay loam, occasionally flooded (if drained)
Dv	Dunning silt loam, overwash, occasionally flooded (if drained)
EtB	Etowah loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
HnB	Holston loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
LeB	Leadvale silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
LnB	Lonon gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
Po	Pope sandy loam, occasionally flooded
Ro	Rosman sandy loam, occasionally flooded
Sb	Sequatchie loam, rarely flooded
ShB	Shelocta silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes
St	Staser loam, occasionally flooded (if protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season)
Su	Steadman silt loam, occasionally flooded
ToB2	Townley silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
WaB2	Waynesboro loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes, eroded
Wf	Whitesburg silt loam, occasionally flooded

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity

		Manage	ement cond	cerns		Potential productivity			
Map symbol and	1	Equip-							
soil name	Erosion	ment	Seedling	Wind-	Plant	Common trees	Site	Volume	Suggested trees
	hazard	limita-	mortal-	throw	competi-		index	of wood	to plant
	l	tion	ity	hazard	tion			fiber	
	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ		ĺ	cu ft/ac	
	I	1	l					I	
BrB2, BrC2:	İ	İ	İ				İ	İ	
Braddock	Slight	Slight	Slight	Slight	Moderate	eastern white pine	95	172.00	eastern white pine
	I	I				northern red oak	80	57.00	yellow-poplar
	İ	İ	İ			yellow-poplar	90	86.00	
BrD2:									
Braddock	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Slight	Moderate	eastern white pine	95	172.00	eastern white pine,
						northern red oak	80	57.00	yellow-poplar
	ļ	ļ				yellow-poplar	90	86.00	
	ļ	ļ	ļ				ļ	ļ	
laD:									
Cataska	Moderate	Moderate	Severe	Severe	Slight	chestnut oak	50	29.00	Virginia pine
	!	!	!			pitch pine	!	!	
	!	!	ļ			scarlet oak	50	29.00	
L-8	ļ	!	!			1		!	Ī
!aE: Cataska	Corromo	Corromo			  cliabe	  chestnut oak	=0	   29.00	  Timainia nine
Cataska	severe	Severe	Severe	Severe	Slight		50   50	29.00	Virginia pine
	 		ļ			pitch pine   scarlet oak	50   50	29.00	
	!	!	ļ	l I		scarlet oak	] 50	29.00	
ce:		<u> </u>	! !	l I			!	¦	
Cataska	  Severe	  Severe	  Severe	  Severe	  Slight	  chestnut oak	l l 50	29.00	  Virginia pine
Catabha	lpevere	lpevere	lpevere	l Pevere	l	pitch pine	50	23.00	VII GIMIA PIME
	ł	¦	¦	l I		scarlet oak	50	29.00	
	i i		! I			Carret Oak	50	1 23.00	
Sylco	Severe	Severe	  Moderate	  Moderate	Moderate	Virginia pine	60	86.00	Virginia pine,
-1			i			eastern white pine	70	114.00	eastern white
	i	i	i	İ	i	shortleaf pine	60	86.00	pine, shortleaf
	i	i	i	İ	İ				pine
	İ	İ	İ				i	İ	
o:	i	i	i	İ	İ		İ	i	
Combs	Slight	Slight	Slight	Slight	Severe	American sycamore	i	i	black walnut,
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	black walnut	i	j	eastern white
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	northern red oak	90	72.00	pine, northern red
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	white oak	i	i	oak, shortleaf
	i	İ	İ	İ		yellow-poplar	115	129.00	pine, white ash,
	i	i	i			i <sup>-</sup>	İ	i	white oak, yellow-
	i	i	i			İ	İ	i	poplar
	i	i	i	i		i	i	i	i

		Manage	ement cond	cerns		Potential produ	ictivi	с <u>у</u>	
Map symbol and soil name	Erosion hazard	Equip- ment limita-	  Seedling  mortal-	throw	Plant  competi-	Common trees	  Site  index	Volume  of wood	Suggested trees to plant
	<u> </u>	tion	<u>ity</u>	hazard	tion		<u> </u>	fiber cu ft/ac	
	į			į				===,==	
DcB2, DcC2: Decatur	1014-64	  Slight	  Slight		   Wadamata		70	  114.00	
Decatur	leridir	leridur	siignc	Slight 	Moderate	Virginia pine eastern white pine		114.00	eastern white pine,
	}	l I	l I	¦		loblolly pine		114.00	shortleaf pine,
	i	i		i		shortleaf pine		100.00	yellow-poplar
						yellow-poplar		86.00	
OcD2:		 		 					]
Decatur	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Slight	Moderate	  Virginia pine	70	114.00	  Virginia pine,
	İ	İ	İ	į	İ	eastern white pine	80	143.00	eastern white
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	loblolly pine	80	114.00	pine, loblolly
	İ	İ		İ		shortleaf pine	66	100.00	pine, shortleaf
	į	İ		ĺ		yellow-poplar	90	86.00	pine
DeB2, DeC2:		 		 			 		[ ]
Dewey	Slight	Slight	Slight	Slight	Moderate	Virginia pine	70	114.00	black walnut,
						loblolly pine	78	114.00	eastern white
						shortleaf pine		114.00	pine, loblolly
	ļ					southern red oak		57.00	pine, yellow-
	ļ					white oak	70		poplar
		 	 	 	 	yellow-poplar	90 I	86.00 	]
eD2:									
Dewey	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Slight	Moderate	Virginia pine		114.00	black walnut,
	ļ	ļ		ļ		loblolly pine		114.00	eastern white
	ļ	!		ļ		shortleaf pine		114.00	pine, loblolly
	ļ			ļ		southern red oak		57.00	pine, yellow-
	!			!		white oak		57.00	poplar
		 		 		yellow-poplar	90 	86.00 	
hD:	į								
Ditney	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate				Virginia pine,
	!					eastern white pine		114.00	eastern white
	!			!		northern red oak			pine, shortleaf
		 	<u> </u>	 		shortleaf pine	60 	86.00 	pine 
Du, Dv:	į	į .	į .	į .				į	
Dunning	Slight	Severe	Severe	Severe	Severe	American sycamore			American sycamore,
	!					black willow			baldcypress, pin
	!			!		boxelder			oak, swamp white
	!			!		eastern cottonwood		129.00	oak, sweetgum
		 	l I	!	 	pin oak red maple		86.00 	] 
	1	I	ļ.	!	!			ı	<u>l</u>
	1	l	l	1		lewamn white cals			
		 		 		swamp white oak		  114.00	İ

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity-Continued

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity-Continued

	l	Manag	ement cond	cerns		Potential produ	uctivi	ty	.	
Map symbol and soil name	  Erosion   hazard	Equip-   ment  limita-	  Seedling  mortal-	   Wind-   throw	   Plant  competi-	   Common trees 	  Site  index	   Volume  of wood	   Suggested trees   to plant	
		tion	ity	hazard	tion			fiber		
EtB, EtC: Etowah	      Slight 	      Slight 	      Slight 	      Slight 	      Moderate 	loblolly pineshortleaf pinesouthern red oak	!	cu ft/ac      129.00  129.00	loblolly pine,	
FuC2:	   	     	   	     	     	yellow-poplar	90   90 	86.00 		
Fullerton	Slight     	Slight     	Slight     	Slight     	Moderate     	shortleaf pine  southern red oak  yellow-poplar	67   70   90	100.00   57.00   86.00	loblolly pine,   yellow-poplar 	
FuD2: Fullerton	  Moderate   	  Moderate 	  Moderate   	  Slight 	  Moderate   	shortleaf pine southern red oak yellow-poplar	   67   70   90	  100.00   57.00   86.00	loblolly pine, yellow-poplar	
FuE2: Fullerton	    Severe   	    Severe   	    Moderate   	    Slight   	    Moderate   	  shortleaf pine  southern red oak  yellow-poplar	     67   70   90	  100.00   57.00   86.00	  loblolly pine,   yellow-poplar	
HnB, HnC: Holston	  Slight 	  Slight 	  Slight 	  Slight 	  Moderate   	northern red oak shortleaf pine yellow-poplar	   78   69   86	   57.00  114.00   86.00	loblolly pine, yellow-poplar	
JcD: Junaluska	  Moderate         	  Moderate     	  Moderate   	  Moderate   	  Moderate     	black oak chestnut oak eastern white pine hickory northern red oak pitch pine	   56   86   	  100.00     43.00  157.00      100.00	  Scotch pine,   eastern white   pine, shortleaf   pine	
Cataska	        Moderate 	        Severe	      Severe	      Severe 	        Slight 	scarlet oakshortleaf pine white oak chestnut oak pitch pine scarlet oak	65   68   61   50   50	43.00  100.00   43.00   29.00     29.00	      Virginia pine	

	l	Manage	ement cond	cerns		Potential produ	uctivi	ty	
Map symbol and soil name	  Erosion   hazard	Equip-   ment  limita-	  Seedling  mortal-	throw	Plant  competi-	Common trees	  Site  index	   Volume  of wood	Suggested trees to plant
LeB: Leadvale	      slight     	tion        Slight   	ity        Slight   	hazard         Slight   	tion	Virginia pine loblolly pine shortleaf pine white oakyellow-poplar	80	fiber  cu ft/ac    114.00  114.00  57.00   86.00	    Virginia pine,   loblolly pine,   shortleaf pine
LnB, LnC: Lonon	  Slight           	  Slight             	  Slight             	   Slight         	Moderate             	black oak chestnut oak eastern white pine hickory northern red oak pitch pine red maple scarlet oak white oak yellow-poplar	   86       	     157.00           57.00	eastern white pine
LnD: Lonon	  Moderate               	  Moderate             	  Slight         	         	  Moderate             	black oak chestnut oak eastern white pine hickory northern red oak pitch pine red maple scarlet oak white oak yellow-poplar	   86     	     157.00           57.00	eastern white pine
LsC: Lostcove	  Slight           	  Severe         	  Moderate         	  Slight       	  Moderate           	eastern hemlock eastern white pine northern red oak red maple sugar maple white oak yellow-poplar	79     64	  172.00   57.00     43.00     86.00	eastern white pine, loblolly pine, northern red oak, shortleaf pine, white oak, yellow- poplar

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity-Continued

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity-Continued

	I	Manage	ement con	cerns		Potential produ	uctivi	ty	
Map symbol and		Equip-							1
soil name	Erosion	ment	Seedling	Wind-	Plant	Common trees	Site	Volume	Suggested trees
	hazard	limita-	mortal-	throw	competi-	İ	index	of wood	to plant
	İ	tion	ity	hazard	tion	İ	İ	fiber	į -
	i	İ					İ	cu ft/ac	
	ĺ	ĺ		ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ		
LsD:	[			ļ		ĺ	ĺ	1	
Lostcove	Moderate	Severe	Moderate	Slight	Moderate	eastern hemlock			eastern white pine,
		<u> </u>		ļ		eastern white pine	!	172.00	loblolly pine,
		<u> </u>		ļ		northern red oak	!	57.00	northern red oak,
	ļ	ļ		ļ		red maple	!		shortleaf pine,
						sugar maple	64	43.00	white oak, yellow-
						white oak			poplar
	ļ	<u> </u>				yellow-poplar	88	86.00	
MtC2:		 	 	 	 	 			l I
Montevallo	  Slight	  Slight	  Moderate	  Severe	  Slight	  Virginia pine	   61	   86.00	  Virginia pine,
nonce valle		i	l	1	i	loblolly pine	61	72.00	loblolly pine
	1	I I	l	i i	i i	shortleaf pine	61	86.00	1021011, pine
	i	i	 	i	! 		01	00.00	 
ftD2:	İ	j	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	i	İ
Montevallo	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Severe	Slight	Virginia pine	61	86.00	Virginia pine,
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	loblolly pine	61	72.00	loblolly pine
	į	į		į	į	shortleaf pine	61	86.00	
							!		
Muse	  cliabe	  Slight	  Slight	  Slight	  Severe	  Virginia pine	   67	1100.00	  eastern white pine,
muse	leridur	leridir	leridur	leridur	Severe	black oak		43.00	northern red oak,
		 	l I	!		chestnut oak		43.00	shortleaf pine,
		 	l I	!		red maple		43.00	shortlear pine,   white oak, yellow-
		 	l I	!		shortleaf pine	!	129.00	white dak, yellow.   poplar
		 	l I	!		shortlear pine  white oak	!	43.00	popiar
		 	l I	!				43.00	
	 	 	 	 	 	yellow-poplar			 
MwE:	i	i		i	İ		i	i	
Muskingum	Severe	Severe	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Virginia pine	75	114.00	Virginia pine,
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	eastern white pine	85	157.00	black walnut,
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	northern red oak	78	57.00	eastern white
	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	shortleaf pine	80	129.00	pine, shortleaf
	İ	İ	İ	İ	j	yellow-poplar	95	100.00	pine, yellow-
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	poplar
Chiswell	Severe	Severe	Moderate	Severe	Slight	Virginia pine	!	86.00	eastern white pine
	ļ	!	ļ	!	!	northern red oak	74	57.00	
			 			yellow-poplar	93 	100.00	  -
InC3:		 	 	l	 	 			 
Nonaburg	Slight	  Slight	  Moderate	Severe	  Moderate	  chestnut oak			  Virginia pine,
<b>-</b>	j	j 5		i	i	eastern redcedar	40	i	eastern redcedar
	i	i		İ	İ		i	i	

		Manag	ement con	cerns		Potential produ	uctivi	ty	
Map symbol and soil name	Erosion hazard	Equip-   ment  limita-   tion	  Seedling  mortal-   ity	   Wind-   throw   hazard	   Plant  competi-   tion	   Common trees 	  Site  index 	   Volume  of wood   fiber	Suggested trees to plant
	[	[	[		!			cu ft/ac	[
NnD3: Nonaburg	    Moderate 	    Moderate 	    Moderate 	    Severe 	    Moderate 	    chestnut oak  eastern redcedar	       40	     	    Virginia pine,   eastern redcedar
NnE3: Nonaburg	    Severe 	    Severe 	    Moderate 	    Severe 	    Moderate 	  chestnut oak  eastern redcedar	       40	     	    Virginia pine,   eastern redcedar
NoF: Northcove	    Severe   	    Severe   	    Moderate   	    Slight   	    Moderate   	  Virginia pine  black oak  chestnut oak	j	   	  -  eastern white pine  - 
	     	     	     	     	     	eastern white pine pitch pine  scarlet oak  shortleaf pine	   	143.00     	
Po:	     	     	     	     		white oak yellow-poplar	   	   	 
Pope	Slight             	slight             	Slight             	slight           	Severe	American basswood American beech American sycamore bitternut hickory blackgum eastern hemlock northern red oak white oak yellow-poplar	     	         57.00   100.00	black walnut,   eastern white   pine, northern red   oak, shortleaf   pine, white ash,   white oak, yellow-   poplar
Ro: Rosman	  Slight           	  Slight           	    Slight         	    slight         	  Severe       	American sycamore black walnut eastern white pine northern red oak red maple river birch yellow-poplar	   100   	    186.00       114.00	  black walnut,   eastern white   pine, yellow-   poplar
Sb: Sequatchie	  Slight     	  Slight   	  Slight   	  Slight   	  Severe   	loblolly pine white oak yellow-poplar	   90   80   100	  129.00   57.00  114.00	  black walnut,   loblolly pine,   yellow-poplar

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity-Continued

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity-Continued

	!		ement cond	cerns		Potential prod	uctivi	ty	<u> </u>
Map symbol and soil name	  Erosion   hazard 	Equip-   ment  limita-   tion	  Seedling  mortal-   ity	   Wind-   throw   hazard	   Plant  competi-   tion	   Common trees 	  Site  index 	Volume  of wood   fiber	   Suggested trees   to plant
	   		<u> </u>				   	cu ft/ac	
ShB, ShC:								ļ	
Shelocta	Slight   	Slight   	Slight   	Slight   	Severe   	American beech  cucumbertree  red maple		 	black walnut,   eastern white   pine, northern red
	i	j	İ	İ	İ	scarlet oak	80	57.00	oak, shortleaf
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	shortleaf pine	77	129.00	pine, white ash,
	1					white oak	77	57.00	white oak, yellow-
			 	 	 	yellow-poplar	99 	100.00	poplar
SoD:	į <u>.</u>	<u> </u>	_	_	_			ļ	
Soco	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate				Fraser fir, eastern
	!	!	!	!	ļ	black oak			white pine,
	!		!	!	ļ	chestnut oak eastern white pine		57.00  157.00	shortleaf pine
	 	 	ļ	ļ		northern red oak			
		<u> </u>	! !	<u> </u>	l I	pitch pine	!		 
		<u> </u>	! !	<u> </u>	l I	scarlet oak		57.00	 
	ł	¦	¦	¦	l I	shortleaf pine	!	86.00	! !
	i	i	i	i	l	white oak			
	į	ļ				yellow-poplar			
SoE:	 	 	 	 	 		 	 	
Soco	Severe	Severe	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Virginia pine	i	j	Fraser fir, eastern
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	black oak	j	j	white pine,
						chestnut oak	68	57.00	shortleaf pine
						eastern white pine	85	157.00	
	ļ					northern red oak			
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		pitch pine	•		ļ
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	scarlet oak	!	57.00	
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	!	shortleaf pine		86.00	
	 	 	 	 	 	white oak   yellow-poplar	!		 
	į	į	į	į		j -	į		<u> </u>
Cataska	severe	Severe	Severe	Severe	Slight	chestnut oak	50	29.00	Virginia pine
	!	!	!	!	l i	pitch pine   scarlet oak	•	29.00	
	 	 	 	 	 	scariet oak	50 	29.00	 
St: Staser	  cliabe	  Slight	  Slight	  Slight	Severe	  black walnut	İ İ	j 	  black walnut,
plasel	lettänr	l lettänr	l leridir	l Petidiir	l lpenere	loblolly pine	   90	129.00	loblolly pine,
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l I	l	 	white oak		57.00	yellow-poplar
	1	1	l	l	I I	yellow-poplar		114.00	   'GTTOM-DODIET
	i	i	i	i	İ		-00		i
	1	ı			1	ı	1	1	ı

!			ement cond	cerns		Potential prod	uctivi	ty	
Map symbol and soil name	  Erosion   hazard 	Equip-   ment  limita-   tion	  Seedling  mortal-   ity	   Wind-   throw   hazard	   Plant  competi-   tion	   Common trees 	  Site  index 	   Volume  of wood   fiber	Suggested trees to plant
Su:			   				   	cu ft/ac	
Steadman	Slight	  Slight       	Slight	Slight	Severe	black walnut northern red oak red maple white ash white oak yellow-poplar	86     85   85	 72.00  57.00 72.00 100.00	Japanese larch, Norway spruce, black oak, black walnut, eastern white pine, northern red oak, shortleaf pine, white ash, white oak, yellow-poplar
TaD2: Talbott	  Moderate     	  Moderate     	  Moderate     	  Moderate     	Moderate	eastern redcedar loblolly pine northern red oak shortleaf pine	80 65	   57.00  114.00   43.00  100.00	Virginia pine, eastern redcedar, loblolly pine, shortleaf pine
Rock outcrop.		   					į I		
TaE2: Talbott	  Severe   	  Severe   	  Moderate   	  Moderate   	  Moderate 	eastern redcedar loblolly pine northern red oak shortleaf pine	80 65	57.00  114.00   43.00  100.00	Virginia pine, eastern redcedar, loblolly pine, shortleaf pine
Rock outcrop.	   	   					   		
ToB2, ToC2: Townley	  Slight 	  Slight 	  Slight 	  Moderate   	  Moderate 	  Virginia pine  loblolly pine  shortleaf pine	70	   	Virginia pine, loblolly pine
ToD2: Townley	    Moderate   	    Moderate   	    Moderate   	    Moderate   	  Moderate 	  Virginia pine  loblolly pine  shortleaf pine	   70   70   60	  114.00   86.00   86.00	Virginia pine, loblolly pine
ToE2: Townley	    Severe   	    Severe   	    Moderate   	    Moderate   	  Moderate 	  Virginia pine  loblolly pine  shortleaf pine	70	  114.00   86.00   86.00	Virginia pine, loblolly pine

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity-Continued

Table 7.-Woodland Management and Productivity-Continued

Ditney	Suggested trees to plant  Virginia pine  Virginia pine, eastern white pine, shortleaf pine
Tion   Severe   Severe   Severe   Severe   Slight   Virginia pine   50   72.00   V.	Virginia pine Virginia pine, eastern white pine, shortleaf pine
Unicoi	Virginia pine, eastern white pine, shortleaf pine
Ditney	Virginia pine, eastern white pine, shortleaf pine
Chestnut oak 50 29.00   pitch pine 40 57.00   scarlet oak 50 29.00   pitch pine 40 57.00   scarlet oak 50 29.00   pitch pine 60 86.00   pitch pine 60 86.00   pitch pine 60 86.00   pitch pine 60 86.00   pitch pine 60 86.00   pitch pine 60 86.00   pitch pine 50 72.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 50 29.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine 70 57.00   pitch pine	Virginia pine, eastern white pine, shortleaf pine
Ditney	eastern white pine, shortleaf pine
Ditney	eastern white pine, shortleaf pine
Rock outcrop.	eastern white pine, shortleaf pine
Northern red oak 60 43.00   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1	pine, shortleaf pine
Rock outcrop.   Shortleaf pine   60   86.00   1	pine
Rock outcrop.   Unicoi   Moderate   Severe   Severe   Severe   Slight   Virginia pine   50   72.00   Virginia pine   50   29.00   Pitch pine   40   57.00   Scarlet oak   50   29.00   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch pine   50   Pitch p	
Une: Unicoi	<sup>7</sup> irginia pine
Unicoi	7irginia pine
Chestnut oak	7irginia pine
Scarlet oak   50   29.00	
Rock outcrop.  Jr. Urban land  N. Water  WaB2, WaC2: Waynesboro	
### District #### District #### District #### District #### District #### District #### District #### District #### District ##### District ##### District ##### District ##### District ####################################	
Water Wab2, WaC2: Waynesboro	
Water  WaB2, WaC2:  Waynesboro	
WaB2, WaC2:  Waynesboro	
Waynesboro	
	olack walnut,
	loblolly pine, shortleaf pine,
	yellow-poplar
	yerrem poprar
WaD2:	olack walnut,
	loblolly pine,
	shortleaf pine,
	yellow-poplar
vf:	
	eastern white pir
	loblolly pine,
	yellow-poplar

Table 8.—Recreational Development

(The information in this table indicates the dominant soil condition but does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation. See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Absence of an entry indicates that no rating is applicable)

Map symbol and soil name	   Camp areas   	   Picnic areas   	   Playgrounds   	Paths and trails	   Golf fairways   
BrB2: Braddock	    slight 	    slight 	    Moderate:   slope	    Slight 	    Slight 
BrC2: Braddock	  Moderate:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope	    Slight 	  Moderate:   slope
BrD2: Braddock	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Moderate:   slope 	    Severe:   slope
CaD: Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   small stones	  Moderate:   slope	Severe:   slope   depth to rock
CaE: Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   small stones	  Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope   depth to rock
CcE: Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   small stones	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
Sylco	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   small stones	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope
Co: Combs	    Severe:   flooding 	    Slight   	    Slight   	    Slight   	  Moderate:   flooding
DcB2: Decatur	  Slight 	  Slight 	  Moderate:   slope	  Slight 	  Slight 
DcC2: Decatur	    Moderate:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope	    Slight   	    Moderate:   slope 
DcD2: Decatur	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope
DeB2: Dewey	    Slight   	    Slight 	  Moderate:   slope	  Slight 	  Slight 
DeC2: Dewey	    Moderate:   slope 	    Moderate:   slope 	    Severe:   slope 	  slight 	  Moderate:   slope

Table 8.-Recreational Development-Continued

			I		
Map symbol and soil name	   Camp areas   	   Picnic areas   	   Playgrounds   	   Paths and   trails 	   Golf fairways   
		İ	İ	İ	İ
DeD2: Dewey	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope
DhD:	 	 	 	 	 
Ditney	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Moderate:   slope	Severe:   slope
Du, Dv:	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
Dunning	Severe:   flooding   wetness	Severe:   wetness 	Severe:   wetness 	Severe:   erodes easily   wetness	Severe:   wetness 
EtB:			İ	İ	İ
Etowah	Slight   	Slight   	Moderate:   slope 	Slight   	Slight   
EtC:	į	į	į	į	į
Etowah	Moderate:   slope 	Moderate:   slope 	Severe:   slope 	Slight   	Moderate:   slope 
FuC2:	_	_	į		
Fullerton	Moderate:   slope   small stones	Moderate:   slope   small stones	Severe:   slope   small stones	Slight   	Moderate:   small stones 
FuD2:	 	 	[ [	[ [	 
Fullerton	Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope 	Moderate:   slope 	Severe:   slope   small stones
FuE2:	 	 	 	 	 
Fullerton	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope
HnB:	 	 	 	 	! 
Holston	Slight   	Slight   	Moderate:   slope	Slight   	Slight   
HnC:	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
Holston	Moderate:   slope 	Moderate:   slope 	Severe:   slope	Slight   	Moderate:   slope
JcD:	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
Junaluska	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Moderate:   slope	Severe:   slope
Cataska	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   small stones	  Moderate:   slope 	Severe:   slope   depth to rock
LeB: Leadvale	  Moderate:   wetness 	  Moderate:   percs slowly   wetness	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope   wetness	  Severe:   erodes easily 	  Slight     
LnB: Lonon	    Slight   	    slight   	    Moderate:   slope 	    Slight   	    slight   

Table 8.-Recreational Development-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	   Camp areas   	   Picnic areas   	   Playgrounds   	   Paths and   trails 	   Golf fairways   
LnC: Lonon	  Moderate:   slope	    Moderate:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    slight 	  Moderate:   slope
LnD: Lonon	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope
LsC: Lostcove	  Severe:   large stones   small stones	  Severe:   large stones   small stones	   Severe:   slope   large stones   small stones	  Severe:   too cobbly   large stones	  Moderate:   large stones   small stones
LsD: Lostcove	Severe:   slope   large stones   small stones	Severe:   slope   large stones   small stones	Severe:   slope   large stones   small stones	Severe:   slope   too cobbly   large stones	  Severe:   slope
MtC2: Montevallo	    Severe:   depth to rock	    Severe:   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	    Slight   	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
MtD2: Montevallo	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
MuC2: Muse	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   erodes easily 	  Moderate:   slope 
MwE: Muskingum	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   erodes easily   slope	  Severe:   slope
Chiswell	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope   depth to rock
NnC3: Nonaburg	  Severe:   depth to rock 	  Severe:   depth to rock 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Slight     	  Severe:   depth to rock 
NnD3: Nonaburg	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Moderate:   slope 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
NnE3: Nonaburg	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock

Table 8.-Recreational Development-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	   Camp areas   	   Picnic areas   	   Playgrounds   	Paths and trails	   Golf fairways   
NoF: Northcove	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope
Po: Pope	    Severe:   flooding	    Slight 	    Moderate:   flooding	    slight 	  Moderate:   flooding
Ro: Rosman	    Severe:   flooding	    Slight 	    Moderate:   flooding	    slight 	  Moderate:   flooding
Sb: Sequatchie	    Severe:   flooding	    Slight 	    Moderate:   slope	    slight 	    Slight 
ShB: Shelocta	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Moderate:   slope	    Slight 	    Slight 
ShC: Shelocta	    Moderate:   slope	    Moderate:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Slight 	  Moderate:   slope
SoD: Soco	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope
SoE: Soco	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope
Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   small stones	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
St: Staser	  Severe:   flooding	    Slight 	    Moderate:   flooding	    Slight 	  Moderate:   flooding
Su: Steadman	  Severe:   flooding	  Moderate:   percs slowly   wetness	  Moderate:   flooding   wetness	    Slight   	  Moderate:   flooding   wetness
TaD2: Talbott	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope
Rock outcrop.					
TaE2: Talbott	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope
Rock outcrop.			İ		
ToB2: Townley	    Moderate:   percs slowly 	    Moderate:   percs slowly 	    Moderate:   slope 	    Slight   	    Moderate:   depth to rock

Table 8.-Recreational Development-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	   Camp areas 	   Picnic areas   	   Playgrounds   	Paths and trails	Golf fairways
ToC2: Townley	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	    Severe:   slope	    slight   	  Moderate:  slope  depth to rock
ToD2: Townley	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	Severe:   slope
ToE2: Townley	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope
UdE: Unicoi	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope   depth to rock
Ditney	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope
Rock outcrop.					
UnE: Unicoi	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope   depth to rock
Rock outcrop.			 		
Ur. Urban land	     	   	     		
W. Water					
WaB2: Waynesboro	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Moderate:   slope	    Slight 	  Slight
WaC2: Waynesboro	    Moderate:   slope	    Moderate:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Slight 	Moderate:
WaD2: Waynesboro	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	Severe:
Wf: Whitesburg	  Severe:   flooding	  Moderate:   wetness	  Moderate:   flooding   slope	    Slight   	  Moderate:   flooding

Table 9.-Wildlife Habitat

(See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Absence of an entry indicates that no rating is applicable)

	!	Pote		or habit	<u>at eleme</u>	nts				bitat for
Map symbol	Grain		Wild					Open-	Wood-	Wetland
and soil name	and	Grasses	herba-	Hard-	Conif-	Wetland	Shallow	land	land	wild-
	seed	and	ceous	wood	erous	plants	water	wild-	wild-	life
	crops	legumes	plants	trees	plants		areas	life	life	
BrB2:	 						 			
Braddock	rair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
						poor	poor			poor
BrC2, BrD2:	 		 	 	 	l			 	
Braddock	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Fair	Good	Very
						poor	poor			poor
	ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ				
CaD, CaE: Cataska	170277	  Poor	   Door	Voru	  Very	   	  Very	  Poor	Voru	Voru
Cataska	: -	POOL	Poor	Very	: -	Very	: -	POOT	Very	Very
	poor	 	 	poor	poor	poor	poor 	 	poor	poor
CcE:	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	i			i	
Cataska	Very	Poor	Poor	Very	Very	Very	Very	Poor	Very	Very
	poor	į	į	poor	poor	poor	poor		poor	poor
Sylco	  Verv	Poor	  Good	  Fair	  Fair	Very	  Verv	  Poor	  Fair	Very
Sylco	poor	I	l Good	Fall	Fall	poor	poor	l boot	Fall	Very
	10001		 			POOL	10001	 		poor
lo:	j	İ	j	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	
Combs	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Poor	Good	Good	Very
	İ	ļ	İ	ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ	poor
DcB2, DcC2:										
Decatur	l LCood	  Good	  Good	  Good	  Good	   Worse	  Very	  Good	  Good	17027
Decatur	i Good	I GOOG	i Good	I GOOG	I GOOG	Very	: -	l GOOG	I GOOG	Very
	] 		] 			poor	poor 			poor
DcD2:	j	İ	j	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	İ
Decatur	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Fair	Good	Very
						poor	poor			poor
DeB2:							 	 		
Dewey	l I Good	  Good	  Good	  Good	  Good	Poor	  Very	  Good	  Good	  Very
Dewey	i Good	l Good	i Good	0000	l	1	poor	l Good	0000	poor
	! 	İ	! 			i				
DeC2:	į	į	į	į	į	į	İ	İ	į	į
Dewey	Very	Very	Fair	Good	Good	Very	Very	Poor	Poor	Very
	poor	poor				poor	poor			poor
DeD2:	 	 	 	 	 		 	 	 	 
Dewey	l Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	  Fair	Good	Very
201107						poor	poor			poor
	į		į	İ	İ				İ	İ
DhD:		  Page				1770.005	   ***	   De est		177-0
Ditney	Very	Poor	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Poor	Good	Very
	poor		 	1	 	poor	poor	 	1	poor
Ou, Dv:	İ		İ	İ					İ	
Dunning	Very	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor	Good	Good	Poor	Poor	Good
-	poor	j	j	j	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ
	ļ		ļ							
ItB:	  Good	Cood	   Cood	0003	0003	Poor	   vor:-	  Good	  Good	     Worre
Etowah	i Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	LEGOT	Very	l GOOG	<del>G</del> 000	Very
		1	1	1	1	1	poor			poor

Table 9.-Wildlife Habitat-Continued

		Pote	ential f	or habit	at eleme	nts		Potenti	al as ha	bitat for
Map symbol	Grain	I	Wild	l	1	I	l	Open-	Wood-	Wetland
and soil name	and	Grasses		   Hard-	Conif-	Wetland	  Shallow		land	wild-
u 5011	seed	and	ceous	wood	erous	plants	water	wild-	wild-	life
	crops	legumes	!		plants	l	areas	life	life	1110
	LIOPS	reguiles	Prancs	LIEES	Pianes	1	areas	1 1116	1 1116	
ELG.	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	ļ
EtC:	!				1	ļ	ļ		1	
Etowah	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	poor	poor	ļ	ļ	poor
	ļ		ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	
FuC2:										
Fullerton	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
	ĺ	1	l	ĺ		poor	poor	ĺ		poor
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	į	į	İ	İ	İ
FuD2:	İ	İ	İ	i	İ	i	İ	İ	İ	İ
Fullerton	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Fair	Good	Very
						poor	poor			poor
	ł	<b>¦</b>	ł	ł	1	1 2001	1 2001	ł	<b>¦</b>	1 2001
T T2 -	ļ	1	!	!	1	!	!	ļ	1	l
FuE2:		!_			1	ļ	ļ	!_	1	
Fullerton		Poor	Good	Good	Good	Very	: -	Poor	Good	Very
	poor	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	poor	poor	ļ	ļ	poor
HnB:										
Holston	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Very	Good	Good	Very
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	poor	İ	İ	poor
	i	i	i	i	i	i	i <sup>-</sup>	i	i	i -
HnC:	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	İ
Holston	  Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
110110111	1	1	000 <b>u</b>	1	1	poor	poor	1	1	poor
	<b>!</b>	1	!	<b>!</b>	1	1 5001	l boor	<b>!</b>		1 5001
TaD.	ļ	1	!	!	1	!	!	!	1	l
JcD:	 			 	l madas		 		l mada	 
Junaluska	Fair	Good	Good	Fair	Fair	Very	: -	Good	Fair	Very
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	poor	poor	ļ	ļ	poor
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	!	ļ	ļ	ļ
Cataska	Very	Poor	Poor	Very	Very	Very	Very	Poor	Very	Very
	poor			poor	poor	poor	poor		poor	poor
		1		1		1				
LeB:		1		1		1				
Leadvale	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Poor	Good	Good	Poor
	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	İ
LnB, LnC:	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	İ
Lonon	  Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
2011011	1	1	000 <b>u</b>	1	1	poor	poor	1	1	poor
	<b>!</b>	1	!	<b>!</b>	1	1 5001	l boor	<b>!</b>		1 5001
ID	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	ļ
LnD:	!_	<u> </u>			1		!	!	<u> </u>	
Lonon	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Very	: -	Fair	Fair	Very
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	poor	poor	ļ	ļ	poor
						1				
LsC:										
Lostcove	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Very	Good	Good	Very
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	poor	İ	İ	poor
	i	i	i	i	i	i	i <sup>-</sup>	i	i	i -
LsD:	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	İ
Lostcove	Poor	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Fair	Good	Very
2000000	1	1 4 4 1	000 <b>u</b>	1	1	: -	:	1	1	i
	1	1		1	1	poor	poor	1	1	poor
MEGS MEDS:	!	1		1	1	1	!		1	!
MtC2, MtD2:	!_	_	!	!	!	ļ	!	!_	<u> </u>	
Montevallo	Poor	Poor	Fair	Fair	Fair	Very	Very	Poor	Fair	Very
	ļ.	ļ	ļ	ļ.	ļ	poor	poor	ļ.	ļ	poor
				1			l			
MuC2:										
Muse	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	poor	poor	İ	İ	poor
	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i
	İ	İ			İ			İ	İ	

Table 9.-Wildlife Habitat-Continued

		Pote	ential f	or habit	at eleme	nts		Potenti	al as ha	bitat for
Map symbol	Grain		Wild	ī		I	I	Open-		
and soil name	and	Grasses		Hard-	Conif-	Wetland	  Shallow		land	wild-
<u> </u>	seed	and	ceous	wood		plants	water	wild-	wild-	life
		legumes			plants	l	areas	life	life	1 1110
	LEGES	regulies	Prancs	LCTEES	Pianes	1	l areas	1 1116	1 1116	1
16 at	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!
MwE:	ļ	!_		!	!		!	!_	<u> </u>	
Muskingum	: -	Poor	Good	Fair	Fair	Very	Very	Poor	Fair	Very
	poor	!	!	!	ļ	poor	poor	!	ļ	poor
	ļ	ļ	ļ	!		ļ	!	ļ		ļ
Chiswell	Very	Poor	Good	Fair	Fair	Very	Very	Poor	Fair	Very
	poor			1		poor	poor			poor
NnC3:										
Nonaburg	Poor	Poor	Fair	Poor	Poor	Very	Very	Poor	Fair	Very
				1		poor	poor			poor
	1	1		I		1		l		
NnD3:	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
Nonaburg	Very	Poor	Fair	Poor	Poor	Very	Very	Poor	Fair	Very
	poor	İ	İ	İ	İ	poor	poor	İ	İ	poor
	i <sup>-</sup>	i	i	i	İ	i -	i ¯	İ	İ	i <sup>-</sup>
NnE3:	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	İ
Nonaburg	Verv	Very	Fair	Poor	Poor	Very	Very	Very	Fair	Very
<b>5</b>	poor	poor	i	i	i	poor	poor	poor	i	poor
	2		i	i	i				i	2
NoF:	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i	i
Northcove	Verv	Poor	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Poor	Good	Very
1.02 01100 10	poor					poor	poor	1		poor
	POOL	1	ŀ	1	¦	POOL	l boor	ł	¦	POOL
Po:	¦	1	¦	1	1	1	ł	ł	<b> </b>	
Pope	Cood	Good	  Good	Good	  Good	Poor	  Very	  Good	  Good	  Very
Fope	l GOOG	i Good	l GOOG	l Good	l Good	I	: -	l GOOG	I GOOG	: -
	!	!	!	!	!	!	poor	!	!	poor
Do.	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	
Ro:						l mades	 			 
Rosman	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Fair	Very	Good	Good	Very
	!	!	!	!	!	!	poor	!	!	poor
-1	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	!	
Sb:		1			,		!		.	
Sequatchie	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
	!	!	ļ	!	ļ	poor	poor	!	ļ	poor
	!	ļ	ļ	!	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ.	ļ	ļ
ShB, ShC:	!		!	!	ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ
Shelocta	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	poor	poor	ļ	ļ	poor
	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
SoD:	ļ	ļ	ļ	!		ļ	!	ļ		ļ
Soco	Very	Poor	Good	Fair	Fair	Very	Very	Poor	Fair	Very
	poor					poor	poor			poor
SoE:										
Soco	Very	Poor	Good	Fair	Fair	Very	Very	Poor	Fair	Very
	poor			1		poor	poor	1		poor
	1	1		I		1		l		
Cataska	Very	Poor	Poor	Very	Very	Very	Very	Poor	Very	Very
	poor	İ	İ	poor	poor	poor	poor	İ	poor	poor
	İ	İ	İ	İ	į	İ	j	İ	į	İ
St:	İ	i	İ	i	İ	i	İ	İ	İ	i
Staser	Poor	Fair	Fair	Good	Good	Poor	Very	Fair	Good	Very
	i	i	i	i		i	poor	i		poor
	i	İ	i	i	İ	i	i • • • •	İ	İ	
Su:	i	i	i	i		i	İ	İ	i	i
Steadman	Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Poor	Good	Good	Poor
		i	i	i		i	i			
	I	1	I	1	ı	1	ı	ı	1	1

Table 9.-Wildlife Habitat-Continued

	I	Pote	ential fo	or habit	at eleme	nts		Potentia	al as ha	bitat for
Map symbol	Grain	Ī	Wild	I		I		Open-	Wood-	Wetland
and soil name	and	Grasses	herba-	Hard-	Conif-	Wetland	Shallow	! -	land	wild-
	seed	and	ceous	wood	erous	plants	water	wild-	wild-	life
	crops	legumes	plants	trees	plants	i	areas	life	life	İ
						i				
TaD2:										
Talbott	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
						poor	poor			poor
	!	ļ		!	ļ	ļ			!	!
Rock outcrop.	!	!	ļ	!						!
TaE2:			 			!	 	 		 
Talbott	Poor	  Fair	l  Good	  Good	  Good	  Very	  Very	  Fair	l Good	  Very
Taibocc	1	Fair	l GOOG	I GOOG	I	poor	poor	rair 	I	poor
	¦	i	l I	¦		POOL	1001	 	¦	1
Rock outcrop.	i	i	İ	i	i	i			i	i
_	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			i	j
ToB2, ToC2:	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
Townley	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
	!	!	ļ	!	ļ	poor	poor		ļ	poor
	!	ļ	ļ	!		ļ				
ToD2, ToE2:	<u> </u> .				1	ļ		1		
Townley	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
	 	!	l I	 		poor	poor	<u> </u>	 	poor
UdE:		ł	 	 		1	 	 		¦
Unicoi	  Verv	  Very	  Poor	  Very	Very	Very	  Very	  Very	Very	  Very
	poor	poor		poor	poor	poor	poor	poor	poor	poor
			İ							
Ditney	Very	Poor	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Poor	Good	Very
	poor	İ	İ	İ	İ	poor	poor	İ	İ	poor
Rock outcrop.	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ			ļ	[
		!		!		ļ				
UnE:			   Da am				   <del>                                   </del>			
Unicoi	: -	Very	Poor	Very	Very	Very	Very	Very	Very	Very
	poor	poor	 	poor	poor	poor	poor	poor	poor	poor
Rock outcrop.	i	i	! 	i		i	 	 	i	i
	i	i	İ	i	İ	i			i	İ
Ur.	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ			i	j
Urban land	İ	İ	ĺ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
	[	[		[		ļ			[	[
W.		ļ		ļ		ļ				ļ
Water						!				
WaB2:		! !	 	! !				 		!
Waynesboro	l Good	  Good	  Good	  Good	  Good	  Very	  Very	  Good	  Good	  Very
Waynesboro		G000	<del>                                    </del>	GOOG		poor	poor	000u 		poor
	i	İ	İ	İ	İ				i	
WaC2, WaD2:	İ	İ	j	İ	İ	İ	j	j	İ	İ
Waynesboro	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Good	Very	Very	Good	Good	Very
	[	[		[		poor	poor		[	poor
									[	!
Wf:						_				
Whitesburg	l Good	Good	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Very	Good	Good	Very
			 				poor	 		poor
		L	L			1				L

## Table 10.-Building Site Development

(The information in this table indicates the dominant soil condition but does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation. See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Absence of an entry indicates that no rating is applicable)

Map symbol and soil name	Shallow   excavations	Dwellings without basements	Dwellings with basements	Small commercial buildings	Local roads and streets	Lawns and landscaping
BrB2: Braddock	  Moderate:   too clayey 	  Moderate:   shrink-swell 	  Moderate:   shrink-swell	    Moderate:   slope 	  Moderate:   low strength   shrink-swell	    Slight   
BrC2: Braddock	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope 	  Severe:   slope 	  Moderate:   low strength   shrink-swell   slope	  Moderate:   slope
BrD2: Braddock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope
CaD, CaE: Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to roc!
CcE: Cataska	    Severe:   slope   depth to rock	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	    Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope   depth to roc!
Sylco	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope 
Co: Combs	  Moderate:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Moderate:   flooding
DcB2: Decatur	  Moderate:   too clayey	  Moderate:   shrink-swell	  Moderate:   shrink-swell	  Moderate:   shrink-swell	  Moderate:  low strength  shrink-swell	  Slight 
DcC2: Decatur	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope	  Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope	  Severe:   slope 	  Moderate:   low strength   shrink-swell   slope	  Moderate:   slope 

<u>လ</u>
Sur
$\subseteq$
$\overline{}$
₹
٠
<
_
Ø
٠,
$\approx$
_

Map symbol and soil name	Shallow excavations	Dwellings without basements	Dwellings with basements	Small   commercial   buildings	Local roads and streets	Lawns and landscaping
DcD2:	 	 	 	 		
Decatur	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope
DeB2:	 	 	i	i		
Dewey	Moderate:   too clayey 	Moderate:   shrink-swell 	Moderate:   shrink-swell 	Moderate:   shrink-swell 	Moderate:   low strength   shrink-swell	Slight   
DeC2:	İ	İ	İ	İ		
Dewey	Moderate:   slope   too clayey 	Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope 	Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope 	Severe:   slope 	Moderate:   low strength   shrink-swell   slope	Moderate:   slope 
DeD2:	İ	İ	İ	j		
Dewey	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope
DhD:		İ	İ	İ		
Ditney	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope 
Du, Dv:	 	 	]	 		
Dunning	Severe:   wetness 	Severe:   flooding   wetness	Severe:   flooding   wetness	Severe:   flooding   wetness	Severe:   flooding   low strength   wetness	Severe:   wetness 
EtB: Etowah	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Moderate:   low strength	    Slight 
EtC:						
Etowah	  Moderate:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope 	Severe:   slope	Moderate: low strength slope	Moderate:   slope
FuC2: Fullerton	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope	  Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope	  Severe:   slope 	   Moderate:   low strength   shrink-swell   slope	    Moderate:   small stones 

Table 10.-Building Site Development-Continued

Table 10.—Building Site Development—Continued

	ī	I	I	I	I	I
Map symbol and soil name	Shallow   excavations	Dwellings without basements	Dwellings with basements	Small   commercial   buildings	Local roads and streets	Lawns and landscaping
FuD2: Fullerton	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope   small stones
FuE2: Fullerton	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope 
HnB: Holston	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 
HnC: Holston	  Moderate:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Moderate:   slope
JcD: Junaluska	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock	  Moderate:   slope	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   low strength   slope	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock
Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
LeB: Leadvale	  Severe:   wetness	  Moderate:   wetness	  Severe:   wetness	  Moderate:   slope   wetness	  Moderate:  low strength  wetness	    Slight   
LnB: Lonon	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Moderate:   low strength	    Slight 
LnC: Lonon	  Moderate:  slope	    Moderate:   slope 	    Moderate:   slope 	    Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   low strength   slope	    Moderate:   slope 
LnD: Lonon	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope

Map symbol and soil name	Shallow   excavations	Dwellings without basements	Dwellings with basements	Small   commercial   buildings	Local roads and streets	Lawns and landscaping
LsC: Lostcove	  Severe:   large stones	  Severe:   large stones	  Severe:   large stones	  Severe:   large stones	  Severe:   large stones	  Moderate:   large stones   small stones
LsD: Lostcove	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   slope
MtC2: Montevallo	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
MtD2: Montevallo	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
MuC2: Muse	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope	  Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   low strength   slope	  Moderate:   slope
MwE: Muskingum	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope
Chiswell	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
NnC3: Nonaburg	  Severe:   depth to rock	  Severe:   depth to rock	  Severe:   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   low strength   depth to rock	  Severe:   depth to rock
NnD3: Nonaburg	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   low strength   depth to rock   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
NnE3: Nonaburg	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock

Table 10.-Building Site Development-Continued

Table 10.—Building Site Development—Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Shallow   excavations	Dwellings without basements	Dwellings with basements	Small   commercial   buildings	Local roads and streets	Lawns and landscaping
Nof: Northcove	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:  large stones  slope	  Severe:   slope
Po: Pope	    Severe:   cutbanks cave	    Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	    Severe:   flooding	Moderate:   flooding	Moderate:   flooding
Ro: Rosman	  Severe:   cutbanks cave	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	Severe:	Moderate: flooding
Sb: Sequatchie	    Slight 	    Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	Moderate: flooding	  Slight 
ShB: Shelocta	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	Moderate:	  Slight 
ShC: Shelocta	  Moderate:   slope	  Moderate:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   low strength   slope	  Moderate:   slope
SoD: Soco	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope 		  Severe:   slope
SoE: Soco	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	Severe:
Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope 	Severe:   slope	Severe:   slope   depth to roc
St: Staser	    Moderate:   flooding	    Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	Severe:   flooding	  Moderate:   flooding
Su: Steadman	  Severe:   flooding   wetness	  Severe:   flooding 	  Severe:   flooding   wetness	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Moderate:  flooding  wetness

Map symbol and soil name	   Shallow   excavations	Dwellings without basements	Dwellings with basements	   Small   commercial   buildings	Local roads and streets	Lawns and landscaping
TaD2: Talbott	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope
Rock outcrop.			ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
TaE2: Talbott	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope
Rock outcrop.					ļ	ļ
ToB2: Townley	  Moderate:   too clayey   depth to rock	    Moderate:   shrink-swell	  Moderate:  shrink-swell  depth to rock	    Moderate:   shrink-swell	    Severe:   low strength	    Moderate:   depth to rock
ToC2: Townley	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey   depth to rock	  Moderate:  shrink-swell  slope	   Moderate:   shrink-swell   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   low strength   slope	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock
ToD2: Townley	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock	  Moderate:   slope	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope
ToE2: Townley	    Moderate:   slope	    Moderate:   slope	    Moderate:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	    Moderate:   slope
UdE: Unicoi	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock
Ditney	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope 
	i -	i	i	i	i	i

Table 10.-Building Site Development-Continued

Table 10.—Building Site Development—Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Shallow   excavations	Dwellings without basements	Dwellings with basements	Small   commercial   buildings	Local roads and streets	Lawns and landscaping
UnE:		 	 	 	 	 
Unicoi	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   large stones   depth to rock
Rock outcrop.	 					
Ur. Urban land	 	   	 	 	   	   
W. Water	   	 			   	     
WaB2: Waynesboro	    Moderate:   too clayey	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Slight 	    Moderate:   low strength	    Slight 
WaC2: Waynesboro	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   slope	  Moderate:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   low strength   slope	  Moderate:   slope
WaD2: Waynesboro	  Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope
Wf: Whitesburg	  Severe:   flooding   wetness	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding   wetness	  Severe:   flooding	  Severe:   flooding	  Moderate:   flooding

## Table 11.-Sanitary Facilities

(The information in this table indicates the dominant soil condition but does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation. See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Absence of an entry indicates that no rating is applicable)

		<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	
Map symbol and soil name	Septic tank absorption fields	Sewage lagoon   areas	Trench sanitary	Area sanitary	Daily cover
BrB2: Braddock	  Moderate:   percs slowly	  Severe:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   seepage   too clayey	  Moderate:   slope	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey
BrC2: Braddock	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   seepage   slope   too clayey	  Severe:   slope	  Poor:   hard to pack   slope   too clayey
BrD2: Braddock	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   seepage   slope   too clayey	  Severe:   slope	  Poor:   hard to pack   slope   too clayey
CaD, CaE: Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   seepage   small stones   depth to rock
CcE: Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	   Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   seepage   small stones   depth to rock
Sylco	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
Co: Combs	  Severe:   flooding	Severe:   flooding   seepage	  Severe:   flooding   seepage	Severe: flooding seepage	  Good 
DcB2: Decatur	  Moderate:   percs slowly 	  Moderate:   seepage   slope	  Moderate:   too clayey 	  Slight   	  Fair:   hard to pack   too clayey
DcC2: Decatur	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	Moderate:   slope	  Fair:   hard to pack   slope   too clayey
DcD2: Decatur	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope 	  Poor:   slope 

Table 11.—Sanitary Facilities—Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Septic tank absorption fields	Sewage lagoon   areas	Trench sanitary	Area sanitary landfill	Daily cover
DeB2: Dewey	    Moderate:   percs slowly	   Moderate:   seepage   slope	    Moderate:   too clayey 	    Slight   	  -  Fair:   hard to pack   too clayey
DeC2: Dewey	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	Moderate:   slope 	  Fair:   hard to pack   slope   too clayey
DeD2: Dewey	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope 	    Poor:   slope 
DhD: Ditney	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock
Du, Dv: Dunning	Severe:   flooding   percs slowly   wetness	  Severe:   flooding   wetness	Severe:   flooding   too clayey   wetness	Severe:   flooding   wetness	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey   wetness
EtB: Etowah	  Moderate:   percs slowly	  Moderate:   seepage   slope	  Moderate:   too clayey	  Slight 	  Fair:   too clayey
EtC: Etowah	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   slope	  Fair:   too clayey
FuC2: Fullerton	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   slope	  Poor:   small stones
FuD2, FuE2: Fullerton	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Poor:   slope   small stones
HnB: Holston	  Moderate:   percs slowly 	  Moderate:   seepage   slope	  Moderate:   too clayey 	  Slight   	  Fair:   small stones   too clayey
HnC: Holston	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope 	  Severe:   slope 	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   slope 	  Fair:   slope   small stones   too clayey

Table 11.-Sanitary Facilities-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	   Septic tank   absorption   fields	   Sewage lagoon   areas	  Trench sanitary   landfill 	   Area sanitary   landfill 	Daily cover for landfill
JcD: Junaluska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   depth to rock	  Poor:   small stones   depth to rock
Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	Poor:   seepage   small stones   depth to rock
LeB: Leadvale	  Severe:   percs slowly   wetness	  Severe:   wetness	  Severe:   depth to rock 	Moderate:   wetness   depth to rock	  Fair:   too clayey   depth to rock
LnB: Lonon	  Moderate:   percs slowly	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   too acid	  Moderate:   slope	  Poor:   too acid
LnC: Lonon	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   too acid	  Moderate:   slope	  Poor:   too acid
LnD: Lonon	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   slope   too acid	Severe:   slope	  Poor:   slope   too acid
LsC: Lostcove	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   seepage   slope	  Severe:   large stones   too acid	  Slight   	  Poor:   large stones
LsD: Lostcove	  Severe:   large stones   slope	  Severe:   large stones   seepage   slope	  Severe:   large stones   slope   too acid	  Severe:   slope	  Poor:   large stones   slope
MtC2, MtD2: Montevallo	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
MuC2: Muse	  Severe:   percs slowly	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   wetness   depth to rock	Moderate: slope wetness depth to rock	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey
MwE: Muskingum	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock

Table 11.-Sanitary Facilities-Continued

Map symbol	   Septic tank	   Sewage lagoon	  Trench sanitary	Area sanitary	Daily cover
and soil name	absorption fields	areas	landfill	landfill	for landfill
MwE: Chiswell	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe: slope depth to rock	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
NnC3: Nonaburg	  Severe:   percs slowly   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   too clayey   depth to rock	  Severe:   depth to rock 	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey   depth to rock
NnD3, NnE3: Nonaburg	  Severe:   percs slowly   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   too clayey   depth to rock	Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey   depth to rock
NoF: Northcove	  Severe:   large stones   slope 	Severe:   large stones   seepage   slope	Severe:   large stones   seepage   slope	Severe: seepage slope	  Poor:   large stones   slope   too acid
Po: Pope	  Severe:   flooding   percs slowly	Severe:   flooding   seepage	  Severe:   seepage	Severe:   seepage	  Good 
Ro: Rosman	  Severe:   flooding   wetness 	  Severe:   flooding   seepage   wetness	  Severe:   flooding   seepage   wetness	Severe: flooding seepage wetness	  Fair:   wetness 
Sb: Sequatchie	  Moderate:   percs slowly   flooding	  Severe:   seepage	  Severe:   seepage 	  Slight 	  Fair:   small stones   too clayey
ShB: Shelocta	  Moderate:   percs slowly	Severe:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   seepage	Moderate: slope	  Poor:   small stones
ShC: Shelocta	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   seepage	Moderate:   slope	  Poor:   small stones
SoD: Soco	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	   Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   slope   too acid   depth to rock

Table 11.-Sanitary Facilities-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Septic tank absorption fields	   Sewage lagoon   areas	  Trench sanitary   landfill 	   Area sanitary   landfill	   Daily cover   for landfill 
SoE: Soco	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   slope   too acid   depth to rock
Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Poor:   seepage   small stones   depth to rock
St: Staser	  Severe:   flooding   wetness	  Severe:   flooding   seepage   wetness	  Severe:   flooding   seepage   wetness	   Severe:   flooding   seepage   wetness	  Good   
Su: Steadman	   Severe:   flooding   percs slowly   wetness	   Severe:   flooding   seepage   wetness	  Severe:   flooding   seepage   wetness	Severe:   flooding   wetness	  Fair:   too clayey   wetness
TaD2: Talbott	  Severe:   percs slowly   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   too clayey   depth to rock	  Severe:   depth to rock	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey   depth to rock
Rock outcrop.			ļ	   	
TaE2: Talbott	  Severe:   percs slowly   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   too clayey   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey   depth to rock
Rock outcrop.	 	 	 	 	<u> </u>
ToB2: Townley	   Severe:   depth to rock   percs slowly	  Severe:   depth to rock	  Severe:   depth to rock	Severe: depth to rock	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey   depth to rock
ToC2: Townley	  Severe:   percs slowly   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe: slope depth to rock	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey   depth to rock
ToD2, ToE2: Townley	  Severe:   depth to rock   slope 	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	  Severe:   depth to rock   	  Severe:   depth to rock   	  Poor:   hard to pack   too clayey   depth to rock

Table 11.-Sanitary Facilities-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	   Septic tank   absorption	   Sewage lagoon   areas	  Trench sanitary   landfill	   Area sanitary   landfill	Daily cover
	fields	l			
UdE: Unicoi	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
Ditney	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	   seepage   slope   depth to rock	Poor:   slope   depth to rock
Rock outcrop.	į	į	į		į
UnE: Unicoi	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
Rock outcrop.	ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ
Ur. Urban land	 	 	 		   
W. Water	 	 	 		   
WaB2: Waynesboro	  Moderate:   percs slowly	  Moderate:   seepage   slope	  Moderate:   too clayey	  Slight 	  Fair:   hard to pack   too clayey
WaC2: Waynesboro	  Moderate:   percs slowly   slope	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   slope   too clayey	  Moderate:   slope 	  Fair:   hard to pack   slope   too clayey
WaD2: Waynesboro	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope 	    Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   slope 	  Poor:   slope 
Wf: Whitesburg	  Severe:   wetness 	  Severe:   flooding   wetness	  Severe:   wetness   depth to rock	   Severe:   wetness	  Fair:   too clayey   wetness   depth to rock

## Table 12.-Construction Materials

(The information in this table indicates the dominant soil condition but does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation. See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Absence of an entry indicates that no rating is applicable)

Map symbol and soil name	Roadfill	Sand	Gravel	Topsoil
rB2: Braddock	  Fair:   low strength   	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   area reclaim   small stones   too clayey
rC2, BrD2: Braddock	  Fair:   low strength   slope	  Improbable:   excess fines	Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   area reclaim   small stones   too clayey
aD, CaE: Cataska	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	Improbable: small stones	  Improbable:   thin layer	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
ce: Cataska	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   small stones	  Improbable:   thin layer	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
Sylco	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	Improbable: excess fines	Improbable: excess fines	Poor:   slope   small stones
o: Combs	    Good 	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Fair:   small stones
cB2, DcC2: Decatur	  Fair:   low strength	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   too clayey
cD2: Decatur	  Fair:   low strength	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   slope   too clayey
eB2, DeC2: Dewey	  Fair:   low strength   shrink-swell	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   too clayey
DeD2: Dewey	  Fair:   low strength   shrink-swell   slope	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   slope   too clayey
OhD: Ditney	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   slope   small stones

Table 12.-Construction Materials-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	   Roadfill	   Sand	   Gravel	   Topsoil 
Du, Dv: Dunning	  Poor:  low strength  wetness	    Improbable:   excess fines	    Improbable:   excess fines 	Poor:   thin layer   wetness
EtB: Etowah	  Fair:   low strength   thin layer	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Fair:   small stones   too clayey
EtC: Etowah	  Fair:   low strength   thin layer	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Fair:   slope   small stones   too clayey
FuC2: Fullerton	  Fair:   low strength   shrink-swell	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Improbable:   excess fines   	Poor:   area reclaim   small stones   too clayey
FuD2: Fullerton	  Fair:   low strength   shrink-swell   slope	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   area reclaim   small stones   too clayey
FuE2: Fullerton	  Poor:   slope 	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Improbable:   excess fines 	Poor:   area reclaim   small stones   too clayey
HnB, HnC: Holston	  Good   	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Poor:   area reclaim   small stones
JcD: Junaluska	Poor: depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   small stones
Cataska	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	Improbable:   small stones	  Improbable:   thin layer 	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
LeB: Leadvale	  Fair:   low strength   thin layer   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Good   
LnB, LnC: Lonon	  Good   	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Poor:   area reclaim   too acid

Table 12.—Construction Materials—Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Roadfill	Sand	Gravel	Topsoil
LnD: Lonon	    Fair:   slope	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   area reclaim   too acid
LsC, LsD: Lostcove	  Poor:   large stones	  Improbable:   large stones   excess fines	Improbable:   large stones   excess fines	Poor:   area reclaim   small stones   too acid
MtC2, MtD2: Montevallo	  Poor:   depth to rock   	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
MuC2: Muse	  Poor:   low strength	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   too clayey
MwE: Muskingum	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   slope   small stones
Chiswell	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	Improbable: excess fines	Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
NnC3: Nonaburg	  Poor:   area reclaim   low strength   depth to rock	  Improbable:   thin layer   excess fines	Improbable:   thin layer   excess fines	Poor:   area reclaim   thin layer   too clayey   depth to rock
NnD3: Nonaburg	  Poor:   area reclaim   low strength   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   area reclaim   slope   too clayey   depth to rock
NnE3: Nonaburg	Poor:   area reclaim   low strength   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   area reclaim   too clayey   depth to rock
NoF: Northcove	  Poor:   large stones   slope	  Improbable:   large stones   excess fines	  Improbable:   large stones   excess fines	Poor:   area reclaim   large stones   too acid

Table 12.-Construction Materials-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Roadfill	Sand	Gravel	   Topsoil 
Po: Pope	    Good 	  Improbable:   excess fines	    Improbable:   excess fines	    Poor:   area reclaim
Ro: Rosman	  Fair:   wetness	  Probable 	  Probable	  Fair:   area reclaim   small stones
Sb: Sequatchie	Good	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   small stones
ShB, ShC: Shelocta	  Good 	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   area reclaim   small stones
SoD: Soco	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   slope   small stones   too acid
SoE: Soco	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   slope   small stones   too acid
Cataska	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	Improbable:   small stones	Improbable:   thin layer	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
St: Staser	  Good 	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   area reclaim   small stones
Su: Steadman	  Fair:   wetness	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Fair:   area reclaim   too clayey
TaD2: Talbott	  Poor:  low strength  depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   too clayey
Rock outcrop. TaE2: Talbott	         	       	     	         
TAIDOTT	Poor:   low strength   slope   depth to rock	Improbable:   excess fines   	Improbable:   excess fines 	Poor:   slope   too clayey 
Rock outcrop.				   

Table 12.-Construction Materials-Continued

Map symbol and soil name	Roadfill	Sand	Gravel	Topsoil
TOB2, TOC2, TOD2, TOE2: Townley	Poor: depth to rock	    Improbable:   excess fines	    Improbable:   excess fines	    Poor:   too clayey
de: Unicoi	   Poor:   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Improbable:   excess fines	Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
Ditney	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   slope   small stones
Rock outcrop.	   		 	 
JnE: Unicoi	  Poor:   slope   depth to rock	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Poor:   slope   small stones   depth to rock
Rock outcrop.	<u> </u> 	į I	į I	j I
r. Urban land		 	 	 
Water		 	 	
MaB2, WaC2: Waynesboro	  Fair:   low strength	  Improbable:   excess fines	    Improbable:   excess fines	    Poor:   too clayey
MaD2: Waynesboro	  Fair:   low strength   slope	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Improbable:   excess fines	  Poor:   slope   too clayey
f: Whitesburg	  Poor:   low strength	  Improbable:   excess fines 	  Improbable:   excess fines 	    Fair:   small stones   too clayey

## Table 13.-Water Management

(The information in this table indicates the dominant soil condition but does not eliminate the need for onsite investigation. See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Absence of an entry indicates that no rating is applicable)

	L:	imitations for-	_	Features affecting						
Map symbol and soil name	Pond reservoir areas	Embankments, dikes, and levees	Aquifer-fed   excavated   ponds	   Drainage	   Irrigation	Terraces and   diversions	Grassed waterways			
BrB2: Braddock	Moderate:   seepage   slope	Moderate: hard to pack	  Severe:   no water	Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation:	Limitation:	  Limitation:   slope			
BrC2, BrD2: Braddock	  Severe:   slope	    Moderate:   hard to pack	    Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water	    Limitation:   slope	    Limitation:   slope	    Limitation:   slope			
CaD, CaE: Cataska	   Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water	  Limitation:   slope   droughty	  Limitation:   slope   droughty   depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   droughty 			
CcE: Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage	  Severe:   no water	Limitation:   deep to water	  Limitation:   slope   droughty	  Limitation:   slope   droughty   depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   droughty			
Sylco	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   piping 	  Severe:   no water 	  Limitation:   deep to water 	  Limitation:   slope   droughty	  Limitation:   slope   droughty	  Limitation:   slope   droughty			
Co: Combs	  Severe:   seepage	  Severe:   piping	  Severe:   no water	Limitation: deep to water	  Limitation:   flooding	    Favorable 	    Favorable   			
DcB2: Decatur	Moderate:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   hard to pack	  Severe:   no water	Limitation: deep to water	  Limitation:   slope 	  Favorable 	  Favorable 			
DcC2, DcD2: Decatur	  Severe:   slope	  Severe:   hard to pack	    Severe:   no water 	Limitation:   deep to water	    Limitation:   slope 	    Limitation:   slope 	    Limitation:   slope 			
DeB2: Dewey	Moderate:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   hard to pack	  Severe:   no water	Limitation:   deep to water	  Limitation:   slope	  Favorable 	  Favorable   			

Table 13.-Water Management-Continued

	Li	imitations for-	_	Features affecting						
Map symbol and soil name	Pond reservoir   areas	Embankments, dikes, and levees	Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	   Drainage 	Irrigation	Terraces and diversions	Grassed waterways			
DeC2, DeD2: Dewey	    Severe:   slope	Severe: hard to pack	    Severe:   no water	  -  Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation: slope	    Limitation:   slope	    Limitation:   slope			
DhD:			 			 	[ [			
Ditney	Severe: Severe: Severe: seepage piping no water slope			Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation: slope droughty	Limitation:   slope   droughty   depth to rock	Limitation:   slope   droughty			
Du, Dv: Dunning	  Slight 	Severe: wetness	  Severe:   slow refill	  Limitation:   flooding   percs slowly	Limitation: erodes easily percs slowly wetness	  Limitation:   erodes easily   percs slowly   wetness	Limitation:   erodes easil;   percs slowly   wetness			
EtB: Etowah	  Moderate:   seepage   slope	Moderate: piping thin layer	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation: erodes easily slope	  Limitation:   erodes easily 	  Limitation:   erodes easil			
EtC: Etowah	  Severe:   slope	Moderate: piping thin layer	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation: erodes easily slope	  Limitation:   erodes easily   slope	  Limitation:   erodes easil   slope			
FuC2, FuD2, FuE2: Fullerton	  Severe:   slope	Severe: hard to pack piping	  Severe:   no water	    Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation: slope	  Limitation:   large stones   slope	  Limitation:   large stones   slope			
HnB: Holston	  Moderate:   seepage   slope	Severe: piping	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation: slope	  Favorable   	  Favorable 			
HnC: Holston	  Severe:   slope	Severe: piping	    Severe:   no water	  -  Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation: slope	    Limitation:   slope	  Limitation:   slope			
JcD: Junaluska	  Severe:   slope	Severe: thin layer	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation: slope depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   depth to roc			

Table 13.-Water Management-Continued

	L:	imitations for-	<b>-</b>	Features affecting						
Map symbol and soil name	Pond reservoir   areas	Embankments, dikes, and levees	Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	   Drainage	   Irrigation	Terraces and diversions	Grassed waterways			
JcD: Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   seepage	  Severe:   no water	    Limitation:   deep to water 	  Limitation:   slope   droughty   depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   droughty   depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   droughty   depth to rock			
LeB: Leadvale	    Moderate:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   piping	  Severe:   no water	  -  Limitation:   percs slowly   slope	Limitation:   percs slowly   slope   wetness	Limitation:   erodes easily   wetness	    Limitation:			
LnB: Lonon	  Moderate:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   piping	  Severe:   no water	  -  Limitation:   deep to water	  Limitation:   slope	    Favorable   	  Favorable 			
LnC, LnD: Lonon	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   piping	    Severe:   no water	  -  Limitation:   deep to water	    Limitation:   slope	    Limitation:   slope	    Limitation:   slope			
LsC: Lostcove	  Severe:   slope 	   Severe:   large stones   seepage	  Severe:   no water 	  Limitation:   deep to water   	Limitation:   large stones   slope   droughty	Limitation:   large stones	  Limitation:   large stones   droughty			
LsD: Lostcove	  Severe:   slope 	Severe:   large stones   seepage	  Severe:   no water 	  Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation: large stones slope droughty	Limitation:   large stones   slope	Limitation:   large stones   slope   droughty			
MtC2, MtD2: Montevallo	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe: thin layer	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock   droughty	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock   droughty			
MuC2: Muse	  Severe:   slope	  Moderate:   hard to pack   thin layer	  Severe:   slow refill	  Limitation:   deep to water   	  Limitation:   erodes easily   percs slowly   slope	Limitation:   erodes easily   percs slowly   slope	Limitation:   erodes easily   percs slowly   slope			

	L	imitations for-	-	Features affecting						
Map symbol and soil name	Pond reservoir   areas	Embankments, dikes, and levees	Aquifer-fed   excavated   ponds	   Drainage	Irrigation	Terraces and diversions	Grassed waterways			
MwE: Muskingum	  Severe:   slope	Severe: piping	  Severe:   no water	Limitation: deep to water	Limitation: slope depth to rock droughty	Limitation: erodes easily slope depth to rock	slope			
Chiswell	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe: thin layer	  Severe:   no water 	Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock   droughty	Limitation:   large stones   slope   depth to rock	Limitation:   large stones   slope			
NnC3, NnD3, NnE3: Nonaburg	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   hard to pack   thin layer	  Severe:   no water 	Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock   droughty	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock   droughty			
NoF: Northcove	  Severe:   slope	Severe:  large stones	  Severe:   no water	Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation: large stones slope droughty	  Limitation:   large stones   slope	Limitation: large stones slope droughty			
Po: Pope	  Severe:   seepage	Severe:	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation: flooding	    Favorable 	    Favorable 			
Ro: Rosman	  Severe:   seepage	  Severe:   piping	  Severe:   cutbanks cave	    Limitation:   flooding	Limitation:   flooding	    Favorable   	    Favorable   			
Sb: Sequatchie	  Moderate:   seepage	  Severe:   piping	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water	  Limitation:   slope	  Favorable 	    Favorable 			
ShB: Shelocta	  Moderate:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   piping	  Severe:   no water	  Limitation:   deep to water	  Limitation:   slope	  Favorable 	  Favorable 			
ShC: Shelocta	    Severe:   slope	  Severe:   piping	  Severe:   no water	    Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation:   slope	    Limitation:   slope	    Limitation:   slope			

Table 13.-Water Management-Continued

Table 13.-Water Management-Continued

	L:	imitations for-		Features affecting						
Map symbol and soil name	Pond reservoir   areas	Embankments, dikes, and levees	Aquifer-fed excavated ponds	   Drainage 	   Irrigation	Terraces and diversions	Grassed waterways			
SoD: Soco	  Severe:   seepage   slope	    Severe:   piping   thin layer	    Severe:   no water	    Limitation:   deep to water 	    Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	    Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	    Limitation:   slope   depth to rock			
SoE: Soco	  Severe:   seepage   slope	  Severe:   piping   thin layer	    Severe:   no water 	    Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock			
Cataska	  Severe:   slope   depth to rock	Severe:   seepage	  Severe:   no water 	  Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation: slope droughty	Limitation:   slope   droughty   depth to rock	Limitation: slope droughty			
St: Staser	  Severe:   seepage 	  Severe:   piping	  Moderate:   slow refill   deep to water	  Limitation:   deep to water 	  Limitation:   flooding	  Favorable   	  Favorable 			
Su: Steadman	  Moderate:   seepage 	  Severe:   piping   wetness	  Severe:   slow refill	  Limitation:   flooding 	Limitation:   flooding   wetness	  Limitation:   erodes easily   wetness	  Limitation:   erodes easily 			
TaD2, TaE2: Talbott	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   hard to pack 	  Severe:   no water 	  Limitation:   deep to water 	  Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	  Limitation:   slope   depth to rock			
Rock outcrop.	į		į	į						
ToB2: Townley	  Moderate:   slope   depth to rock	  Severe:   hard to pack 	  Severe:   no water 	  Limitation:   deep to water 	  Limitation:   percs slowly   slope	Limitation:   erodes easily   depth to rock	! -			
ToC2: Townley	  Severe:   slope 	  Severe:   hard to pack   	  Severe:   no water 	  Limitation:   deep to water     	Limitation:   percs slowly   slope 	Limitation:   erodes easily   slope   depth to rock	slope			

	L:	imitations for-			Features a	ffecting	
Map symbol and soil name	Pond reservoir   areas	Embankments, dikes, and levees	Aquifer-fed   excavated   ponds	Drainage	   Irrigation	Terraces and diversions	Grassed waterways
ToD2, ToE2:	 		 		 	 	 
Townley	Severe:   slope 	Slight  -  -	Severe:   no water 	Limitation:   deep to water	Limitation:   percs slowly   slope 	Limitation:   erodes easily   slope   depth to rock	slope
UdE:	İ					İ	İ
Unicoi	Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	Severe:   large stones 	Severe:   no water 	Limitation:   deep to water   	Limitation:   large stones   slope   droughty	Limitation:   large stones   slope   depth to rock	Limitation:   large stones   slope   droughty
Ditney	Severe:   slope   seepage	   piping 	Severe:   no water 	Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock   droughty	Limitation:   slope   depth to rock	Limitation:   slope   depth to roc   droughty
Rock outcrop.	ļ		ļ				ļ
UnE:		<u> </u>			] ]	 	 
Unicoi	Severe:   slope   depth to rock 	Severe: large stones	Severe:   no water 	Limitation:   deep to water 	Limitation:   large stones   slope   droughty	Limitation:   large stones   slope   depth to rock	Limitation:   large stones   slope   droughty
Rock outcrop.	į		į	į		į	į
Ur. Urban land	 		 		   	 	   
W. Water							
	!			!	!	!	!

Table 13.-Water Management-Continued

	<u> </u>	] ]	 	<u> </u>	slope	slope   depth to rock	slope depth to rock
UdE:							
Unicoi	  Severe:	  Severe:	  Severe:	  Limitation:	  Limitation:	  Limitation:	  Limitation:
	slope	large stones	no water	deep to water	large stones	large stones	large stones
	depth to rock				slope droughty	slope depth to rock	slope   droughty
		 	 		aroughty 	depth to rock	aroughty
Ditney	Severe:	Severe:	Severe:	Limitation:	Limitation:	Limitation:	Limitation:
	slope	piping	no water	deep to water	slope depth to rock	slope depth to rock	slope depth to rock
	seepage 	 	 	<u> </u>	depth to rock droughty	depth to rock	depth to rock droughty
		İ	j				
Rock outcrop.							
UnE:		 	 				
Unicoi	Severe:	Severe:	Severe:	Limitation:	Limitation:	Limitation:	Limitation:
	slope	large stones	no water	deep to water	large stones	large stones	large stones
	depth to rock	 	 	 	slope droughty	slope depth to rock	slope droughty
			İ				
Rock outcrop.							
Ur.	 	 	 	 	 	 	 
Urban land			İ				
W. Water		 	 				
		İ	İ				
WaB2:	_		ļ				
Waynesboro	Moderate:	Severe:	Severe:	Limitation:	Limitation:	Favorable	Favorable

no water

Severe:

no water

deep to water

deep to water

Limitation:

slope

slope

Limitation:

Limitation:

slope

Limitation:

slope

hard to pack

hard to pack

piping

Severe:

piping

seepage

slope

Severe:

slope

WaC2, WaD2:

Waynesboro-----

Table 13.-Water Management-Continued

	L:	imitations for-	-	Features affecting						
Map symbol	Pond reservoir	Embankments,	Aquifer-fed			Terraces and	Grassed			
and soil name	areas	dikes, and	excavated	Drainage	Irrigation	diversions	waterways			
	İ	levees	ponds				<u> </u>			
f:	1						1			
Whitesburg	Moderate:	Moderate:	Moderate:	Limitation:	Limitation:	Limitation:	Favorable			
	seepage	piping	slow refill	slope	slope	wetness	1			
	slope	thin layer	deep to water		wetness		1			
	depth to rock	wetness	depth to rock	İ	į	İ	İ			
	İ		İ	İ	į	İ	İ			

Soil Surve

Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties

(Absence of an entry indicates that the data were not estimated)

Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture	Classif 	icati	on	Fragi	nents		centage	_	_	  Liquid	   Plas
and soil name		į	Unified	A	ASHTO	>10	3-10				!	limit	
		ļ	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			inches	4	10	40	200	<u> </u>	index
	<u>In</u>			!		Pct	Pct				ļ	Pct	!
BrB2, BrC2, BrD2:			 										!
Braddock	0-5	  Loam	CL, CL-ML	  A-4		0	l   0-5	  85-100	80-100	   65-85	  45-75	  16-30	   3-10
Diadaoon	5-60	Gravelly clay	CH, CL	A-7		0		80-100					15-25
		loam, gravelly	i '	i		İ					İ	İ	i
		clay, clay	ļ	[							ļ	ļ	[
		!		!								!	ļ
CaD, CaE: Cataska	0-2	  Channery silt	  GC-GM, CL-ML	   a _ 4		0-2	2_15	  55-80	55_75	   45-70	140-60	112-27	   2-10
Cacaska	0-2	l loam	GC-GM, CL-ML	A-4		0-2	3-13	55-60	33-73	<del>1</del> 3-70	1 40-00	12-27	2-10 
	2-12	1	GC, GC-GM	A-1,	A-2	0-2	10-25	15-50	10-50	10-40	10-35	12-27	2-10
j		silt loam,	j	į į		j		j i		İ	j	j	İ
		extremely	!	ļ		ļ					ļ	ļ	!
		channery silt		!								ļ	!
	12-40	Toam  Weathered	l I	1				 			l		
		bedrock	İ	i							i	i	i
	>40	Unweathered	j	į		i		i i			j	j	j
		bedrock	ļ	[							ļ	ļ	[
G-8											ļ	!	!
CcE: Cataska	0-2	  Channery silt	  GC-GM, CL-ML	   a_4		0-2	   3-15	  55-80	55-75	  45-70	  40=60	  12-27	   2-10
Cacaska	0-2	loam	GC-GM, CH-MH	A-4 		0-2	3-13	33-60   	33-73	<del>1</del> 5-70	140-00	12-27	2-10 
	2-12	Very channery	GC, GC-GM	A-2,	A-1	0-2	10-25	15-50	10-50	10-40	10-35	12-27	2-10
		silt loam,	İ	İ		İ		j i			İ	İ	İ
		extremely		!								ļ	ļ
		channery silt	ļ !	!									!
	12-40	Toam  Weathered	l I								l		¦
		bedrock	İ	i							i	i	i
j	>40	Unweathered	j	İ		j		j j			j	j	j
		bedrock	!										!
Sylco	0-2	  Channery silt	  CL-ML, GC-GM			0-2	   3-15	  55-80	55-75	  45-70	  40-60	  12-27	   2-10
BY100	0-2	l loam	CL-ML, GC-GM	A-4		0-2	3-13	55-60	33-73	<del>1</del> 3-70	1 40-00	12-27	2-10 
	2-25	Very channery	GC, GC-GM	A-1,	A-2	0-2	10-25	15-50	10-50	10-40	10-35	12-27	2-10
j		silt loam,	j	į į		j		j i		İ	j	j	İ
		extremely	!	ļ		ļ					ļ	ļ	!
		channery silt		!								ļ	!
	25-33	loam  Bedrock	 					l I		 			 
	>33	Unweathered											
		bedrock	i	i		i	i	i		İ	i	i	i

Table 14.-Engineering Index Properties-Continued

			Classif	ication	Frag	ments		rcentage	_	_		[
Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture						sieve n	mber			Plas-
and soil name			Unified	AASHTO	>10	3-10		!		!	limit	ticity
						inches	4	10	40	200	ļ	index
	<u>In</u>			<u> </u>	Pct	Pct	!	!	ļ :	!	Pct	!
<b>G</b> -							!			!	!	!
Co:	0 11	  Loam	lvr av	  A-2, A-4	0	   0			   CE 0E	145 75	  16-30	   3-10
Comps	U-II	Loam	ML, SM, SC-SM, CL-ML		"	0	  90-100	100-100	65 <b>-</b> 65	145-75	10-30	3-10
	11-62	Loam, sandy		A-2, A-4	0	l 0	  90-100	  80-100	l  60-90	30-80	12-24	  NP-7
		loam	ML, CL-ML	i,	'	i					i	
				j	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
DcB2, DcC2, DcD2:				ĺ			ĺ				İ	ĺ
Decatur	0-8	1	, -	A-4	0						18-30	
	8-60	Silty clay	CT	A-6, A-7	0	0-3	90-100	80-100	75-95	65-90	30-48	11-21
		loam, silty	l i							!	!	
	l I	clay, clay	] 	 			l I	l I	l I	!		l I
DeB2, DeC2, DeD2:			] ]	! I	1	i i	l I	l İ	l İ			l I
Dewey	0-8	Silt loam	CL, CL-ML	  A-4	i 0	0-3	90-100	80-100	75-95	65-85	18-30	4-10
-	8-20	Clay loam,	CL, CL-ML	A-6	0	j o	90-100	80-100	75-95	70-85	24-34	7-13
	İ	silty clay	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
		loam		ļ	ļ	[	ļ	[		ļ	ļ	ļ
	20-60	1	CT	A-7, A-6	0	0-2	90-100	80-100	75-95	70-85	30-48	11-21
		clay	l i							!	!	
DhD:	l I		] 	 			l I	l I	l I	!		l I
Ditney	l   0-9	Sandy loam	SM, SC-SM	  A-2-4, A-4	0	0-6	I   90-100	I 180-95	I   50-70	  25-45	11-23	  NP-7
2201102		! -	CL-ML, ML,	A-2-4, A-4	0	•			•	•	11-23	
		loam, fine	SC-SM, SM	j	İ	i	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
	İ	sandy loam	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
	29-36		!	A-2-4, A-4	0	15-30	65-100	60-90	45-75	25-60	11-23	NP-7
		loam, cobbly	SC-SM, SM		!	!	!	!	ļ	!	!	!
	l l >36	loam Unweathered	 	 			 	 	 			 
	/30 	bedrock	 	 				 	 			
				i	i	i	i	i	İ	i	i	i
Du:		İ		İ	İ	i	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
Dunning	0-12	Silty clay loam	CL	A-6	j o	j o	90-100	85-100	80-95	70-90	30-43	11-18
	12-60	Silty clay,	CH, CL	A-7		0-5	75-100	60-100	55-95	50-90	43-66	21-39
		clay, silty					ļ			!	!	ļ
		clay loam	l i	 			!		 	!		!
Dv:	 		] 	! 	1	 		 	I I			
Dunning	0-12		CL-ML, CL	  A-4	0	0-3	90-100	80-100	  75-95	65-85	18-30	4-10
- J		1	CH, CL	A-7							43-66	
	İ	clay, silty	İ	j	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ	İ	j
		clay loam		ļ	[	[	ļ	[		[	ļ	ļ

Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties—Continued

Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture	Classif	ication	Fragi	nents		rcentage sieve n	e passii umber	ng	  Liquid	   Plas-
and soil name			Unified	l aashto	>10	3-10		I		<u> </u>	limit	
					inches	inches	4	10	40	200		index
	<u>In</u>	İ	ĺ	İ	Pct	Pct		l	ĺ		Pct	ĺ
		ļ	ļ	ļ				ļ				ļ
EtB, EtC: Etowah	   0-14	  T ====	   CT 14T 14T			   0			  65-85		114 20	   3-10
Etowan	U-14	Loam	CL-ML, ML, SM, SC-SM	A-4 	0 	] U	  90-100	  80-100	65-65 	45-75 	14-30 	3-10
	14-24 	Silty clay   loam, clay   loam, silt	CL	  A-6 	0	0   	90-100	  80-100   	  75-95   	70-85 	  27-40 	9-16   
	   24-60 	loam  Silty clay   loam, clay   loam	  -  CT	  A-6, A-7   	   0 	   0 	  90-100 	  80-100   	  75-95   	  70-85   	  30-43   	  11-18   
FuC2, FuD2, FuE2:		 	 	 	 	 	l I	l I	 	 	 	 
Fullerton	0-16	Gravelly silt	GC-GM, CL,	A-4	0	0-5	65-85	55-75	45-70	40-65	18-30	4-10
		loam	CL-ML, GC	ļ				ļ				
	16-60 	Gravelly clay,   gravelly silty   clay	SM, GM, ML   	A-7   	0   	0-10   	60-85   	55-75   	50-70   	45-65   	34-49   	13-21   
HnB, HnC:			 	l I	 	 	l I	! !	 	l I	 	<u> </u>
Holston	0-18	Loam	SC-SM, CL-ML,	  A-4 	0	0 	90-100	  80-100 	  65-85 	45-75	14-30	3-10
	18-38 	Clay loam,   sandy clay   loam	CL, SC-SM   	A-4   	0   	0-5   	90-100   	80-100   	75-95   	40-90   	25-38   	8-15   
	38-60	Clay loam,   clay, gravelly   clay loam	GC, CL, SC	A-7, A-2, A-6   	0	0-15   	60-100   	55-100   	50-100   	30-80   	30-48   	11-21   
JcD:						 		 				i
Junaluska		Silt loam  Channery silty   clay loam,   silty clay	CL-ML, CL  CL, SC 	A-4  A-6 	0 0 1				75-95  45-95 			4-10   7-15 
	   29-60 	loam, loam  Weathered   bedrock	   	   	   	   	   	   	   	   	   	   

Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties—Continued

Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture	Classif	icatio	on	Fragments		Percentage passing sieve number				  Liquid	   Plas-
and soil name		i I	Unified	AA	SHTO	>10  inches	3-10	4	   10	   40	200	limit	ticity
	<u>In</u>	İ	İ	İ		Pct	Pct	İ	İ	İ	İ	Pct	İ
JcD:		İ	İ	 		 			 	 			
Cataska	0-2	Channery silt	GC-GM, CL-ML	A-4		0-2	3-15	  55-80 	  55-75 	  45-70 	40-60	12-27	2-10
	2-12	Very channery   silt loam,   extremely   channery silt   loam	GC, GC-GM	A-2,     	A-1	0-2     	10-25       	15-50       	10-50       	10-40       	10-35       	12-27       	2-10     
	12-40	  Weathered   bedrock	j	į		i		j	i	j	j	j	i
	>40	Unweathered   bedrock	 	 		 		 	 	 	 		 
LeB:			İ			! 			! 	 			İ
Leadvale	0-9 9-31	Silt loam  Silt loam,   silty clay   loam	CL-ML, CL  ML, CL-ML, CL 	A-4  A-6, 	A-4	0   0 	0 0	90-100  90-100 	80-100  80-100 				4-10   7-14 
	31-55	loam  Clay, silty   clay, silty   clay loam	  CL 	  A-7, 	A-6	   0 	   0 	  90-100   	  80-100   	  75-95   	  70-85   	34-50	  13-22   
	55-62	Bedrock	ļ	į		ļ				ļ		ļ	
LnB, LnC, LnD:				 		 			 	 			 
Lonon	0-13	Gravelly loam	ML, SM	A-4		0-1		65-85					3-10
	13-60	Gravelly loam,   gravelly clay   loam, clay   loam	CL, SC     	A-4,     	A-6	0-5     	0-10     	70-100     	60-90     	50-80     	35-70     	23-38     	7-15     
LsC, LsD:			İ			! 			! 	 			İ
Lostcove	0-8	Very cobbly   loam	GM, SC,	A-4,	A-2	0-5	25-45	65 <b>-</b> 85	45-75 	40-65	30-50	16-30	3-10
	8-31	Totam   Very gravelly   clay loam,   very cobbly   clay loam,   very gravelly   loam	GC-GM, GC, SC-SM, SC	   A-6,     	A-2, A-4	0-5     	10-60     	50-80     	  35-70     	30-65     	25-55       	23-38       	7-15     
	31-72	loam  Very cobbly   loam,   extremely   cobbly loam	SC, SC-SM, GC-GM, GC	  A-2,     	A-4	   0-10   	30-60	  35-75     	  25-65     	  20-55     	  15-45       	  16-30     	   3-10   

Map symbol	Depth	   USDA texture	Classif:	ication	Frag	ments		rcentag sieve n	e passi: umber	ng	  Liquid	   Plas-
and soil name		İ	Unified	AASHTO	>10  inches	3-10	4	   10	   40	200	limit	ticity
	<u>In</u>	İ			Pct	Pct	İ	İ	İ	İ	Pct	
MtC2, MtD2:			 	 		 	 	 	 	 	 	 
Montevallo	0-5	Channery silt	CL-ML, GC-GM	A-4 	0-2	0-5 	60-80 	55-75 	45-70 	40-60 	12-27	2-10
	5-17	Very channery   silt loam,   extremely   channery loam	GC-GM, SC-SM, SC, GC	   A-6, A-1-b,   A-2, A-4 	0	0-5   	35-70   	  20-50   	  15-45   	  15-40   	  12-27   	2-10   
	17-38	Weathered   bedrock	 	 		i	ļ	 	 	 	ļ	 
	>38	Bedrock	ļ	ļ		į	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
MuC2:	0-14	    Silt loam	    ML, CL, CL-ML	    A-6, A-4	0	     0	    80-100	    70-100	    60-100	    55-95	    20-40	     2-20
	14-60	Clay, silty   clay 	CL 	A-6, A-7 	j 0	0   	90-100   	80-100   	75-95   	70-85   	48-66 	25-39   
MwE:												İ
Muskingum	0-3	Channery loam	CL, ML, SC,	A-4 	0	0-10 	60-80 	55 <b>-</b> 75 	50 <b>-</b> 65 	35 <b>-</b> 55 	14-30 	3-10 
	3-29	Loam, channery silt loam, channery loam	CL	A-4 	0	0-15	65-95 	55-90 	50-85 	40-75 	22-30	6-11 
	29-40	Weathered   bedrock	 	 		   	   	   	   	   	   	   
Chiswell	0-2	Channery loam	SM, CL, ML,	  A-4 	0-2	   2-10 	  60-80 	  55-75 	  50-65 	  35-55 	  14-30 	   3-10 
	2-16	Very channery   loam,   extremely   channery silt   loam	GC, SC       	A-2, A-6     	0-2	0-20     	30-65     	20-50     	15-45     	10-40     	23-30     	7-11     
	16-60	Weathered   bedrock	 	   		   	   	   	   	   	 	   
NnC3, NnD3, NnE3: Nonaburg	0-6	  Channery silt   loam	  CL-ML, GC-GM 	  A-4 	0-2	   0-5 	  60-80	  55-75 	  45-70 	  40-60	  12-27 	   2-10
	6-14	Channery silty clay, silty	CH, CL	  A-7 	0	   0-5 	70-100	  55-90 	  50-85 	  45-80 	  44-66 	22-40
	14-41	clay  Weathered  bedrock	   	   		 		   	   	   	 	
	>41	Bedrock	i	j	į	i	ļ	i	i	i	ļ	i

Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties—Continued

Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties—Continued

Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture	Classif	ication	Frag	ments		rcentage sieve n	_	_	  Liquid	   Plas-
and soil name		İ	Unified	AASHTO	>10  inches	3-10  inches	4	10	40	200	limit	ticity index
	<u>In</u>				Pct	Pct	İ		İ		Pct	İ
NoF:		ļ							ļ ļ			! !
Northcove	0-5 	Stony sandy   loam	SM, SC-SM 	A-1-b, A-2-4 	15-25 	5 <b>-1</b> 5 	75-90 	65-85 	40-60 	15-35 	12-23 	NP-7 
	5-40	Very cobbly sandy loam, very cobbly loam, very flaggy loam	GC-GM, GM, SC-SM, SM	A-2-4, A-4, A-1-b	5-15     	20-60     	60-90     	50-85     	30-70     	20-45	12-30     	NP-11     
	40-72		GC-GM, GM, SM	A-2-4, A-1-b	10-20           	  25-65         	50-85         	35-60	20-50	15-30           	12-23             	NP = 7           
Po:	0.4									05.45	111 02	
Pope	0-4 4-32	Sandy loam  Cobbly sandy   loam, sandy   loam, fine		A-4, A-2  A-2, A-4 	0   0-5 	0   0-30 					11-23  12-23 	
	32-60	sandy loam Cobbly loamy sand, loamy sand	  SM, SC-SM   	  A-1, A-2   	   0-5   	   0-30   	  75-100     	  65-95   	  40-70   	  15-30   	  12-23   	  NP-7   
Ro:	0-19	  Sandy loam	SM, SC-SM	  A-4, A-2	j   0	j   0	   00-100	     05_100	55-70	25_45	12-23	ND-7
ROSMAII	19-49	Sandy loam,   fine sandy   loam, loam	SC-SM, ML, SM	!	0						12-23	
	49-64	Loam, loam  Loamy sand,   gravelly loamy   sand	SM, SC-SM	  A-1, A-2-4 	   0 	   0-5 	  70-100   	  60-95   	  45-70   	  15-25   	8-20   	  NP-7 
Sb:		ļ	ļ						 			ļ ļ
Sequatchie		Loam  Clay loam,   loam, fine   sandy loam	CL, CL-ML, ML  CL, CL-ML 	A-4  A-4, A-6 	0   0 	0-5   0-5 	85-100  85-100 			50-75  40-80 		3-11   7-15 
	51-62	Sandy loam,   loam, fine   sandy loam	SM, ML, CL-ML, CL	  A-4, A-2   	0   	0-10	  85-100   	  80-95   	50-80   	30-65	13-30	   2-11   

Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture	Classif	icati	on	Fragi	ments		rcentage	_	_	  Liquid	   Plas-
and soil name	2010		Unified	—	ASHTO	>10	3-10	<u> </u>	l	 	I		ticity
		İ	İ	i		inches	inches	4	10	40	200		index
	In					Pct	Pct					Pct	
		İ		ĺ		i					ĺ	i	ĺ
ShB, ShC:		[	ļ			ļ	[				[	[	ļ
Shelocta	0-10	Silt loam		A-4		0-2	0-5				65-85		3-11
	10-48	Silty clay   loam, channery	CT	A-6		0-5	0-5	70-95	60-90 	55-90 	50-85	30-40	11-16
		silty clay	l I	 		-	 		 	l I			
		loam	İ	i		i	i		İ	İ	i	i	i
	48-60	Channery silt	CL, GC	A-4,	A-6	0-5	0-5	65-85	55-75	50-70	40-60	20-38	5-15
		loam, channery	ļ	ļ		į.	ļ				İ	İ	ļ
		silty clay		ļ		ļ	!				!	!	ļ
		loam, channery	 	 		-	!		 	l i	!		!
		TOAM	l I	! !		-	! 		! 	 			
SoD:		İ	İ	i		i					i		i
Soco	0-2	Loam		A-4		j 0-2		85-100					NP-7
	2-34	Loam, sandy	SM, CL-ML, ML	A-4		0-2	0-5	85-100	80-100	50-90	30-70	11-23	NP-7
		loam, fine				!					!		
	34-50	sandy loam	 	l I					 	 			
	34 30	bedrock	i	i i		i	i		! 	! 	ŀ	¦	¦
			İ	İ		i	İ	i	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
SoE:		[	ļ	ļ		į.	ļ				İ	İ	ļ
Soco	0-2	Loam		A-4		0-2		85-100					NP-7
	2-34	Loam, sandy loam, fine	ML, SM, CL-ML	A – 4 		0-2	0-5 	182-100	80 <b>-</b> 100	50-90 	30-70	11-23	NP-7
		sandy loam		ľ		i	i		! 	! 	i	¦	¦
	34-50	Weathered	i	İ		j	i		i	i	j	i	i
		bedrock	ļ	ļ		į.	ļ				İ	İ	ļ
Cataska	0-2	   Chammanna	CT NT CC CN			0-2	2 15	  55-80	   55-75	  45-70	  40-60	  12-27	   2-10
Cataska	0-2	Channery silt   loam	CL-ML, GC-GM	A-4 		0-2	3-T2	55 <b>-</b> 80	55 <b>-</b> / 5 	45-70 	40-60 	12-27	2-10
	2-12	Very channery	GC-GM, GC	  A-1,	A-2	0-2	10-25	15-50	10-50	10-40	10-35	12-27	2-10
		silt loam,	İ	j		į	İ	j	j	j	İ	j	İ
		extremely	!	ļ		ļ							
		channery silt				!							
	12-40	Toam  Weathered	 	l I			 		l I	l I			
		bedrock	İ	i		i	i		İ	İ	i	i	i
	>40	Unweathered	i	İ		j	i		i	i	j	i	i
		bedrock				ļ	ļ				İ	İ	
St:													
Staser	0-36	I  Toam	  ML, CL-ML, CL	I   A-6 -	A-4	0	l I 0	  90-100	l   80-100	l   65-90	  50-75	113-30	   3-11
		Loam, fine		A-4,		0		90-100					5-11
		sandy loam,	SC, SC-SM	j		j	İ	j	j	j	į	j	j
		silt loam	ļ	!		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
		I	I	l		I				l			

Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties—Continued

Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties—Continued

Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture	Classif 	icati	on	Fragi	ments		rcentage sieve nu	_	_	  Liquid	   Plas-
and soil name	_	į	Unified	A	ASHTO	>10	3-10		I	1	I	limit	
				i		inches	inches	4	10	40	200	i .	index
	<u>In</u>	į		İ		Pct	Pct		İ		İ	Pct	
Su:			 				 	 	 	 	l	] 	 
Steadman	0-9	Silt loam	ML, CL, CL-ML	A-4,	A-6	0	j 0	90-100	80-100	75-95	65-85	16-30	3-11
	9-60	Silt loam,   silty clay   loam, very   fine sandy   loam	CL-ML, CL	A-4,       	A-6	0	0       	90-100       	80-100       	75-95       	65-90       	23-40	7-17       
TaD2, TaE2:			 	l		1	i	i		! 	i		i
Talbott	0-6	Silty clay loam		A-6,	A-7	0						35-48	
	6-32	Clay, silty clay	CH, CL 	A-7		0	0-10 	95-100 	90-100 	85-95 	75 <b>-</b> 95 	48-66 	25-39 
	>32	Unweathered   bedrock	 	į Į			 	 	 	 			 
Rock outcrop.		   	   				   	   	   	   		   	   
ToB2, ToC2, ToD2:		   	   				   	i I	i I	   			 
Townley	0-5	Silt loam	CL, CL-ML, ML			j 0						16-30	3-11
	5-30	Clay, silty clay, silty clay loam	CL, CH	A-7 		0	0-2 	85-100 	80-100 	70 <b>-</b> 95 	60-90 	43-66 	21-39
	30-50	Weathered   bedrock					   	   	   				   
UdE:			 				 	! 	 	 	1		l I
Unicoi	0-2	Very gravelly   sandy loam	GM, SM, GC-GM, SC-SM		A-1-b	j 0	0-10 	65-85 	55-75 	35-55 	15-30 	12-23	NP-7 
	2-12	Very gravelly   sandy loam,   very gravelly   loam, very   cobbly sandy   loam	SM, GC-GM, GM, SC-SM		A-1-b	0-10	5-50       	60-75       	40-65       	30-50     	20-35         	12-25         	NP-8     
	>12	Unweathered bedrock	   	   			   	   	   	   		   	   

Table 14.—Engineering Index Properties—Continued

			Classif	ication	Fragi	ments		rcentag	_	_		
Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture						sieve n	umber		Liquid	•
and soil name			Unified	AASHTO	>10	3-10			40		limit	
		ļ	<u> </u>			inches	4	10	40	200	<del> </del>	index
	<u>In</u>				Pct	Pct	!	!		!	Pct	
UdE:	 			! !			 	 		1		
Ditney	l   0-9	Sandy loam	SC-SM, SM	A-4, A-2-4	0	0-6	90-100	  80-95	  50-70	25-45	11-23	NP-7
-	9-29	Loam, sandy	CL-ML, ML,	A-2-4, A-4	i o	0-5	90-100			•	11-23	
	İ	loam, fine	SC-SM, SM	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	i	i	İ
	ĺ	sandy loam	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	ĺ	İ	İ	İ	İ
	29-36	Loam, sandy	CL-ML, SM,	A-2-4, A-4	0	15-30	65-100	60-90	45-75	25-60	11-23	NP-7
		loam, cobbly	SC-SM, ML	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
		loam			!	!	!	!	ļ	!		ļ
	>36	Unweathered   bedrock										
	 	Dearock			-	 	 	 		1		
Rock outcrop.				İ		į	į	į	ļ	į		ļ
UnE:	l İ			] 		l I	! 	 	 	1		l I
Unicoi	0-2	Very gravelly	SC-SM, SM,	A-2, A-1-b	j 0	0-10	65-85	55-75	35-55	15-30	12-23	NP-7
	j	sandy loam	GM, GC-GM	İ	j	İ	İ	j	İ	j	İ	j
	2-12	Very gravelly	SM, SC-SM,	A-2, A-1-b	0-10	5-50	60-75	40-65	30-50	20-35	12-25	NP-8
		sandy loam,	GC-GM, GM	ļ			ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ
		very gravelly								-		
	 	loam, very cobbly sandy			-		!	 		-		
	! 	loam			-		¦	 	ŀ	1	1	<u> </u>
	   >12	Unweathered		i								
		bedrock		į	İ	į	į	į	į	į	į	į
Rock outcrop.				<u> </u>		 	 	 	 			 
Ur.	 											
Urban land	 			 	-	 	 	 		1		<u> </u>
orban rana	İ			İ	i	i	i	i	i	i		i
W.	İ	İ	İ	j	i	İ	İ	İ	İ	i	İ	İ
Water				İ	İ	ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ	İ	İ	ļ
WaB2, WaC2, WaD2:	 											
Waynesboro		Loam	CL, CL-ML, ML	   A = 4	0	l   0-5	  85-100	  80-100	  70-95	  50-75	113-30	   3-11
way meaboro		Clay, sandy		A-7, A-6	0						30-42	
		clay, clay			1	• •						/
	İ	loam	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ	İ

Table 14.-Engineering Index Properties-Continued

				Class	sifi	icati	on	Fragi	ments	Per	rcentage	e passi	ng		
Map symbol	Depth	USDA texture									sieve n	mber		Liquid	Plas-
and soil name			1	Unified		A	ASHTO	>10	3-10	1				limit	ticity
		<u> </u>	Ĺ			<u> </u>		inches	inches	4	10	40	200	<u> </u>	index
	<u>In</u>		İ					Pct	Pct	İ	İ	İ	İ	Pct	ļ
Wf:						 			 	 	 	 	 	 	l I
Whitesburg	0-4	Silt loam	CL,	CL-ML,	ML	A-6,	A-4	j o	j o	85-100	80-100	75-95	65-85	16-30	3-11
	4-53	Silty clay	CL			A-6		j o	0	90-100	80-100	75-95	60-90	30-38	11-15
		loam, silt	İ			ĺ		1	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ		ĺ	ĺ
		loam, clay				ĺ		1	ĺ		ĺ				
		loam						1							
	53-60	Weathered													
		bedrock						1							
										1					

Table 15.—Selected Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soils

(Entries under "Erosion factors--T" apply to the entire profile. Absence of an entry indicates that data were not estimated)

Map symbol	 	 	   Moist	   Permea-	  Available	   Linear	   Soil	  Organic	!	on fact	tors
and soil name	Depth	Clay   	bulk density	bility (Ksat)	water capacity		reaction	matter	   Kw	   Kf	   T 
	<u>In</u>	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	Pct	рн	Pct	İ	İ	İ
BrB2, BrC2, BrD2: Braddock			    1.20-1.50  1.20-1.50		  0.14-0.19  0.12-0.17	•					     5 
CaD, CaE: Cataska	2-12  12-40	5-27	1.30-1.45		0.10-0.14  0.04-0.09  0.00-0.01  0.00-0.01	0.0-2.9		0.5-2.0			   2   
CcE: Cataska	2-12  12-40	5-27	1.30-1.45		  0.10-0.14  0.04-0.09  0.00-0.01  0.00-0.01	0.0-2.9	3.6-5.5			.32 	   2     
Sylco	2-25 25-33	5-27	1.30-1.45	2-6 0.01-2	0.10-0.14  0.04-0.09  0.00-0.01  0.00-0.00	0.0-2.9	3.6-5.5 3.6-5.5 	0.5-2.0		.32	   2     
Co: Combs			  1.20-1.50  1.20-1.50		  0.12-0.20  0.12-0.20		   5.6-7.3   5.6-7.3				     5 
DcB2, DcC2, DcD2: Decatur			  1.25-1.55  1.20-1.55	•	  0.12-0.17  0.14-0.17		   4.5-6.0   4.5-6.0				     5 
DeB2, DeC2, DeD2: Dewey	8-20	27-40	  1.25-1.55  1.45-1.55  1.45-1.55	0.6-2	  0.12-0.17  0.12-0.18  0.12-0.17	3.0-5.9		0.0-0.5	.24	.24	     5 
DhD: Ditney	9-29 29-36	5-18 5-18	  1.50-1.65  1.50-1.65  1.50-1.65 	2-6 2-6	  0.10-0.15  0.10-0.15  0.05-0.13  0.00-0.00	0.0-2.9	3.6-5.5 3.6-5.5	1.0-3.0	.24	.24	   2     
Du: Dunning			•	•	  0.19-0.23  0.14-0.18	•	   5.6-7.8   5.6-7.8	!	   .37   .28	   .37   .28	   5 
Dv: Dunning			  1.25-1.55  1.40-1.65	!	  0.12-0.17  0.14-0.18	!	4.5-6.0 5.6-7.8	!	.32 .28	.32 .28	     5 
EtB, EtC: Etowah	14-24	23-35	  1.20-1.50  1.35-1.50  1.40-1.55	0.6-2	  0.12-0.20  0.16-0.20  0.16-0.20	0.0-2.9	4.5-5.5	  1.0-5.0  0.0-0.5  0.0-0.5	.32	   .24   .32   .32	     5   

Table 15.—Selected Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soils—Continued

Map symbol	 	 	   Moist	   Permea-	  Available	   Linear	   Soil	  Organic	!	on fact	tors
and soil name	Depth	Clay   	bulk density	bility (Ksat)	water capacity		reaction	matter	   Kw	   Kf	     T 
	<u>In</u>	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	Pct	рн	Pct			   
FuC2, FuD2, FuE2: Fullerton			    1.45-1.55  1.45-1.55		  0.10-0.16  0.10-0.14	0.0-2.9	     4.5-5.5   4.5-5.5	:		     .32   .24	     5 
HnB, HnC: Holston	18-38	20-35	  1.20-1.50  1.40-1.55  1.40-1.60	0.6-2	0.13-0.20	0.0-2.9	4.5-5.5		.32	.32	     5   
JcD: Junaluska		18-35	  1.25-1.55  1.30-1.65 	•	  0.12-0.17  0.12-0.18  0.00-0.01	0.0-2.9	!	  0.5-2.0  0.5-1.0 	!	.24	     3 
Cataska	0-2 2-12 12-40 >40	5-27 	!	•					•	.32	   2     
LeB: Leadville	9-31 31-55	18-35	1.30-1.50 1.45-1.55	0.6-2		0.0-2.9   0.0-2.9   3.0-5.9 	4.5-5.5	0.0-0.5	.43	.43	     4   
LnB, LnC, LnD: Lonon			    1.35-1.60  1.30-1.50	•	  0.14-0.20  0.09-0.15	•		  0.5-2.0  0.0-0.5			     5 
LsC, LsD: Lostcove	8-31	18-35	  1.30-1.50  1.30-1.65  1.30-1.65	0.6-2	!	0.0-2.9	3.5-6.0	!	.10	.24 .28 .24	   5   
MtC2, MtD2: Montevallo	   0-5   5-17  17-38   >38	7-27 	1		  0.10-0.14  0.02-0.12   					.32	   2     
MuC2: Muse					  0.16-0.22  0.12-0.17			  1.0-3.0  0.0-0.5		     .37   .24	     4 
MwE: Muskingum		18-27	  1.20-1.40  1.20-1.50 	•	  0.12-0.18  0.08-0.14 	!		  1.0-3.0  0.0-0.5 		.37 .32	   3 
Chiswell		18-27	  1.20-1.40  1.20-1.60 	•	  0.12-0.18  0.04-0.10 	•	!	  1.0-3.0  0.0-0.5 	!	   .37   .17 	   2   

Table 15.—Selected Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soils—Continued

Map symbol	 	 	   Moist	Permea-	  Available	   Linear	   Soil	  Organic	!	on fac	tors
and soil name	Depth	Clay   	bulk density	bility (Ksat)	water capacity		reaction	matter 	   Kw	   Kf 	   T
	<u>In</u>	Pct	g/cc	<u>In/hr</u>	<u>In/in</u>	Pct	рН	Pct	İ	İ	İ
NnC3, NnD3, NnE3:	 	 			 	 	 	 	 	 	
Nonaburg	•		1.30-1.40		0.10-0.14			1.0-3.0		.32	1
	6-14  14-41	!	1.55-1.65  	0.2-0.6	0.09-0.14	3.0-5.9	6.1-7.8 	0.0-0.5	.17	.24	
	>41	!									
NoF:						ĺ	ĺ				
Northcove	0-5	5-18	  1.30-1.50	2-6	0.06-0.11	0.0-2.9	3.5-6.0	0.5-2.0	.10	.28	5
	5-40		1.40-1.60	2-6	0.06-0.11		3.5-6.0			.28	İ
	40-72	5-18 	1.40-1.60  	2-6	0.03-0.05	0.0-2.9	3.5-6.0	0.0-0.5	.10	.17	
Po:											
Pope	!		1.20-1.40		0.10-0.16	•	3.6-5.5	!		.28	5
	4-32  32-60		1.30-1.60   1.30-1.60		0.10-0.18		3.6-5.5 3.6-5.5	!	.28 .28	.28	l
		3 13		0.00		0.0 2.3	3.0 3.3		•20	•20	
Ro:				0.6							_
Rosman	19-49		1.25-1.40   1.25-1.50	2-6 2-6	0.15-0.24 0.10-0.18		5.1-6.5			.28	5
	49-64		1.35-1.60	6-20	0.02-0.10			0.0-0.5		1 .17	
Sb:											
Sequatchie	0-17	  10-25	  1.50-1.65	0.6-6	0.12-0.18	   0.0-2.9	4.5-5.5	  1.0-3.0	.32	.32	l I 5
			1.55-1.70		0.15-0.20	•	4.5-5.5	!	.24	.28	ì
	51-62	7-27	1.55-1.70	0.6-6	0.09-0.14	0.0-2.9	4.5-5.5	ļ	.24	.24	İ
ShB, ShC:	 	 			 	 	 	! 	 	 	
Shelocta					0.16-0.22		4.5-5.5			.32	3
			1.30-1.55		0.10-0.20		4.5-5.5			.32	
	48-60	15-34 	1.30-1.55  	0.6-6	0.08-0.16 	0.0-2.9 	4.5-5.5	0.0-0.5 	.17 	.28 	 
SoD:		İ			j		į	<u> </u>			
Soco	0-2		1.35-1.60   1.35-1.60	2-6 2-6	0.14-0.22		3.5-5.5			.28	3
	34-50			0.2-0.6	0.00-0.01						
SoE:								 	 		
Soco	0-2	7-25	  1.35-1.60	2-6	0.14-0.22	0.0-2.9	3.5-5.5	1.0-8.0	.28	.28	3
	2-34	5-18	1.35-1.60	2-6	0.12-0.20	0.0-2.9	3.5-5.5	0.5-1.0	.32	.32	İ
	34-50			0.2-0.6	0.00-0.01						
Cataska	0-2	5-27	  1.30-1.40	2-6	0.10-0.14	0.0-2.9	3.6-5.5	1.0-3.0	.20	.32	2
	!	!	1.30-1.45		0.04-0.09		!	0.5-2.0	!	.32	ļ
	12-40	!		0.01-2	0.00-0.01				 		
	>40 	 		0.01-2	0.00-0.01	 	 	 	 		
St:					<u> </u>		j				į _
Staser					0.15-0.22	•				•	5
	30-72	115-27	1.40-1.60  	0.0-6		0.0-2.9	5.6-7.3	 	•28 	.32	
Su:	į	İ			į	İ	į	İ	į	İ	į
Steadman			1.20-1.40   1.20-1.40		0.20-0.26	•	5.1-7.8			.32	5
	1 3-00	1-0-35	1.20-1.40	0.0-2	0.17-0.22	0.0-2.9	5.1-7.8	10.0-0.5	.3/	.37	!

Table 15.—Selected Physical and Chemical Properties of the Soils—Continued

Map symbol	 	 	   Moist	   Permea-	  Available	Linear	   Soil	  Organic	!	on fact	tors
and soil name	Depth	Clay 	bulk density	bility (Ksat)	water  capacity 	extensi- bility	reaction	matter   	Kw	   Kf	   T
	<u>In</u>	Pct	g/cc	In/hr	In/in	Pct	рН	Pct			İ
TaD2, TaE2: Talbott	6-32			•	  0.10-0.16  0.10-0.14 				•	.32 .24	
Rock outcrop.					 				 		
ToB2, ToC2: Townley	5-30		1.30-1.60		  0.12-0.14  0.12-0.18 			  0.5-2.0  0.0-0.5 		   .37   .32 	     3 
ToD2, ToE2: Townley	5-30		1.30-1.60		  0.12-0.14  0.12-0.18 						     3 
UdE: Unicoi	2-12	5-20	1.45-1.60	2-6	  0.06-0.09  0.04-0.09  0.00-0.00	0.0-2.9		  0.5-2.0  0.5-2.0 	•	.24	     1   
Ditney	9-29 29-36	5-18	1.50-1.65 1.50-1.65	2-6 2-6	  0.10-0.15  0.10-0.15  0.05-0.13  0.00-0.00	0.0-2.9 0.0-2.9	3.6-5.5	1.0-3.0	.24	   .24   .24   .24	İ
Rock outcrop.	 	 			 				 		 
UnE: Unicoi	2-12		1.45-1.60	2-6	    0.06-0.09  0.04-0.09  0.00-0.00	0.0-2.9		  0.5-2.0  0.5-2.0 	!	!	     1 
Rock outcrop.	 	 			 		 	 	 	 	 
Ur. Urban land	   	   			   		 		   	   	   
W. Water	   	   			   		 		   		   
WaB2, WaC2, WaD2: Waynesboro	     0-9   9-72		    1.40-1.55  1.40-1.55		    0.15-0.21  0.13-0.18			    0.5-2.0  0.5-2.0	•	     .28   .28	     5 
Wf: Whitesburg	4-53		  1.35-1.50  1.35-1.50 	•	  0.15-0.22  0.15-0.20 			  1.0-2.0  0.5-1.0 	•	   .37   .32 	   4 

Table 16.-Water Features

(Depths of layers are in feet. See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Estimates of the frequency of ponding and flooding apply to the whole year rather than to individual months. Absence of an entry indicates that the feature is not a concern or that data were not estimated)

	1		_Water	table		Pondin	<b>3</b>	Floo	ding
Map symbol	Hydro-	Month	Upper	Lower	Surface				
and soil name	logic	ļ	limit	limit	water	Duration	Frequency	Duration	Frequency
	group	<u> </u>			depth	ļ			
		!	<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>	<u>Ft</u>				
DrD2 Drd2 DrD2.				 		 	 	 	l I
BrB2, BrC2, BrD2: Braddock	l I B			 		ł	! !	 	 
DI dadoon	~	Jan-Dec		i		i	   None	i	None
	İ			İ	i	İ		İ	i
CaD, CaE:	į	İ	j	j	j	İ	j	İ	İ
Cataska	D	ļ		ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
	ļ	Jan-Dec				!	None		None
Calle						!		 	
CcE: Cataska	l I D	}		 	 	<u> </u>	! !	 	! !
Cacasha	-	Jan-Dec		i		i	   None	i	   None
	İ			! 	İ	i		i	
Sylco	C	i	İ	j	İ	j	İ	j	j
	į	Jan-Dec	j	j	j	j	None	j	None
		ļ		ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
Co:	_	ļ					<u> </u>		
Combs	B			 		 	   Wama		 
	l	January February					None None	Brief  Brief	Rare   Rare
		March				 	None	Brief	Rare
	i	April		i		i	None	Brief	Rare
	İ	May		i	i	i	None	Brief	Rare
	j	December	j	j	j	j	None	Brief	Rare
						ļ		ļ	
DcB2, DcC2, DcD2:		ļ				ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
Decatur	В	!				!		!	
		Jan-Dec					None		None
DeB2, DeC2, DeD2:				 		ł	! !	 	 
Dewey	В	i		! 	İ	i	i	i	i
•	İ	Jan-Dec		i	i	i	None	i	None
	İ	İ	j	İ	į	İ	İ	İ	İ
DhD:		ļ		ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
Ditney	C	ļ		ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ	ļ
		Jan-Dec					None		None
Du:	 			 		<u> </u>	 	 	! !
Dunning	l D	i		i	i	i	i	i	i
	i -	January	0.0-0.5	>6.0	i	i	None	Brief	Occasional
	İ	February	0.0-0.5	>6.0	i	j	None	Brief	Occasional
	İ	March	0.0-0.5	>6.0	j	j	None	Brief	Occasional
		April	0.0-0.5				None	Brief	Occasional
			0.0-0.5			ļ	:	Brief	Occasional
		December	0.0-0.5	>6.0			None	Brief	Occasional
Dv:	1			 		 	 	 	! !
Dunning	l I D			 		i	i i	i	l
<del></del>	~	January	0.0-1.0	>6.0			   None	  Brief	   Occasional
	İ		0.0-1.0		i	i	None	Brief	Occasional
	į	March	0.0-1.0		i	j	None	Brief	Occasional
		April	0.0-1.0		j	j	None	Brief	Occasional
	ļ	May	0.0-1.0	:		ļ	None	Brief	Occasional
		December	0.0-1.0	>6.0			None	Brief	Occasional
		1	I		1	I	l	I	I

Table 16.-Water Features-Continued

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Water		Ī	Ponding	3	Flood	ding
Map symbol and soil name	Hydro-  logic  group	Month   	Upper     limit	Lower limit	Surface   water   depth	!	  Frequency 	Duration	   Frequency 
			<u>Ft</u>	Ft	Ft				
EtB, EtC: Etowah	     B 	    Jan-Dec	     		     	   	     None		     None
FuC2, FuD2, FuE2: Fullerton	     B 	      Jan-Dec	     		     	     	     None		     None
HnB, HnC: Holston	     B 	      Jan-Dec	     		     	     	     None		     None
JcD: Junaluska	     B 	      Jan-Dec	     		   	     	     None		     None
Cataska	   D 	    Jan-Dec	 		 	   	     None		None
LeB: Leadvale	     c 	February	: :	<6.0	     	 	     None   None		     None   None
	   	March  April 	2.0-3.0   2.0-3.0		   	 	None   None		None   None
LnB, LnC, LnD: Lonon	   B 	    Jan-Dec	   		   	   	   None		   None
LsC, LsD: Lostcove	     B 	      January	      5.0-6.0	>6.0	     	     	       None		       None
	       	November	5.0-6.0  5.0-6.0  5.0-6.0  5.0-6.0  5.0-6.0	>6.0 >6.0 >6.0 >6.0	     	     	None None None None None None	   	None None None None None None
MtC2, MtD2: Montevallo	     D 	      Jan-Dec			     	   	       None		       None
MuC2: Muse	     c 	      Jan-Dec 	     		     	     	     None		       None
MwE: Muskingum	   c 	    Jan-Dec	   		   	   	     None		     None
Chiswell	   D 	    Jan-Dec 	 		     	   	     None 		   None

Table 16.-Water Features-Continued

		1	Water	table	I	Ponding	3	Flood	ling
	Hydro-	Month	Upper	Lower	Surface	!			_
and soil name	logic		limit	limit	water	Duration	Frequency	Duration	Frequency
	group	<u> </u>	Ft	Ft	depth Ft	ļ			
,	l I	1	<u>FC</u>	FC	<u>FC</u>	:			
NnC3, NnD3, NnE3:					¦	! 			
Nonaburg	D	i	i i		İ	i		i i	
j	İ	Jan-Dec	i i		i	j	None	i i	None
į	İ	į	j i		İ	İ		j j	
NoF:		ļ	[ [		!	ļ			
Northcove	В					!			
		Jan-Dec					None		None
Po:					! !	! !			
Pope	В	i	i i		İ	i		i i	
-		January	j j		j	j	None	i i	Occasional
j		February					None		Occasional
		March				ļ	None		Occasional
ļ		April				!	None		Occasional
		November  December	 			 	None None	 	Occasional Occasional
ļ		  December				 	None		Occasional
Ro:		İ	i i		i	i		i	
Rosman	В	j	j i		İ	j		j j	
j		January	4.0-5.0				None	Very brief	Occasional
		February				ļ	None	Very brief	
ļ		March	4.0-5.0			!	None	- !	Occasional
		April  December	4.0-5.0   4.0-5.0			 	None None	Very brief   Very brief	
ļ		  December	<del>1</del> .0-3.0	70.0		 	None	  vera prier	Occasional
Sb:		İ	i i		İ	i		i i	
Sequatchie	В	İ	j i		İ	İ		j j	
ļ		January				ļ	None	Brief	Rare
		February				!	None	Brief	Rare
,		March  April	 			 	None None	Brief  Brief	Rare Rare
ļ		May	 				None	Brief	Rare
ļ		December	i i			i	None	Brief	Rare
į		j	j i		İ	j		j j	
ShB, ShC:					ļ	ļ			
Shelocta	В	ļ				<u> </u>			
ļ		Jan-Dec					None		None
SoD:					 	ł			
Soco	В	İ	i i		i	i		i	
į		Jan-Dec	j j		j	j	None	i i	None
ļ					ļ	ļ			
SoE:	_					<u> </u>			
Soco	В	   Tan Ban				!	Non e		Mana
ļ		Jan-Dec					None		None
Cataska	l D	i	i i		¦	i		i	
	İ	Jan-Dec	i i		i	i	None	i	None
j		İ	į į		İ	İ		į į	
St:		İ	ļ į		ļ	ļ			
Staser	В	 					Mar.	 	0
ļ		January	3.0-4.0	>6.0			None		Occasional
i i		!	ia n_⊿ ∧i	>6 O	l =		None	Very brief	Occasional
		February			 	 	None None		Occasional Occasional
		!	3.0-4.0	>6.0	   	!	None None None	Very brief	Occasional Occasional Occasional

Table 16.-Water Features-Continued

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Water	table	l	Ponding	3	Floor	ling
Map symbol	Hydro-	Month	Upper	Lower	Surface	[			
and soil name	logic		limit	limit	water	Duration	Frequency	Duration	Frequency
	group				depth				
			<u>Ft</u>	Ft	<u>Ft</u>				
Su:									
Steadman	C	<u> </u>			ļ	ļ			
	ļ	!	2.0-3.0		!	!	None	Brief	Occasional
	!	February	:			ļ	None	Brief	Occasional
		March	2.0-3.0			!	None	Brief	Occasional
		April	2.0-3.0			!	None	Brief	Occasional
		December	2.0-3.0	>6.0			None	Brief	Occasional
TaD2, TaE2:	l	!	!		 	!		 	] ]
Talbott	l c	}	!		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l I	] 
Iaibocc	-	  Jan-Dec	¦		i	i	None	 	   None
	ŀ	i Dec	¦ :		i i	! 	l	! 	l Mone
Rock outcrop.	i	i	i		i	i		 	
	i	i	i i		i	i		İ	
ToB2, ToC2, ToD2,	i	İ	i		j	İ			
ToE2:	İ	j	j i		İ	İ	İ	İ	İ
Townley	C	İ	j i		j	İ		İ	İ
		Jan-Dec					None		None
UdE:		<u> </u>			ļ	ļ			
Unicoi	C	ļ	! !		ļ	ļ			
	ļ	Jan-Dec				!	None		None
74	_	!	! !			!			
Ditney	C	  Jan-Dec			 	 	None	l I	   None
		Dan-Dec					None	 	l None
Rock outcrop.	l	i	<b> </b>		! 	i		 	 
noon oddolop.	i	i	i		i	i		 	
UnE:	i	i	i i		i	i		İ	
Unicoi	C	j	j i		İ	j	İ	İ	İ
	İ	Jan-Dec	j i		j	j	None		None
Rock outcrop.									
	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>		ļ	ļ			
Ur.	ļ		!		ļ	ļ			
Urban land		!	!		!	!			 
W.	!	 	!		 	 		l I	 
Water	<u> </u>	ł	<b>:</b>		 	ł		 	] [
Water	l	i	<b> </b>		! 	i		 	 
WaB2, WaC2, WaD2:	i	i			İ	i		İ	
Waynesboro	В	i	i i		i	i		İ	
_	İ	Jan-Dec	j i		j	j	None	j	None
	İ	İ	j i		İ	İ		İ	
Wf:	İ	İ	j i		İ	İ		İ	
Whitesburg	C		l i						
	ļ	: -	2.0-4.0			ļ	None		Occasional
	İ	February	:			ļ	None		Occasional
		March	2.0-4.0			ļ	None		Occasional
		December	2.0-4.0	>6.0			None		Occasional
	L								

Table 17.—Soil Features

(See text for definitions of terms used in this table. Absence of an entry indicates that the feature is not a concern or that data were not estimated)

Map symbol	l <del>'</del>	Restrict	ive layer		Potential	Risk of	corrosion
and soil name	I	Depth			for	Uncoated	
	Kind	to top	Thickness	Hardness	frost action	steel	Concrete
		<u>In</u>	<u>In</u>	ļ	ļ	İ	İ
D	 		!				!
BrB2, BrC2, BrD2: Braddock		 			  Moderate	  High 	  Moderate
CaD, CaE:	] [	 	l I	! !	i i	l I	l I
Cataska	  Bedrock   (paralithic)	10-20	 	  Moderately   cemented	  Moderate 	Low	Moderate
CcE:		İ	i	İ	i	İ	İ
Cataska	Bedrock (paralithic)	10-20 	j ļ	Moderately   cemented	Moderate	Low	Moderate
Sylco	  Bedrock (lithic)   	   20-40 	   	  Very strongly   cemented 	  Moderate   	  Low 	  Moderate   
Co:	 	i 	i 	i 	None	Low	Low
0011100		İ	İ	İ		 	
DcB2, DcC2, DcD2: Decatur	 	 	 	 	 	  High	  Moderate
DeB2, DeC2, DeD2:	 	! 	 	 	İ	 	
Dewey			!		ļ	High	Moderate
DhD:	l I	 	 	 	İ	l I	
Ditney	  Bedrock (lithic)   	   20-40 	   	  Very strongly   cemented	  Moderate 	  Low 	  Moderate 
Du, Dv: Dunning		 	 		  None	    High	  Moderate
EtB, EtC:	 	 	 	 		 	
Etowah		ļ	ļ	ļ	į	Low	Moderate
FuC2, FuD2, FuE2: Fullerton	   	   	   	   	 	    High	    Moderate
			!				
HnB, HnC: Holston	 	   		 		  Moderate 	  High 
JcD:	 	i	i	İ	i	 	1
Junaluska	Bedrock   (paralithic)	20-40	 	Moderately   cemented	Moderate	Moderate	High 
Cataska	  Bedrock   (paralithic)	   10-20 	   	  Moderately   cemented	  Moderate 	  Low 	  Moderate 
LeB:	 	 	 	 	 	 	 
Leadvale	  Bedrock   (paralithic)	48-48 	 	Moderately   cemented	 	Moderate	Moderate
LnB, LnC, LnD:	 	 	 			 	
Lonon					Moderate	Low	High

Table 17.-Soil Features-Continued

			. ,		1 = 1 - 1 -		
Map symbol	]		ive layer		Potential	!	corrosion_
and soil name	   Kind	Depth	  Thickness	   Hardness	for frost action	Uncoated steel	   Concrete
	KIIIQ	In	In		I OSC ACCION	Breez	CONCLECE
	! 	<u>===</u> 	i ===	! 	i	i I	i I
LsC, LsD:	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	İ
Lostcove	j	j	j		Moderate	Low	High
					ļ		
MtC2, MtD2:							
Montevallo	•	10-20		Moderately	None	Moderate	Moderate
	(paralithic)		!	cemented	!		
MuC2:	 	l I	<u> </u>		 	 	 
Muse	i	 	i		i	  High	  High
	İ	İ	İ		İ	5 	i 5
MwE:	j	İ	j		İ	İ	İ
Muskingum	Bedrock	20-40	j	Moderately	Moderate	Low	High
	(paralithic)		<u> </u>	cemented	[		
			ļ		ļ	ļ <u>.</u>	ļ <u>.</u>
Chiswell	!	10-20		Moderately	None	Moderate	Moderate
	(paralithic)	 	 	cemented		 	 
NnC3, NnD3, NnE3:	 	l I	<u> </u>	 	 	 	 
Nonaburg	Bedrock	8-20	i	  Very strongly	None	  High	Low
	(paralithic)	İ	İ	cemented	İ	İ	İ
	i	İ	j		İ	İ	İ
NoF:					ĺ		ĺ
Northcove	ļ		ļ		Low	Low	High
<b>5</b> -			!		!		
Po:	 	 	 	 	  Moderate	  Low	   III i a b
Pope	 	 		 	Moderate	I TOM	High 
Ro:	! 	! 	i		i	 	i
Rosman	i	i	i		Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
	j	j	İ	İ	İ	j	j
Sb:			ļ		ļ		ļ
Sequatchie					!	Low	Moderate
ShB, ShC:	 	 	 	İ	 	l i	 
Shelocta	! ! <b></b>	! 	 	l 	  None	  Low	  High
bile10cca	! 	! 	i	] 	None	<u>                                   </u>	
SoD:	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	İ
Soco	Bedrock	20-40	j	Moderately	Moderate	Moderate	High
	(paralithic)		<u> </u>	cemented	[		
			ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ
SoE:	   Deducate		!	   Wadamakalar			   *** 'b
Soco	(paralithic)	20-40 		Moderately   cemented	Moderate	Moderate	High 
	(parallenie)	! 	ł	Cemenced	ł	l I	! !
Cataska	Bedrock	10-20	i	  Moderately	Moderate	Low	Moderate
	(paralithic)	İ	j	cemented	j	İ	İ
	İ	İ	İ		İ	İ	İ
St:			ļ		ļ		ļ
Staser			ļ		!	Low	Low
Su:	 	 		] 		l I	 
Steadman	 	 	l	 	  High	  Moderate	  Low
5 CEAUMAII	 	 	i	=== 	1 3	   moderace	l TOW
TaD2, TaE2:			i		i	İ	İ
Talbott	Bedrock (lithic)	20-40	i	  Very strongly	i	High	Moderate
	ĺ	ĺ	İ	cemented	İ	ĺ	ĺ
	!	ļ	ļ		ļ		ļ
Rock outcrop.			ļ		!	ļ	ļ
	I	l	I		I		

Table 17.-Soil Features-Continued

Concrete  High  High  Moderate
High High Moderate
High Moderate
High Moderate
High Moderate
High Moderate
Moderate
Moderate
Moderate
Moderate
Moderate
Moderate
Moderate
Moderate
High
<b>.</b>
Low
н

## Table 18.—Classification of the Soils

(An asterisk in the first column indicates a taxadjunct to the series. See text for a description of those characteristics that are outside the range of the series)

Soil name	Family or higher taxonomic class
Braddock	  - Clayey, kaolinitic, mesic Typic Hapludults
	- Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic, shallow Typic Dystrochrepts
	- Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic, shallow Typic Dystrochrepts
	-   Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Fluventic Hapludolls
	- Clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Rhodic Paleudults
Dewey	- Clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic Paleudults
Ditney	- Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts
Dunning	- Fine, mixed, mesic Fluvaquentic Endoaquolls
Etowah	- Fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Typic Paleudults
Fullerton	- Clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic Paleudults
Holston	- Fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Typic Paleudults
Junaluska	Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults
Leadvale	Fine-silty, siliceous, thermic Typic Fragiudults
Lonon	Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults
Lostcove	Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults
Montevallo	- Loamy-skeletal, mixed, thermic, shallow Typic Dystrochrepts
Muse	- Clayey, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults
Muskingum	Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts
Nonaburg	- Clayey, mixed, thermic, shallow Ochreptic Hapludalfs
Northcove	Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts
Pope	Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Fluventic Dystrochrepts
Rosman	- Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Fluventic Haplumbrepts
Sequatchie	Fine-loamy, siliceous, thermic Humic Hapludults
Shelocta	- Fine-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Hapludults
Soco	- Coarse-loamy, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts
Staser	- Fine-loamy, mixed, thermic Cumulic Hapludolls
Steadman	- Fine-silty, mixed, thermic Fluvaquentic Eutrochrepts
Sylco	- Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Typic Dystrochrepts
Talbott	Fine, mixed, thermic Typic Hapludalfs
<del>-</del>	- Clayey, mixed, thermic Typic Hapludults
	- Loamy-skeletal, mixed, mesic Lithic Dystrochrepts
-	- Clayey, kaolinitic, thermic Typic Paleudults
Whitesburg	- Fine-loamy, siliceous, mesic Aquic Dystric Eutrochrepts